



RF power transistors: comparative study of
LDMOS versus bipolar technology

Introduction

RF power transistors consist of two type of devices: Bipolar Junction (BJT) and Field Effect (FET). Due to differences in technology, the bipolar junction transistor yields superior performance for certain applications while the field effect transistor is better employed for others. This application note discusses and compares their parameters and performances.

1 LDMOS advantages

LDMOS (and MOSFETs in general) have superior characteristics on the following points:

1. Thermal stability
2. Frequency stability
3. Higher gain
4. Increased ruggedness
5. Lower noise
6. Lower feedback capacitance
7. Simpler bias circuitry
8. Constant input impedance
9. Better IMD performances
10. Lower Thermal Resistance
11. Better AGC Capability

1.1 Thermal stability

LDMOS, unlike bipolars, have a negative temperature coefficient and therefore are protected against thermal runaway. This is illustrated as follows: as the device draws more current, its temperature rises. A rise in temperature causes an increase in the gate threshold voltage (V_{Gth}) which turns the device off resulting in a drop in current.

Bipolars, on the other hand, have a positive temperature coefficient and are prone to thermal runaway. The main reason for this is the increase of h_{FE} due to the increase of temperature. As the device draws more current its temperature rises, hence h_{FE} rises and even more current is drawn resulting in a further temperature hike. This goes on until the device fails. Hence, bipolars need elaborate temperature compensation to prevent such occurrence. MOSFETs, however, are protected against thermal runaway and no compensation is required.

1.2 Frequency stability


Lack of diode junctions and a higher ratio of feedback capacitance versus input impedance make LDMOS more stable than bipolars. Moreover, bipolars suffer an instability mode known as half f_0 due to the varactor effect in base-emitter junction and a lower ratio of feedback capacitance versus input impedance.

1.3 Higher gain

Two factors contribute to LDMOS superior gain characteristics compared to an equivalent bipolar (see [Figure 1](#)). First, wire-bonded connections, which normally connect the source and the external circuitry (because of the vertical bipolar structure - collector on the bottom), are no longer required. The negative feedback due to the wires' self-capacitance and inductance is greatly reduced. This leads to higher gain at high frequencies. Second, in a bipolar, thermal stability is achieved at the detriment of gain. In an attempt to lessen the likelihood of bipolar thermal runaway, ballast resistors are placed in the emitters of the

device. This helps to prevent current hogging. Current hogging occurs when one of the many emitters within the transistor die draws more current than the others. As a single emitter draws more current, its temperature hikes and h_{FE} increases, leading to a thermal runaway situation. Placing resistors in the emitters of a device helps to share the current more equally, therefore decreasing the likelihood of thermal runaway. However, gain pays the price for this increased thermal stability. Consequently, in a bipolar the gain is lesser than that in an LDMOS. The fact that an LDMOS has a higher gain means that less amplifying stages are needed, which in turn, means higher reliability and lower costs.

Figure 1. Gain vs. output power



1.4 Increased ruggedness

In an LDMOS, the source and channel are shorted, hence there is no more breakdown voltage (BVCEO).

Consequently, device ruggedness is significantly improved. MOSFETs are indeed more rugged than their bipolar counterpart. Ruggedness is an important factor for applications such as commercial radios where the output devices are generally not protected by an isolator (circulator) and often experience large load mismatches.

1.5 Lower noise

Another drawback of ballast emitter resistors in bipolars is increased noise since current flowing through these resistors generates noise. MOSFETs are unaffected since they are not fitted with ballast resistors. For most amplifiers this is unimportant but for applications, such as transceivers, which have a transmitter biased for linear operation and a receiver located nearby, noise is a critical factor.

1.6 Lower feedback capacitance

Many broadband amplifiers use negative feedback to achieve good gain flatness across a wide frequency range. These applications request low feedback capacitance (the one

between the output lead and the input lead of a device). MOSFET feedback capacitance (LDMOS) is typically 5 times lower than the feedback capacitance of a comparable bipolar.

1.7 Simpler bias circuitry


MOSFETs are voltage controlled devices, therefore no current is drawn from the bias circuitry.

Furthermore, MOSFETs have a negative temperature coefficient, hence no temperature compensating component in the bias circuitry is required. Consequently, the bias circuit remains very simple and biasing can be done with a plain voltage divider.

1.8 Constant input impedance

MOSFETs input impedance varies only slightly with gate voltage fluctuation. This makes it very suitable for amplitude modulation applications where a constant load on the driver stage is necessary in order to prevent parasitic amplitude modulation. Also, MOSFETs constant gate impedance permits identical input matching network for any class of operation (class A, AB, B or class C).

Figure 2. Third order intermodulation distortion vs. output power



1.9 Better IMD performances

As stated above, MOSFETs' constant input impedance, as a function of input power level, allows better Intermodulation Distortion (IMD) performances at low power levels (see [Figure 2](#)). Bipolars' input impedance varies with input power level, hence the transistor becomes unmatched from its matching network and so generates a higher level of IMD.

1.10 Lower thermal resistance

Since the LDMOS have a lower power density (LDMOS' dice are larger than bipolars'), dissipated heat occurs through a larger area. Moreover, LDMOS do not require an electrical isolator (BeO). Consequently, LDMOS thermal resistance is considerably better than a comparable bipolar.

1.11 Better AGC capability

The relationship between the drain current (I_d) and the gate voltage (V_g) makes LDMOS (MOSFET) ideal for Automatic Gain Control (AGC) applications. This relationship is linear almost from turn-on to saturation. This means that LDMOS gain can be controlled throughout a wider range of power levels. Typically LDMOS have 30 dB AGC range or better, while AGC range of a comparable bipolar is around 15 dB.

2 LDMOS main drawbacks

1. Lower power density
2. Damage due to electrostatic discharge

2.1 Lower power density

For a comparable power level more die area is required by an LDMOS than a bipolar. This results in less dice per wafer and therefore higher MOSFET (LDMOS) cost. A larger area also restricts maximum available power for a given package.

2.2 Damage due to electrostatic discharge

Electrostatic discharge, which can reach up to several hundreds of volts, can deteriorate the gate to source channel of the LDMOS so anti-static protection handling is mandatory.

3 Conclusion

LDMOS products are best suited for applications such as CDMA, W-CDMA, TETRA, Digital Terrestrial TV etc., requiring wide frequency range, high linearity and good ruggedness performances. But above all, LDMOS are flawless for a high linearity requisite. They can be used in class AB (by reducing the output power until the desired linearity is achieved), where a comparable bipolar could only attain the same linearity in class A, occasioning a high current consumption.

4 Revision history

Table 1. Document revision history

Date	Revision	Changes
21-Jun-2004	3	Minor text changes
04-Oct-2007	4	No content changes, document reformatted

Please Read Carefully:

Information in this document is provided solely in connection with ST products. STMicroelectronics NV and its subsidiaries ("ST") reserve the right to make changes, corrections, modifications or improvements, to this document, and the products and services described herein at any time, without notice.

All ST products are sold pursuant to ST's terms and conditions of sale.

Purchasers are solely responsible for the choice, selection and use of the ST products and services described herein, and ST assumes no liability whatsoever relating to the choice, selection or use of the ST products and services described herein.

No license, express or implied, by estoppel or otherwise, to any intellectual property rights is granted under this document. If any part of this document refers to any third party products or services it shall not be deemed a license grant by ST for the use of such third party products or services, or any intellectual property contained therein or considered as a warranty covering the use in any manner whatsoever of such third party products or services or any intellectual property contained therein.

UNLESS OTHERWISE SET FORTH IN ST'S TERMS AND CONDITIONS OF SALE ST DISCLAIMS ANY EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTY WITH RESPECT TO THE USE AND/OR SALE OF ST PRODUCTS INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE (AND THEIR EQUIVALENTS UNDER THE LAWS OF ANY JURISDICTION), OR INFRINGEMENT OF ANY PATENT, COPYRIGHT OR OTHER INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHT.

UNLESS EXPRESSLY APPROVED IN WRITING BY AN AUTHORIZED ST REPRESENTATIVE, ST PRODUCTS ARE NOT RECOMMENDED, AUTHORIZED OR WARRANTED FOR USE IN MILITARY, AIR CRAFT, SPACE, LIFE SAVING, OR LIFE SUSTAINING APPLICATIONS, NOR IN PRODUCTS OR SYSTEMS WHERE FAILURE OR MALFUNCTION MAY RESULT IN PERSONAL INJURY, DEATH, OR SEVERE PROPERTY OR ENVIRONMENTAL DAMAGE. ST PRODUCTS WHICH ARE NOT SPECIFIED AS "AUTOMOTIVE GRADE" MAY ONLY BE USED IN AUTOMOTIVE APPLICATIONS AT USER'S OWN RISK.

Resale of ST products with provisions different from the statements and/or technical features set forth in this document shall immediately void any warranty granted by ST for the ST product or service described herein and shall not create or extend in any manner whatsoever, any liability of ST.

ST and the ST logo are trademarks or registered trademarks of ST in various countries.

Information in this document supersedes and replaces all information previously supplied.

The ST logo is a registered trademark of STMicroelectronics. All other names are the property of their respective owners.

© 2007 STMicroelectronics - All rights reserved

STMicroelectronics group of companies

Australia - Belgium - Brazil - Canada - China - Czech Republic - Finland - France - Germany - Hong Kong - India - Israel - Italy - Japan - Malaysia - Malta - Morocco - Singapore - Spain - Sweden - Switzerland - United Kingdom - United States of America

www.st.com