Fast Dual-Column GC/ECD Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides—EPA Methods 608 and 8080

Application Note
228-305

Author

Imogene L. Chang, Ph.D.
Agilent Technologies
Wilmington, DE 19808-1610

Abstract

Dual-column analysis with HP-35 and HP PAS-1701 columns was used to analyze chlorinated pesticides targeted in EPA Methods 608 and 8080 for wastewater and solid wastes. GC parameters were optimized using the Agilent 5890 Series II gas chromatograph (GC) with electronic pressure control (EPC), a dual injector, and a dual electron capture detector (ECD) system. The analysis of 18 pesticides was completed in 12 minutes.

Introduction

Currently, many testing laboratories use dual-column/dual-ECD GC systems to analyze the chlorinated pesticides specified in EPA Methods 608 and 8080[1,2]. For this application, EPC was used with an HP-35 column (35% phenyl, 65% methyl polysiloxane phase) as the primary column and the HP PAS-1701 column for confirmation.

The unique selectivity of the HP-35 column for this set of chlorinated pesticides permitted focus on the optimization of oven temperature for the HP PAS-1701 column. Individual EPC ports for each injector permitted individual regulation of column flow for both the HP-35 and the HP PAS-1701.

Experimental

EPA Method 608 and 8080 targeted pesticides were separated using 30 m x 0.53 mm x 1.0 µm HP-35 and HP PAS-1701 columns (part no. 19095G-123 and 19094U-023, respectively). Analyses were performed on an HP 5890 Series II GC with EPC, dual split/splitless inlets, and dual ECDs. An Agilent 7673 automatic liquid sampler was used to process the simultaneous splitless injections. A deactivated single-tapered glass liner with a small plug of glass wool (part no. 5181-3316) and a Merlin Microseal septum (part no. 5181-8816) were used with each split/splitless inlet. Instrumentation and GC conditions are listed in **Table 1**.

A test mix containing 18 pesticides (50 ppb per component) and two surrogates was prepared from the dilution of certified standard mixes with pesticide-grade hexane (Burdick & Jackson). Pesticides in the test mix are listed in **Table 2**.

Table 1. Experimental Conditions

Instrument Requirement	
Gas Chromatograph	Agilent Technologies 5890 Series II with EPC
Injection Ports	Dual split/splitless inlets
Column	HP-35, 30 m x 0.53 mm x 1.0 µm (Part no. 19095G-123)
	HP PAS-1701, 30 m x 0.53 mm x 1.0 µm (Part no. 19095S-123)
Detector	Dual ECD
Sample Introduction	7673 automatic sampler with dual injectors
Data Collection	3365 ChemStation and HP Vectra 486/33T PC
Experimental Conditions	
Injection	Splitless 1 µl, purge delay, 0.75 min, inlet temperature of 250°C
Carrier gas	(A) HP-35, pressure program: 8.6 psi (1 min) at 0.5 psi/min to 12 psi and at 3.0 psi/min to 25 psi (0 min)
	(B) HP-1701, helium, 10 ml/min constant flow
Oven	160°C (1 min) to 280°C at 10°C/min and to 300°C (2 min) at 25°C/min
Detector	ECD (300°C), 120 ml/min N_2 makeup, 6 ml/min anode purge

Results and Discussion

In a dual-column/dual-ECD system, samples introduced in a single injection can be split between two columns using a Y-connector and detected by different ECDs. However, when using a Y-connector without EPC, the split sample flow to each column cannot be optimized, and equal and consistent sample splits cannot be presumed. The only variable that can be optimized, in dual-column ECD analysis using a Y-connector is the oven temperature program, which can be optimally balanced for the two dissimilar columns. Using dual-column GC/ECD without EPC, it would typically require 45 to 60 minutes to obtain baseline separations for EPA Method 608 and 8080 targeted pesticides (see **Figure 1**).

A typical run from an environmental testing laboratory for a test mix containing 18 targeted pesticides and two surrogates is shown in **Figure 1**. A Yconnector was used to split samples for both columns, DB-608 and DB-1701, and good baseline separations were obtained for most analytes. This dual-column run was completed in 45 to 53 minutes using the following oven temperature program: 150°C (1 minute) to 260°C (18.34 minute) at 3°C/minute, then to 275°C (5 minutes) at 25°C/minute. Clearly this oven temperature program was optimized to separate critical pairs, such as DDE/dieldrin, DDD/endosulfan II, endosulfan sulfate/mehtoxychlor, and methosychlor/endrin ketone for both columns.

Table 2. Chlorinated Pesticides.

Peak No.	Pesticides
1	Tatrachloro-m-xylene (SS1)
2	alpha-BHC
3	Lindane
4	beta-BHC
5	Heptachlor
6	delta-BHC
7	Aldrin
8	Heptachlor epoxide
9	Endosulfan I
10	4,4′-DDE
11	Dieldrin
12	Endrin
13	4,4′-DDD
14	Endosulfan II
15	4,4′-DDT
16	Endrin aldehyde
17	Endosulfan sulfate
18	Methoxychlor
19	Endrin ketone
20	Decachlorobiphenyl (SS2)

Figure 2 shows chromatograms of the same pesticide test mix using the HP-35 and HP PAS-1701 columns and EPC. The oven program, 160°C (1 minute) to 280°C at 10°C/minute and to 300°C (2 minutes) at 25°C/minute, was optimized to separate the critical pairs, endosulfan



Figure 1. Typical chromatograms of a pesticides standard mix using DB-608 and DB-1701 columns under GC conditions used in environmental testing laboratories. (See Table 2 for peak identification.)



Figure 2. Chromatograms of a pesticides standard mix using HP-35 and HP PAS-1701 columns under the GC conditions listed in Table 1. (See Table 2 for peak identification.)

II/DDT and methoxychlor/endosulfan sulfate, for the HP PAS-1701 column. In this run, EPC provided a constant 10 ml/minute helium flow to the HP PAS-1701 column throughout the entire run.

For the HP-35 column, the following pressure program was used: 8.6 psi (hold 1 minute) at 0.5 psi/minute to 12 psi and at 3.0 psi/minute to 25 psi (hold for constant flow for the remaineder of the run). This pressure program actually provided a 10 ml/minute constant flow to elute most of the pesticides and an increased flow (up to 20 ml/minute) near the end of the run to elute the last analyte, surrogate decachlorobiphenyl and other high-boiling materials from the column.

GC parameters optimized for dual-column/dual-injector/dual-ECD analysis of chlorinated pesticides reduced analysis time to less than 12 minutes. In addition to speed, all EPA Methods 608 and 8080 targeted pesticides and surrogates were well resolved with good sharp peaks for accurate quantitation.

Conclusion

The use of EPC permitted individual column flow control to each ECD. The unique selectivity of the HP-35 column for chlorinated pesticides permitted focus on the optimization of oven temperature for the HP PAS-1701 column. Run time was 11.5 minutes with good baseline separations for all 20 target pesticides and surrogates. The result was a reduction in sample turnaround time from 54 to 11.5 minutes for a 400% increase in productivity. This is more than a twofold improvement in productivity when compared with conventional methods currently used at many environmental testing laboratories with DB-608 and DB-1701 columns.

Acknowledgement

The author wishes to thank Ms. Joann Faulkner and her colleagues at the Pace Laboratory in Petaluma, California, for providing chromatograms and pesticide standards.

References

1. USEPA SW-846 “Test methods for Evaluating Solid Wastes,” Methods 8000 and 8080, September 1986.

2. USEPA “Methods for Organic Chemical Analysis of Municipal and Industrial Wastewater,” Method 608, 1982.

3. I. L. Chang, “The Analysis of Chlorinated Pesticides and PCBs Using the HP-608 Capillary Column,” Agilent Application Note 228-236, Publication No. 5091-7567E.

Printed in USA 04/00

Printed on recycled paper
Publication Number 5963-6734E