Oracle® Database JSON-Relational Duality Developer's Guide



F57229-04 Septermber 2023

ORACLE

Oracle Database JSON-Relational Duality Developer's Guide, 23c

F57229-04

Copyright © 2023, 2023, Oracle and/or its affiliates.

Primary Author: Drew Adams

Contributors: Oracle JSON development, product management, and quality assurance teams.

This software and related documentation are provided under a license agreement containing restrictions on use and disclosure and are protected by intellectual property laws. Except as expressly permitted in your license agreement or allowed by law, you may not use, copy, reproduce, translate, broadcast, modify, license, transmit, distribute, exhibit, perform, publish, or display any part, in any form, or by any means. Reverse engineering, disassembly, or decompilation of this software, unless required by law for interoperability, is prohibited.

The information contained herein is subject to change without notice and is not warranted to be error-free. If you find any errors, please report them to us in writing.

If this is software, software documentation, data (as defined in the Federal Acquisition Regulation), or related documentation that is delivered to the U.S. Government or anyone licensing it on behalf of the U.S. Government, then the following notice is applicable:

U.S. GOVERNMENT END USERS: Oracle programs (including any operating system, integrated software, any programs embedded, installed, or activated on delivered hardware, and modifications of such programs) and Oracle computer documentation or other Oracle data delivered to or accessed by U.S. Government end users are "commercial computer software," "commercial computer software documentation," or "limited rights data" pursuant to the applicable Federal Acquisition Regulation and agency-specific supplemental regulations. As such, the use, reproduction, duplication, release, display, disclosure, modification, preparation of derivative works, and/or adaptation of i) Oracle programs (including any operating system, integrated software, any programs embedded, installed, or activated on delivered hardware, and modifications of such programs), ii) Oracle computer documentation and/or iii) other Oracle data, is subject to the rights and limitations specified in the license contained in the applicable contract. The terms governing the U.S. Government's use of Oracle cloud services are defined by the applicable contract for such services. No other rights are granted to the U.S. Government.

This software or hardware is developed for general use in a variety of information management applications. It is not developed or intended for use in any inherently dangerous applications, including applications that may create a risk of personal injury. If you use this software or hardware in dangerous applications, then you shall be responsible to take all appropriate fail-safe, backup, redundancy, and other measures to ensure its safe use. Oracle Corporation and its affiliates disclaim any liability for any damages caused by use of this software or hardware in dangerous applications.

Oracle®, Java, and MySQL are registered trademarks of Oracle and/or its affiliates. Other names may be trademarks of their respective owners.

Intel and Intel Inside are trademarks or registered trademarks of Intel Corporation. All SPARC trademarks are used under license and are trademarks or registered trademarks of SPARC International, Inc. AMD, Epyc, and the AMD logo are trademarks or registered trademarks of Advanced Micro Devices. UNIX is a registered trademark of The Open Group.

This software or hardware and documentation may provide access to or information about content, products, and services from third parties. Oracle Corporation and its affiliates are not responsible for and expressly disclaim all warranties of any kind with respect to third-party content, products, and services unless otherwise set forth in an applicable agreement between you and Oracle. Oracle Corporation and its affiliates will not be responsible for any loss, costs, or damages incurred due to your access to or use of third-party content, products, or services, except as set forth in an applicable agreement between you and Oracle.

Contents

Preface

Audience	ix
Documentation Accessibility	ix
Diversity and Inclusion	Х
Related Documents	Х
Conventions	Х
Code Examples	xi

1 Overview of JSON-Relational Duality Views

1.1	The Use Case for JSON-Relational Duality Views	1-3
1.2	Map JSON Documents, Not Programming Objects	1-7
1.3	Duality-View Security: Simple, Centralized, Use-Case-Specific	1-9
1.4	Oracle Database: Converged, Multitenant, Backed By SQL	1-10

2 Introduction To Car-Racing Duality Views Example

2-2
2-6
2-8
-12
-15
-19
2

3 Updatable JSON-Relational Duality Views

3.1	Annotations (NO)UPDATE, (NO)INSERT, (NO)DELETE, To Allow/Disallow Updating	
	Operations	3-2
3.2	Annotation (NO)CHECK, To Include/Exclude Fields for ETAG Calculation	3-3
3.3	Database Privileges Needed for Duality-View Updating Operations	3-5
3.4	Rules for Updating Duality Views	3-5



4 Using JSON-Relational Duality Views

4.1 Inserting Documents/Data Into Duality-Views	
4.2 Deleting Documents/Data From Duality-Views	4-9
4.3 Updating Documents/Data in Duality-Views	4-12
4.3.1 Trigger Considerations When Using Duality Views	4-21
4.4 Using Optimistic Concurrency Control With Duality Views	4-22
4.4.1 Using Duality-View Transactions	4-31
4.5 Using the System Change Number (SCN) of a JSON Document	4-35
4.6 Optimization of Operations on Duality-View Documents	4-37
4.7 Obtaining Information About a Duality View	4-39

5 Document-Identifier Fields for Duality Views

6.1 Flex Columns: Duality-View Schema Flexibility and Evolution	(
GraphQL Language Used for JSON-Relational Duality \	/iews
GraphQL Language Used for JSON-Relational Duality \ 7.1 Oracle GraphQL Directives for JSON-Relational Duality Views	/iews

Index

List of Examples

2-1	A Team Document	2-3
2-2	A Driver Document	2-3
2-3	A Car-Race Document	2-4
2-4	Creating the Car-Racing Tables	2-10
2-5	Creating Duality View TEAM DV Using SQL	2-16
2-6	Creating Duality View DRIVER_DV, With Nested Team Information Using SQL	2-16
2-7	Creating Duality View DRIVER_DV, With Unnested Team Information Using SQL	2-17
2-8	Creating Duality View RACE_DV, With Nested Driver Information Using SQL	2-18
2-9	Creating Duality View RACE_DV, With Unnested Driver Information Using SQL	2-18
2-10	Creating Duality View TEAM_DV Using GraphQL	2-23
2-11	Creating Duality View DRIVER_DV Using GraphQL	2-23
2-12	Creating Duality View RACE_DV Using GraphQL	2-24
4-1	Inserting JSON Documents into Duality Views, Providing Primary-Key Fields — Using SQL	4-4
4-2	Inserting JSON Documents into Duality Views, Providing Primary-Key Fields — Using REST	4-6
4-3	Inserting JSON Data into Tables	4-7
4-4	Inserting a JSON Document into a Duality View Without Providing Primary-Key Fields —	
	Using SQL	4-8
4-5	Inserting a JSON Document into a Duality View Without Providing Primary-Key Fields —	
	Using REST	4-8
4-6	Deleting a JSON Document from Duality View RACE_DV — Using SQL	4-10
4-7	Deleting a JSON Document from Duality View RACE_DV — Using REST	4-11
4-8	Updating an Entire JSON Document in a Duality View — Using SQL	4-13
4-9	Updating an Entire JSON Document in a Duality View — Using REST	4-14
4-10	Updating Part of a JSON Document in a Duality View	4-15
4-11	Updating Interrelated JSON Documents — Using SQL	4-16
4-12	Updating Interrelated JSON Documents — Using REST	4-17
4-13	Attempting a Disallowed Updating Operation Raises an Error — Using SQL	4-18
4-14	Attempting a Disallowed Updating Operation Raises an Error — Using REST	4-19
4-15	Using a Trigger To Update Driver Points Based On Car-Race Position	4-20
4-16	Obtain the Current ETAG Value for a Race Document From Field etag — Using SQL	4-27
4-17	Obtain the Current ETAG Value for a Race Document From Field etag — Using REST	4-28
4-18	Using Function SYS_ROW_ETAG To Optimistically Control Concurrent Table Updates	4-28
4-19	Locking Duality-View Documents For Update	4-33
4-20	Using a Duality-View Transaction To Optimistically Update Two Documents Concurrently	4-33
4-21	Obtain the SCN Recorded When a Document Was Fetched	4-35



4-22	Retrieve a Race Document As Of the Moment Another Race Document Was Retrieved	4-36
4-23	Using DBMS_JSON_SCHEMA.DESCRIBE To Show JSON Schemas Describing	
	Duality Views	4-40
5-1	Document Identifier Not Compatible with MongoDB API	5-1
5-2	Document Identifier for MongoDB API Compatibility: Primary-Key Column Value	5-2
5-3	Document Identifier for MongoDB API Compatibility: Object Value	5-2
7-1	Creating Duality View DRIVER_DV1, With Nested Driver Information	7-7
7-2	Creating Table TEAM_W_LEAD With LEAD_DRIVER Column	7-9
7-3	Creating Duality Views TEAM_DV2 With LEAD_DRIVER, Showing GraphQL	
	Directive @link	7-10
7-4	Creating Duality View DRIVER_DV2, Showing GraphQL Directive @link	7-10



List of Figures

2-1	Car-Racing Example, Directed Entity-Relationship Diagram (1)	2-7
2-2	Car-Racing Example, Directed Entity-Relationship Diagram (2)	2-10
2-3	Car-Racing Example, Table-Dependency Graph	2-20
4-1	Optimistic Concurrency Control Process	4-25
7-1	Car-Racing Example With Team Leader, Table-Dependency Graph	7-9



List of Tables

7-1 Scalar Types: Oracle JSON, GraphQL, and SQL

7-2



Preface

This manual describes the creation and use of JSON views of relational data stored in Oracle Database. This gives the same data a JSON-relational *duality*: it's organized both relationally and hierarchically. The manual covers how to create, query, and update such views, which automatically entails updating the underlying relational data.

- Audience
- Documentation Accessibility
- Diversity and Inclusion
- Related Documents
 Oracle and other resources related to this developer's guide are listed.
- Conventions
- Code Examples

The code examples in this book are for illustration only. In many cases, however, you can copy and paste parts of examples and run them in your environment.

Audience

JSON-Relational Duality Developer's Guide is intended for developers building applications that use JSON documents whose content is based on relational data stored in Oracle Database.

An understanding of both JavaScript Object Language (JSON) and some relational database concepts is helpful when using this manual. Many examples provided here are in Structured Query Language (SQL). A working knowledge of SQL is presumed.

Some familiarity with the GraphQL language and REST (REpresentational State Transfer) is also helpful. Examples of creating JSON-relational duality views are presented using SQL and, alternatively, a subset of GraphQL. Examples of updating and querying JSON documents that are supported by duality views are presented using SQL and, alternatively, REST requests.

Documentation Accessibility

For information about Oracle's commitment to accessibility, visit the Oracle Accessibility Program website at http://www.oracle.com/pls/topic/lookup?ctx=acc&id=docacc.

Access to Oracle Support

Oracle customers that have purchased support have access to electronic support through My Oracle Support. For information, visit http://www.oracle.com/pls/topic/lookup?ctx=acc&id=info or visit http://www.oracle.com/pls/topic/lookup?ctx=acc&id=trs if you are hearing impaired.



Diversity and Inclusion

Oracle is fully committed to diversity and inclusion. Oracle respects and values having a diverse workforce that increases thought leadership and innovation. As part of our initiative to build a more inclusive culture that positively impacts our employees, customers, and partners, we are working to remove insensitive terms from our products and documentation. We are also mindful of the necessity to maintain compatibility with our customers' existing technologies and the need to ensure continuity of service as Oracle's offerings and industry standards evolve. Because of these technical constraints, our effort to remove insensitive terms is ongoing and will take time and external cooperation.

Related Documents

Oracle and other resources related to this developer's guide are listed.

- Oracle Database JSON Developer's Guide
- Product page Oracle Database API for MongoDB and book Oracle Database API for MongoDB
- Product page Oracle REST Data Services (ORDS) and book Oracle REST Data Services Developer's Guide
- Oracle Database SQL Language Reference
- Oracle Database PL/SQL Language Reference
- Oracle Database PL/SQL Packages and Types Reference
- Oracle Database Concepts
- Oracle Database Error Messages Reference. Oracle Database error message documentation is available only as HTML. If you have access to only printed or PDF Oracle Database documentation, you can browse the error messages by range. Once you find the specific range, use the search (find) function of your Web browser to locate the specific message. When connected to the Internet, you can search for a specific error message using the error message search feature of the Oracle Database online documentation.

To download free release notes, installation documentation, white papers, or other collateral, please visit the Oracle Technology Network (OTN). You must register online before using OTN; registration is free and can be done at OTN Registration.

Conventions

Convention	Meaning
boldface	Boldface type indicates graphical user interface elements associated with an action, or terms defined in text or the glossary.
italic	Italic type indicates book titles, emphasis, or placeholder variables for which you supply particular values.

The following text conventions are used in this document:



Convention	Meaning
monospace	Monospace type indicates commands within a paragraph, URLs, code in examples, text that appears on the screen, or text that you enter.

Code Examples

The code examples in this book are for illustration only. In many cases, however, you can copy and paste parts of examples and run them in your environment.

- Pretty Printing of JSON Data
 To promote readability, especially of lengthy or complex JSON data, output is sometimes
 shown pretty-printed (formatted) in code examples.
- Reminder About Case Sensitivity JSON is case-sensitive. SQL is case-insensitive, but names in SQL code are implicitly uppercase.

Pretty Printing of JSON Data

To promote readability, especially of lengthy or complex JSON data, output is sometimes shown pretty-printed (formatted) in code examples.

Reminder About Case Sensitivity

JSON is case-sensitive. SQL is case-insensitive, but names in SQL code are implicitly uppercase.

When examining the examples in this book, keep in mind the following:

- SQL is case-insensitive, but names in SQL code are implicitly uppercase, unless you enclose them in double quotation marks (").
- JSON is case-sensitive. You must refer to SQL names in JSON code using the correct case: uppercase SQL names must be written as uppercase.

For example, if you create a table named <code>my_table</code> in SQL without using double quotation marks, then you must refer to it in JSON code as "MY_TABLE".



Overview of JSON-Relational Duality Views

JSON-relational duality views combine the advantages of using JSON documents with the advantages of the relational model, while avoiding the limitations of each.

 A single JSON document can represent an application object directly, capturing the hierarchical relations among its components. A JSON document is standalone: selfcontained and self-describing — no outside references, no need to consult an outside schema. There's no decomposition, which means that JSON is schema-flexible: you can easily add and remove fields, and change their type, as required by application changes.

However, *relationships among documents* are not represented by the documents themselves; the application must code relationships separately, as part of its logic. In particular, values that are part of one document cannot be shared by others. This leads to data *duplication* across different documents (whether of the same kind or different kinds), which in turn can introduce inconsistencies when documents are updated.

• The relational model decomposes application objects ("business objects") into *normalized tables*, which are explicitly related but whose content is otherwise independent. This independence allows for flexible and efficient *data combination* (joining) that is rigorously correct and reliable.

This avoids inconsistencies and other problems with data duplication, but it burdens application developers with defining a mapping between their application objects and relational tables. Application changes can require schema changes to tables, which can hinder agile development. As a result, developers often prefer to work with document-centric applications.

A **JSON-relational duality view** exposes data stored in relational tables as JSON documents. The documents are materialized — *generated* on demand, not stored as such. Duality views give your data both a conceptual and an operational **duality**: it's organized both *relationally and hierarchically*. You can base different duality views on data stored in one or more of the same tables, providing different JSON hierarchies over the same, shared data.

This means that applications can access (create, query, modify) the same data as a set of *JSON documents* or as a set of *related tables and columns*, and both approaches can be employed at the same time.

- Document-centric applications can use document APIs, such as Oracle Database API for MongoDB, and Oracle REST Data Services (ORDS), or they can use SQL/JSON¹ document functions. You can manipulate documents realized by duality views in the ways you're used to, using your usual drivers, frameworks, tools, and development methods. In particular, applications can use any programming languages — JSON documents are the *lingua franca*.
- Other applications, such as database analytics, reporting, and machine learning, can make use of the same data directly, relationally (as a set of table rows and columns), using languages such as SQL, PL/SQL, C, and JavaScript. You need not adapt an existing database feature or code that makes use of table data to instead use JSON documents.

¹ SQL/JSON is specified in ISO/IEC 9075-2:2016, Information technology—Database languages—SQL— Part 2: Foundation (SQL/Foundation). Oracle SQL/JSON support is closely aligned with the JSON support in this SQL Standard.



A JSON-relational duality view directly defines and reflects the structure of JSON *documents of a given kind* (structure and field types). The view is based on underlying relational tables, which it joins automatically to realize documents of that kind.

Columns of SQL data types other than JSON in an underlying table produce *scalar* JSON values in the documents supported by the view. Columns of JSON data type can produce JSON values of *any kind* (scalar, object, or array) in the documents, and the JSON data can be schemaless or JSON Schema-based (to enforce particular document shapes and field types). See Car-Racing Example, Tables for the column data types allowed in a table underlying a duality view.

JSON fields produced from an underlying table can be included in any objects in a duality-view document. When you define the view you specify where to include them, and whether to do so individually or nest them in their own object. By default, nested objects are used.²

A duality view can be read-only or completely or partially *updatable*, depending on how you define it. You can define a duality view, and its updatability, *declaratively* (what/ where, not how), using SQL or a subset of the GraphQL language.

When you modify a duality view — to insert, delete, or update JSON *documents*, the relevant relational (table) data underlying the view is automatically updated accordingly.

We say that a duality view **supports** a set of JSON documents of a particular kind (structure and typing), to indicate both (1) that the documents are *generated* — not stored as such — and (2) that *updates* to the underlying table data are likewise automatically reflected in the documents.

Even though a set of documents (supported by the same or different duality views) might be interrelated because of shared data, an application can simply read a document, modify it, and write it back. The database detects the document changes and makes the necessary modifications to all underlying table rows. When any of those rows underlie other duality views, those other views and the documents they support automatically reflect the changes as well.

Conversely, if you *modify data in tables* that underlie one or more duality views then those changes are automatically and immediately reflected in the documents supported by those views.

The data is the same; there are just dual ways to view/access it.

Duality views give you document and relational advantages:

- *Document:* Straightforward application development (programming-object mappings, get/put access, common interchange format)
- Relational: Consistency, space efficiency, normalization (flexible data combination/ composition/aggregation)
- The Use Case for JSON-Relational Duality Views The motivation behind JSON-relational duality views is presented.

² You use keyword UNNEST in the SQL view definition, or directive @unnest in the GraphQL view definition, to include fields directly. See Car-Racing Example, Duality Views.



- Map JSON Documents, Not Programming Objects A JSON-relational duality view declaratively defines a mapping between JSON documents and relational data. That's better than mapping programming objects to relational data.
- Duality-View Security: Simple, Centralized, Use-Case-Specific Duality views give you better data security. You can control access and operations at any level.
- Oracle Database: Converged, Multitenant, Backed By SQL If you use JSON-relational duality views then your application can take advantage of the benefits of a converged database.

See Also:

- Product page Oracle REST Data Services (ORDS) and book Oracle REST
 Data Services Developer's Guide
- Validating JSON Documents with a JSON Schema for information about using JSON schemas to constrain or validate JSON data
- json-schema.org for information about JSON Schema

1.1 The Use Case for JSON-Relational Duality Views

The motivation behind JSON-relational duality views is presented.

Suppose the following:

- You have, or you will develop, one or more applications that are **document-centric**; that is, they use JSON documents as their primary data. For the most part, you want your applications to be able to manipulate (query, update) documents in the ways you're used to, using your usual drivers, frameworks, tools, development methods, and programming languages.
- You want the basic structure of the various kinds of JSON documents your application uses to remain relatively *stable*.
- Some kinds of JSON documents that you use, although of different overall structure, have some parts that are the same. These documents, although hierarchical (trees), are *interrelated by some common parts*. Separately each is a tree, but together they constitute a graph.
- You want your applications to be able to take advantage of all of the advanced processing, high performance, and security features offered by Oracle Database.

In such a case you can benefit from defining and storing your application data using Oracle Database JSON-relational duality views. You can likely benefit in other cases, as well — for example, cases where only some of these conditions apply. As a prime motivation behind the introduction of duality views, this case helps present the various advantages they have to offer.

Shared Data

An important part of the duality-view use case is that there are some parts of different JSON documents that you want to remain the same. Duplicating *data that should always be the*



same is not only a waste. It ultimately presents a nightmare for application maintenance and evolution. It requires your application to keep the common parts synced.

The unspoken problem presented by document-centric applications is that a JSON document is *only* hierarchical. And *no single hierarchy fits the bill for everything*, even for the same application.

Consider a scheduling application involving students, teachers, and courses. A student document contains information about the courses the student is enrolled in. A teacher document contains information about the courses the teacher teaches. A course document contains information about the students enrolled in the course. The problem is that the *same information* is present in multiple kinds of documents, in the same or different forms. And it's left to applications that use these documents to manage this *inherent sharing*.

With duality views these parts can be automatically shared, instead of being duplicated. *Only what you want to be shared is shared*. An update to such shared data is reflected everywhere it's used. This gives you the best of both worlds: the world of *hierarchical documents* and the world of *related and shared data*.

There's no reason your application should itself need to manage whatever other constraints and relations are required among various parts of different documents. Oracle Database can handle that for you. You can specify that information once and for all, *declaratively*.

Here's an example of different kinds of JSON documents that share some parts. This example of car-racing information is used throughout this documentation.

- A *driver document* records information about a particular race-car driver: driver name; team name; racing points earned; and a list of races participated in, with the race name and the driver position.
- A race document records information about a particular race: its name, number of laps, date, podium standings (top three drivers), and a list of the drivers who participated, with their positions.
- A *team document* records information about a racing team: its name, points earned, and a list of its drivers.

See Also: Car-Racing Example, JSON Documents

Stable Data Structure and Types

Another important part of the duality-view use case is that the basic structure and field types of your JSON documents should respect their definitions and remain relatively *stable*.

Duality views enforce this stability automatically. They do so by being based on **normalized tables**, that is, tables whose content is independent of each other (but which may be related to each other).

You can define just which document parts need to respect your document design in this way, and which parts need not. Parts that need *not* have such stable structure and



typing serve provide document and application *flexibility*: their underlying data is of Oracle SQL data type JSON (native binary JSON).

No restrictions are imposed on these pliable parts by the duality view. (But because they are of JSON data type they are necessarily well-formed JSON data.) The data isn't structured or typed according to the tables underlying the duality view. But you can impose any number of structure or type restrictions on it separately, using JSON Schema (see below).

An example of incorporating JSON-type data directly into a duality view, as part of its definition, is column <code>podium</code> of the <code>race</code> table that underlies part of the <code>race_dv</code> duality view used in the Formula 1 car-racing example in this documentation.³

Like any other column, a *JSON-type column can be shared* among duality views, and thus shared among different kinds of JSON documents. (Column podium is not shared; it is used only for race documents.) See JSON Data Stored in JSON-Relational Duality Views for information about storing JSON-type columns in tables that underlie a duality view.

JSON data can be totally *schemaless*, with structure and typing that's unknown or susceptible to frequent change. Or you can impose a degree of definition on it by requiring it to *conform to a particular* JSON schema. A JSON schema is a JSON document that describes other JSON documents. Using JSON Schema you can define and control the degree to which your documents and your application are flexible.

Being based on database tables, duality views themselves of course enforce a particular kind of structural and typing stability: tables are *normalized*, and they store a particular number of columns, which are each of a particular SQL data type. But you can use JSON Schema to enforce detailed document *shape and type integrity* in any number of ways on a JSON-type column — ways that are specific to the JSON language.

Because a duality view definition imposes some structure and field typing on the documents it supports, it *implicitly defines a JSON schema*. This schema is a description of the documents that reflects only what the duality view itself prescribes. It is available in column JSON_SCHEMA of static dictionary views DBA_JSON_DUALITY_VIEWS, USER_JSON_DUALITY_VIEWS, and ALL_JSON_DUALITY_VIEWS. You can also see the schema using PL/SQL function DBMS JSON_SCHEMA.describe.

Duality views *compose* separate pieces of data by way of their defined relations. They give you precise control over data *sharing*, by basing JSON documents on tables whose data is separate from but related to that in other tables.

Both normalizing and JSON Schema-constraining make data less flexible, which is sometimes what you want (stable document shape and field types) and sometimes not what you want.

Oracle Database provides a full *spectrum of flexibility and control* for the use of JSON documents. Duality views can incorporate JSON-type columns to provide documents with parts that are flexible: not normalized and (by default) not JSON Schema-constrained. See JSON Data Stored in JSON-Relational Duality Views for information about controlling the schema flexibility of duality views.

Your applications can also use whole JSON documents that are *stored* as a column of JSON data type. Applications can interact in exactly the *same ways* with JSON data in a JSON column and data in a duality view — in each case you have a set of JSON *documents*.

³ See Example 2-4 and Example 2-9.

Those ways of interacting with your JSON data include (1) document-store programming using APIs such as Oracle Database API for MongoDB and Oracle REST Data Services (ORDS), and (2) SQL/JSON programming using SQL, PL/SQL, C, or JavaScript.

Enforcing structural and type stability means defining what that means for your particular application. This isn't hard to do. You just need to identify (1) the parts of your different documents that you want to be truly common, that is, to be *shared*, (2) what the *data types* of those shared parts must be, and (3) what kind of *updating*, if any, they're allowed. Specifying this is *what it means* to define a **JSON-relational duality view**.

Existing relational data has already undergone data analysis and factoring, so it's straightforward to define duality views that are based on any existing relational data. This means it's easy to adapt or define a document-centric application that *reuses existing relational data as a set of JSON documents*. This alone is a considerable advantage of the duality between relational and JSON data. The wide world of relational data is available to you as sets of JSON documents.

Related Topics

Using JSON-Relational Duality Views

You can insert (create), update, delete, and query documents or parts of documents supported by a duality view. You can list information about a duality view.

Obtaining Information About a Duality View

You can obtain information about a duality view, its underlying tables, their columns, and key-column links, using static data dictionary views. You can also obtain a JSON-schema description of a duality view, which includes a description of the structure and JSON-language types of the JSON documents it supports.

- Introduction To Car-Racing Duality Views Example
 Data for Formula 1 car races is used in this documentation to present the features
 of JSON-relational duality views.
- JSON Data Stored in JSON-Relational Duality Views
 Columns of JSON data type stored in tables underlying a duality view can produce
 JSON values of any kind (scalar, object, array) in the documents supported by the
 view. This stored JSON data can be schemaless or JSON Schema-based (to
 enforce particular shapes and types of field values).
- Flex Columns: Duality-View Schema Flexibility and Evolution A *flex column* in a table underlying a JSON-relational duality view lets you *add* and *redefine fields* of the document object produced by that table. This provides a certain kind of schema flexibility to a duality view, and to the documents it supports.



See Also:

- JSON Schema in Oracle Database JSON Developer's Guide
- Using JSON to Implement Flexfields (video, 24 minutes)
- Product page Oracle Database API for MongoDB and book Oracle Database API for MongoDB.
- Product page Oracle REST Data Services (ORDS) and book Oracle REST
 Data Services Developer's Guide

1.2 Map JSON Documents, Not Programming Objects

A JSON-relational duality view declaratively defines a mapping between *JSON documents* and relational data. That's better than mapping *programming objects* to relational data.

If you use an *object-relational mapper* (ORM) or an *object-document mapper* (ODM), or you're familiar with the concepts, then this topic might help you better understand the duality-view approach to handling the "object-relational impedance mismatch" problem.

Duality views could be said to be a kind of ORM: they too map hierarchical object data to/ from relational data. But they're fundamentally different from alternative approaches.

Duality views *centralize the persistence format* of application objects for both server-side and client-side applications — *all* clients, regardless of language or framework. The persistence model presents two aspects for the same data: table and document. Server-side code can manipulate relational data in tables; client-side code can manipulate a set of documents.

Client code need only convert its programming objects to/from JSON, which is familiar and easy. A duality view automatically persists JSON as relational data. There's no need for any separate mapper — *the duality view is the mapping*.

The main points in this regard are these:

• Map JSON documents, don't map programming objects.

With duality views, the only objects you map to relational data are JSON documents. You could say that a duality view is a **document-relational mapping** (DRM), or a **JSON-relational mapping** (JRM).

A duality view doesn't lock you into using, or adapting to, any particular language (for mapping or application programming). It's just JSON documents, all the way down (and up and around). And it's all relational data — same dual thing!

• Map declaratively.

A duality view *is* a mapping — there's no need for a mapper. You *define* duality views as declarative maps between JSON documents and relational tables. That's all. No procedural programming.

• Map inside the database.

A duality view *is* a database object. There's no tool-generated SQL code to tune. Application operations on documents are optimally executed inside the database.



No separate mapping language or tools, no programming, no deploying, no configuring, no setting-up anything. Everything about the mapping itself is available to any database feature and any application — a duality view is just a special kind of database view.

This also means fewer round trips between application and database, supporting read consistency and providing better performance.

• Define *rules* for handling parts of documents *declaratively*, not in application code.

Duality views define which document parts are *shared*, and whether and how they can be *updated*. The same rule validation/enforcement is performed, automatically, regardless of which application or language requests an update.

- Use any programming language or tool to access and act on your documents anything you like. Use the same documents with different applications, in different programming languages, in different ways,....
- Share the same data in multiple kinds of documents.

Create a new duality view anytime, to combine things from different tables. Consistency is maintained automatically. No database downtime, no compilation,.... The new view just works (immediately), and so do already existing views and apps. Duality views are independent, even when parts of their supported documents are interdependent (shared).

Use lockless/optimistic concurrency control.

No need to lock data and send multiple SQL statements, to ensure transactional semantics for what's really a single application operation. (There's no generated SQL to send to the database.)

A duality view maps *parts* of one or more tables to JSON documents that the view defines — it need not map every column of a table. Documents depend directly on the mapping (duality view), and only indirectly on the underlying tables. This is part of the *duality*: presenting *two different views*, not only views of different things (tables, documents) but typically of somewhat different content. Content-wise, a document combines *subsets* of table data.

This separation/abstraction is clearly seen in the fact that not all columns of a table underlying a duality view need be mapped to its supported documents. But it also means that some changes to an underlying table, such as the addition of a column, are automatically prevented from affecting existing documents, simply by the mapping (view definition) not reflecting those changes. This form of *table-level schema evolution* requires no changes to existing duality views, documents, or applications.

On the other hand, if you want to update an application, to reflect some table-level changes, then you change the view definition to take those changes into account in whatever way you like. This application behavior change can be limited to documents that are created after the view-definition change.

Alternatively, you can create a new duality view that directly reflects the changed table definitions. You can use that view with newer versions of the application while continuing to use the older view with older versions of the app. This way, you can avoid having to upgrade all clients at the same time, limiting downtime.

In this case, schema evolution for underlying tables leads to *schema evolution for the supported documents*. An example of this might be the deletion of a table column that's mapped to a document field. This would likely lead to a change in application logic and document definition.



Related Topics

 Duality-View Security: Simple, Centralized, Use-Case-Specific Duality views give you better data security. You can control access and operations at any level.

1.3 Duality-View Security: Simple, Centralized, Use-Case-Specific

Duality views give you better data security. You can control access and operations at any level.

Security control is centralized. Like everything else about duality views, it is defined, verified, enforced, and audited *in the database*. This contrasts strongly with trying to secure your data in each *application*. You control access to the documents supported by a duality-view the same way you control access to other database objects: using privileges, grants, and roles.

Duality-view security is *use-case*-specific. Instead of according broad visibility at the table level, a duality view exposes *only relevant columns* of data from its underlying tables. For example, an application that has access to a teacher view, which contains some student data, won't have access to private student data, such as social-security number or address.

Beyond exposure/visibility, a duality view can *declaratively define which data can be updated*, in which ways. A student view could allow a student name to be changed, while a teacher view would not allow that. A teacher-facing application could be able to change a course name, but a student-facing application would not. See Updatable JSON-Relational Duality Views and Updating Documents/Data in Duality-Views.

You can combine the two kinds of security control, to control *who/what* can *do what* to *which fields*:

- Create similar duality views that expose slightly different sets of columns as document fields. That is, define *views intended for different groups* of actors. (The documents supported by a duality view are not stored as such, so this has no extra cost.)
- Grant privileges and roles, to selectively let different groups of users/apps access different views.

Contrast this declarative, in-database, field-level access control with having to somehow — with application code or using an object-relational mapper (ORM) — prevent a user or application from being able to access and update *all* data in a given table or set of documents.

The database automatically detects *document changes*, and updates only the relevant table rows. And conversely, *table updates* are automatically reflected in the documents they underlie. There's no mapping layer outside the database, no ORM intermediary to call upon to remap anything.

And client applications can use JSON documents directly. There's no need for a mapper to connect application objects and classes to documents and document types.

Multiple applications can also update documents or their underlying tables *concurrently*. Changes to either are transparently and immediately reflected in the other. In particular, existing SQL tools can update table rows at the same time applications update documents based on those rows. *Document-level consistency*, and table *row-level consistency*, are guaranteed together.



And this secure concurrency can be lock-free, and thus highly performant. See Using Optimistic Concurrency Control With Duality Views.

Related Topics

Map JSON Documents, Not Programming Objects A JSON-relational duality view declaratively defines a mapping between JSON documents and relational data. That's better than mapping programming objects to relational data.

1.4 Oracle Database: Converged, Multitenant, Backed By SQL

If you use JSON-relational duality views then your application can take advantage of the benefits of a converged database.

These benefits include the following:

- Native (binary) support of JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) data. This includes updating, indexing, declarative querying, generating, and views
- Advanced security, including auditing and fine-grained access control using roles and grants
- Fully ACID (atomicity, consistency, isolation, durability) transactions across multiple documents and tables
- Standardized, straightforward JOINs with all sorts of data (including JSON)
- · State-of-the-art analytics, machine-learning, and reporting

Oracle Database is a **converged**, multimodel database. It acts like different kinds of databases rolled into one, providing synergy across very different features, supporting different workloads and data models.

Oracle Database is **polyglot**. You can seamlessly join and manipulate together data of all kinds, including JSON data, using multiple application languages.

Oracle Database is **multitenant**. You can have both consolidation and isolation, for different teams and purposes. You get a single, common approach for security, upgrades, patching, and maintenance. (If you use an Autonomous Oracle Database, such as Autonomous JSON Database, then Oracle takes care of all such database administration responsibilities. An autonomous database is self-managing, self-securing, self-repairing, and serverless. And there's Always Free access to an autonomous database.)

The standard, declarative language SQL underlies processing on Oracle Database. You might develop your application using a popular application-development language together with an API such as Oracle Database API for MongoDB or Oracle REST Data Services (ORDS), but the power of SQL is behind it all, and that lets your app play well with everything else on Oracle Database.



2

Introduction To Car-Racing Duality Views Example

Data for Formula 1 car races is used in this documentation to present the features of JSONrelational duality views.

We suppose a document-centric application that uses three kinds of JSON documents: driver, race, and team. Each of these kinds shares some data with another kind. For example:

- A driver document includes, in its information about a driver, identification of the driver's team and information about the races the driver has participated in.
- A race document includes, in its information about a particular race, information about the
 podium standings (first-, second-, and third-place winners), and the results for each driver
 in the race. Both of these include driver and team names. The racing data is for a single
 season of racing.
- A *team document* includes, in its information about a team, information about the *drivers* on the team.

Operations the application might perform on this data include the following:

- Adding or removing a driver, race, or team to/from the database
- Updating the information for a driver, race, or team
- Adding a driver to a team, removing a driver from a team, or moving a driver from one team to another
- Adding race results to the driver and race information

The intention in this example is that *all common information be shared*, so that, say, the driver with identification number 302 in the driver duality view is the same as driver number 302 in the team view.

You *specify the sharing* of data that's common between two duality views by defining relations between them. You do this by *specifying primary and foreign keys* for the tables that underlie the duality views.

When you define a given duality view you can control whether it's possible to insert into, delete from, or update the *documents* supported by the view and, overriding those constraints, whether it's possible to insert, delete, or update a given *field* in a supported document. By default, a duality view is read-only: no inserting, deleting, or updating documents.

 Car-Racing Example, JSON Documents The car-racing example has three kinds of documents: a team document, a driver document, and a race document.

Car-Racing Example, Entity Relationships Driver, car-race, and team entities are presented, together with the relationships among them. You define entities that correspond to your application documents in order to help you determine the tables needed to define the duality views for your application.



Car-Racing Example, Tables

Normalized entities are modeled as database tables. Entity relationships are modeled as links (constraints) between primary-key and foreign-key columns. Tables team, driver, and race are used to implement the duality views that provide and support the team, driver, and race JSON documents used by the carracing application.

Car-Racing Example, Duality Views

Team, driver, and race duality views provide and support the team, driver, and race JSON documents used by a car-racing application.

See Also:

- Working with JSON Relational Duality Views using SQL, a SQL script that mirrors the examples in this document
- Formula One (Wikipedia)

2.1 Car-Racing Example, JSON Documents

The car-racing example has three kinds of documents: a team document, a driver document, and a race document.

A document supported by a duality view always includes, at its top (root) level, one or more **document-identifier** fields that correspond to the primary-key columns of the tables that underlie the view. This field can take different forms. See Document-Identifier Fields for Duality Views.

In this documentation, the names of document-identifier fields follow the convention of using the name of the document type (team, driver, race), plus the suffix Id. (The field names are defined by the duality-view definition.) In the documents shown here, the document-identifier fields are thus teamId, driverId, and raceId.

For example, a *team* document has document-identifier field teamId at its top level, corresponding to primary-key column team_id of table team, which underlies duality view team dv (which is the view supporting team documents).

Documents of one kind (e.g. team), supported by one duality view (e.g. team_dv) can include other fields named ...Id (e.g. driverId), which are not document identifiers for that document type (e.g. team). Instead, they represent *foreign-key* references to primary-key columns in tables underlying *other* duality views — columns that contain data that's shared. For example, in a team document, field driverId represents a foreign key that refers to the document-identifier field (driverId) of a driver document.



Note:

Only the *application-logic* document content, or **payload** of each document, is shown here. That is, the documents shown here do not include the automatically generated and maintained, top-level field _metadata (whose value is an object with fields etag and asof). However, this *document-handling* field is always included in documents supported by a duality view. See Car-Racing Example, Duality Views for information about field _metadata.

Example 2-1 A Team Document

A team document includes information about the drivers on the team, in addition to information that's relevant to the team but not necessarily relevant to its drivers.

- Top-level field teamId uniquely identifies a team document. It is the *document-identifier* field. (Column team_id of table team corresponds to this field; it is the table's *primary* key.)
- The team information that's *not shared* with driver documents is in field teamId and toplevel fields name and points.
- The team information that's *shared* with driver documents is in fields driverId, name, and points, under field driver. (Field driverId is the document-identifier field of a driver document.)

Example 2-2 A Driver Document

A driver document includes identification of the driver's team and information about the races the driver has participated in, in addition to information that's relevant to the driver but not necessarily relevant to its team or races.

- Top-level field driverId uniquely identifies a driver document. It is the *document-identifier* field. (Column driver_id of the driver table corresponds to this field; it is that table's *primary key*.)
- The driver information that's not shared with race or team documents is in fields driverId, name, and points.
- The driver information that's *shared* with *race* documents is in field race. (Field raceId is the document-identifier field of a race document.)
- The driver information that's *shared* with a *team* document is in fields such as *teamId*, which is the document-identifier field of a team document.



Two alternative versions of a driver document are shown, with and without nested team and race information.

Driver document, with nested team and race information:

Field teamInfo contains the nested team information (fields teamId and name). Field raceInfo contains the nested race information (fields raceId and name).

Driver document, without nested team and race information:

Fields teamId and team are not nested in a teamInfo object. Fields raceId and name are not nested in a raceInfo object.

```
{"driverId" : 101,
  "name" : "Max Verstappen",
  "points" : 25,
  "teamId" : 301,
  "team" : "Red Bull",
  "race" : [ {"driverRaceMapId" : 3,
        "raceId" : 201,
        "name" : "Bahrain Grand Prix",
        "finalPosition" : 19},
        {"driverRaceMapId" : 11,
        "raceId" : 202,
        "name" : "Saudi Arabian Grand Prix",
        "finalPosition" : 1} ]}
```

Example 2-3 A Car-Race Document

A race document includes, in its information about a particular race, information about the podium standings (first, second, and third place), and the results for each driver in the race. The podium standings include the driver and team names. The result for each driver includes the driver's name.

Both of these include driver and team names.

- Top-level field raceId uniquely identifies a race document. It is the *document-identifier* field. (Column race_id of the race table corresponds to this field; it is that table's *primary key*.)
- The race information that's *not shared* with driver or team documents is in fields raceId, name (top-level), laps, date, time, and position.



- The race information that's *shared* with driver documents is in fields such as driverId, which is the document-identifier field of a driver document.
- The race information that's *shared* with team documents is in field team (under winner, firstRunnerUp, and secondRunnerUp, which are under podium).

Two alternative versions of a race document are shown, with and without nested driver information.

Race document, with nested driver information:

```
{"raceId" : 201,
"name" : "Bahrain Grand Prix",
"laps" : 57,
"date" : "2022-03-20T00:00:00",
"podium" : {"winner"
                            : {"name" : "Charles Leclerc",
                               "team" : "Ferrari",
                               "time" : "02:00:05.3476"},
            "firstRunnerUp" : {"name" : "Carlos Sainz Jr",
                               "team" : "Ferrari",
                               "time" : "02:00:15.1356"},
            "secondRunnerUp" : {"name" : "Max Verstappen",
                               "team" : "Red Bull",
                               "time" : "02:01:01.9253"}},
"result" : [ {"driverRaceMapId" : 3,
              "position"
                             : 1,
              "driverInfo"
                               : {"driverId" : 103,
                                  "name" : "Charles Leclerc"},
             {"driverRaceMapId" : 4,
              "position"
                           : 2,
              "driverInfo"
                              : {"driverId" : 104,
                                  "name" : "Carlos Sainz Jr"},
             {"driverRaceMapId" : 9,
              "position" : 3,
              "driverInfo"
                               : {"driverId" : 101,
                                  "name" : "Max Verstappen"},
             {"driverRaceMapId" : 10,
              "position"
                           : 4,
              "driverInfo"
                               : {"driverId" : 102,
                                            : "Sergio Perez"} ]}
                                  "name"
```

Race document, without nested driver information:

```
"time" : "02:01:01.9253"}},
"result" : [ {"driverRaceMapId" : 3,
    "position" : 1,
    "driverId" : 103,
    "name" : "Charles Leclerc"},
    {"driverRaceMapId" : 4,
    "position" : 2,
    "driverId" : 104,
    "name" : "Carlos Sainz Jr"},
    {"driverRaceMapId" : 9,
    "position" : 3,
    "driverId" : 101,
    "name" : "Max Verstappen"},
    {"driverId" : 10,
    "position" : 4,
    "driverId" : 102,
    "name" : "Sergio Perez"} ]}
```

Related Topics

Document-Identifier Fields for Duality Views A document supported by a duality view always includes, at its top (root) level, one or more **document-identifier** fields that correspond to the primary-key columns of the tables that underlie the view. This field can take different forms.

2.2 Car-Racing Example, Entity Relationships

Driver, car-race, and team entities are presented, together with the relationships among them. You define entities that correspond to your application documents in order to help you determine the tables needed to define the duality views for your application.

From the documents to be used by your application you can establish entities and their relationships. *Each entity corresponds to a document type*: driver, race, team.

Unlike the corresponding documents, the entities we use have *no content overlap* — they're **normalized**. The content of an entity (what it represents) is *only that which is specific* to its corresponding document type; it doesn't include anything that's also part of another document type.

- The *driver* entity represents only the content of a driver document that's not in a race or team document. It includes only the driver's ID number, name, and points, corresponding to document fields driverID, name, and points.
- The race entity represents only the content of a race document that's not in a driver document or a team document. It includes only the race's ID number, name, number of laps, date, and podium information, corresponding to document fields raceID, name, laps, date, and podium.
- The *team* entity represents only the content of a team document that's not in a document or race document. It includes only the team's ID number, name, and points, corresponding to document fields teamID, name, and points.

Two entities are related according to their cardinality. There are three types of such relationships:¹



One-to-one (1:1)

An instance of entity A can only be associated with *one* instance of entity **B**. For example, a driver can only be on one team.

One-to-many (1:N)

An instance of entity *A* can be associated with *one or more* instances of entity *B*. For example, a team can have many drivers.

Many-to-many (N:N)

An instance of entity *A* can be associated with *one or more* instances of entity *B*, and *conversely*. For example, a race can have many drivers, and a driver can participate in many races.



A many-to-one (N:1) relationship is just a one-to-many relationship looked at from the opposite viewpoint. We use only one-to-many.

See Figure 2-1. An arrow indicates the relationship direction, with the arrowhead pointing to the second cardinality. For example, the 1:N arrow from entity *team* to entity *driver* points toward *driver*, to show that one team relates to many drivers.

Figure 2-1 Car-Racing Example, Directed Entity-Relationship Diagram (1)



A driver can only be associated with one team (1:1). A team can be associated with multiple drivers (1:N). A driver can be associated with multiple races (N:N). A race can be associated with multiple drivers (N:N).



Related Topics

- Car-Racing Example, Duality Views
 Team, driver, and race duality views provide and support the team, driver, and race JSON
 documents used by a car-racing application.
- Car-Racing Example, Tables Normalized entities are modeled as database tables. Entity relationships are modeled as links (constraints) between primary-key and foreign-key columns. Tables team, driver,
- ¹ In the notation used here names to used here in planmet, the shapity arises to the inprovidement of the deam, "one or more". driver, and race JSON documents used by the car-racing application.



2.3 Car-Racing Example, Tables

Normalized entities are modeled as database tables. Entity relationships are modeled as links (constraints) between primary-key and foreign-key columns. Tables team, driver, and race are used to implement the duality views that provide and support the team, driver, and race JSON documents used by the car-racing application.

The normalized *entities* have no content overlap. But we need the database *tables* that implement the entities to overlap logically, in the sense of a table referring to some content that is stored in another table. To realize this we add columns that are linked to other tables using *foreign-key constraints*. It is these foreign-key relations among tables that implement their sharing of common content.

The tables used to define a duality view must satisfy these requirements (otherwise an error is raised when you try to create the view):

• The top-level (root) table for the view must have a **primary key**, composed of one or more columns that together uniquely identify a table row. This prevents any ambiguity that could arise from using a NULLable unique key or a unique key that has some NULL columns.

The primary-key column values correspond to the values of the *document-identifier* fields of the JSON document that the table is designed to support — see Document-Identifier Fields for Duality Views. (There's only one primary-key column for each of the tables used in the car-racing example.)

- Each of the other tables used to define a duality view must also have a primary key or a unique key. A **unique key** is a set of one or more columns that uniquely identify a row in the table. If there is no primary key then at least one column of the unique key must not be NULL.
- Each primary key and each unique key must have a *unique index* defined on it. Oracle recommends that you also define an index on each foreign-key column. References (links) between primary and foreign keys must be defined, but they need not be enforced.

Note:

Primary and unique indexes are generally created implicitly when you define primary-, and unique-key integrity constraints. But this is not guaranteed, and indexes can be dropped after their creation. It's up to you to ensure that the necessary indexes are present. See Creating Indexes in *Oracle Database Administrator's Guide*.

Like unique keys, primary keys and foreign keys can be **composite**: composed of multiple columns. In this documentation we generally speak of them as single-column keys, but keep this possibility in mind wherever keys are mentioned.

In general, a value in a foreign-key column can be NULL. Besides the above requirements, if you want a foreign-key column to not be NULLable, then mark it as NOT NULL in the table definition.

In the car-racing example, entities team, driver, and race are implemented by tables team, driver, and race, which have the following columns:



- team table:
 - team_id primary key
 - name unique key
 - points
- driver table:
 - driver_id primary key
 - name unique key
 - points
 - team_id foreign key that links to column team id of table team
- race table:
 - race_id primary key
 - name unique key (so the table has no duplicate rows: there can't be two races with the same name)
 - laps
 - race_date
 - podium

The logic of the car-racing application mandates that there be only one team with a given team name, only one driver with a given driver name, and only one race with a given race name, so column name of each of these tables is made a *unique key*. (This in turn means that there is only one team document with a given name field value, only one driver document with a given name, and only one race document with a given name.)

Table driver has an additional column, team_id, which is data that's logically shared with table team (it corresponds to document-identifier field teamId of the team document). This sharing is defined by declaring the column to be a **foreign key** in table driver, which links to (primary-key) column team_id of table team. That link implements both the 1:1 relationship from driver to team and the 1:N relationship from team to driver.

But what about the other sharing: the race information in a driver document that's shared with a race document, and the information in a race document that's shared with a driver document or with a team document?

That information sharing corresponds to the many-to-many (N:N) relationships between entities driver and race. The database doesn't implement N:N relationships directly. Instead, we need to add another table, called a **mapping table** (or an **associative table**), to bridge the relationship between tables driver and race. A mapping table includes, as foreign keys, the primary-key columns of the two tables that it associates.


An N:N entity relationship is equivalent to a 1:N relationship followed by a 1:1 relationship. We use this equivalence to implement an N:N entity relationship using database tables, by adding mapping table driver_race_map between tables driver and race.

Figure 2-2 is equivalent to Figure 2-1. Intermediate entity *d-r-map* is added to expand each N:N relationship to a 1:N relationship followed by a 1:1 relationship.²

² In the notation used here, **N** does not represent a number; it's simply an abbreviation for "many", or more precisely, "one or more".







Mapping table driver_race_map implements intermediate entity *d-r-map*. It has the following columns:

- driver_race_map_id primary key
- race_id (1) foreign key that links to primary-key column race_id of table race and (2) unique key (so the table has no duplicate rows: there can't be two entries for the same driver for a particular race)
- driver_id foreign key that links to primary-key column driver_id of table driver
- position

Together with the relations defined by their foreign-key and primary-key links, the carracing tables form a *dependency graph*. This is shown in Figure 2-3.

Example 2-4 Creating the Car-Racing Tables

This example creates each table with a primary-key column, whose values are automatically generated as a sequence of integers, and a unique-key column, name. This implicitly also creates unique indexes on the primary-key columns. The example also creates foreign-key indexes.

Column podium of table race has data type JSON. Its content is flexible: it need not conform to any particular structure or field types. Alternatively, its content could be made to conform to (that is, validate against) a particular JSON schema.

```
CREATE TABLE team
              INTEGER GENERATED BY DEFAULT ON NULL AS IDENTITY,
  (team id
              VARCHAR2(255) NOT NULL UNIQUE,
  name
   points INTEGER NOT NULL,
  CONSTRAINT team pk PRIMARY KEY(team id));
CREATE TABLE driver
  (driver id INTEGER GENERATED BY DEFAULT ON NULL AS IDENTITY,
  name VARCHAR2(255) NOT NULL UNIQUE,
points INTEGER NOT NULL,
   team_id INTEGER,
   CONSTRAINT driver pk PRIMARY KEY (driver id),
   CONSTRAINT driver fk FOREIGN KEY(team id) REFERENCES team(team id));
CREATE TABLE race
  (race id
              INTEGER GENERATED BY DEFAULT ON NULL AS IDENTITY,
              VARCHAR2(255) NOT NULL UNIQUE,
  name
             INTEGER NOT NULL,
   laps
   race date DATE,
  podium
              JSON,
   CONSTRAINT race pk PRIMARY KEY(race id));
```



```
-- Mapping table, to bridge the tables DRIVER and RACE.
CREATE TABLE driver race map
  (driver race map id INTEGER GENERATED BY DEFAULT ON NULL AS IDENTITY,
  race id
                    INTEGER NOT NULL,
  driver id
                    INTEGER NOT NULL,
  _-~
position
                    INTEGER,
   CONSTRAINT driver race map uk UNIQUE (race id, driver id),
   CONSTRAINT driver race map pk PRIMARY KEY (driver race map id),
   CONSTRAINT driver race map fk1 FOREIGN KEY (race id)
                                   REFERENCES race (race id),
   CONSTRAINT driver_race_map_fk2 FOREIGN KEY(driver_id)
                                   REFERENCES driver (driver id) );
-- Create foreign-key indexes
___
CREATE INDEX driver fk idx ON driver (team id);
CREATE INDEX driver race map fk1 idx ON driver race map (race id);
CREATE INDEX driver_race_map_fk2_idx ON driver race map (driver id);
```

Note:

Primary-key, unique-key, and foreign-key integrity constraints *must be defined* for the tables that underlie duality views (or else an error is raised), but they *need not be enforced*.

In some cases you might know that the conditions for a given constraint are satisfied, so you don't need to validate or enforce it. You might nevertheless want the constraint to be present, to improve query performance. In that case, you can put the constraint in the **RELY** state, which asserts that the constraint is believed to be satisfied. See RELY Constraints in a Data Warehouse in *Oracle Database Data Warehousing Guide*.

You can also make a foreign key constraint **DEFERRABLE**, which means that the validity check is done at the end of a transaction. See Deferrable Constraints in *Oracle Database Concepts*

Note:

The SQL data types allowed for a column in a table underlying a duality view are JSON, BLOB, CLOB, NCLOB, VARCHAR2, NVARCHAR2, CHAR, NCHAR, RAW, BOOLEAN, DATE, TIMESTAMP, TIMESTAMP WITH TIME ZONE, INTERVAL YEAR TO MONTH. INTERVAL DAY TO SECOND, NUMBER, BINARY_DOUBLE, and BINARY_FLOAT. An error is raised if you specify any other column data type.

Related Topics

Car-Racing Example, Entity Relationships

Driver, car-race, and team entities are presented, together with the relationships among them. You define entities that correspond to your application documents in order to help you determine the tables needed to define the duality views for your application.



• Car-Racing Example, Duality Views

Team, driver, and race duality views provide and support the team, driver, and race JSON documents used by a car-racing application.

See Also:

- JSON Schema in Oracle Database JSON Developer's Guide
- Using JSON to Implement Flexfields (video, 24 minutes)
- CREATE TABLE in Oracle Database SQL Language Reference

2.4 Car-Racing Example, Duality Views

Team, driver, and race duality views provide and support the team, driver, and race JSON documents used by a car-racing application.

The views are based on the data in the related tables driver, race, and team, which underlie the views driver_dv, race_dv, and team_dv, respectively, as well as mapping table driver race map, which underlies views driver dv and race dv.

A duality view supports JSON documents, each of which has a top-level JSON object. You can interact with a duality view as *if it were a table with a single column of JSON data type*.

A duality view and its corresponding top-level JSON object provides a hierarchy of JSON objects and arrays, which are defined in the view definition using nested SQL subqueries. Data gathered from a subquery is joined to data gathered from a parent subquery or the root table by a relationship between a primary or unique key in the parent and a foreign key in the child subquery's WHERE clause.

You can create a *regular*, read-only SQL view using SQL/JSON generation functions directly, without creating a duality view (see Read-Only Views Based On JSON Generation in *Oracle Database JSON Developer's Guide*).

A *duality* view is a JSON generation view that has a limited structure, expressly designed so that your applications can *update* the view, and in so doing automatically update the underlying tables. All duality views share the same limitations that allow for this, even those that are read-only.



Note:

For input of data types CLOB and BLOB to SQL/JSON generation functions, an empty instance is distinguished from SQL NULL. It produces an empty JSON string (""). But for input of data types VARCHAR2, NVARCHAR2, and RAW, Oracle SQL treats an empty (zero-length) value as NULL, so do *not* expect such a value to produce a JSON string.

A column of data in a table underlying a duality view is used as input to SQL/JSON generation functions to generate the JSON documents supported by the view. An empty value in the column can thus result in either an empty string or a SQL NULL value, depending on the data type of the column.

A duality view has only one **payload** column, named **DATA**, of JSON data type, which is generated from underlying table data. Each row of a duality view thus contains a single JSON object, the top-level object of the view definition. This object acts as a JSON *document* **supported** by the view.

In addition to the *payload* document content, that is, the application content *per se*, a document's top-level object always has the automatically generated and maintained *document-handling* field **metadata**. Its value is an object with these fields:

 etag — A unique identifier for a specific version of the document, as a string of hexadecimal characters.

This identifier is constructed as a hash value of the document content (payload), that is, all document fields except field _metadata. (More precisely, all fields whose underlying columns are implicitly or explicitly annotated CHECK, meaning that those columns contribute to the ETAG value.)

This ETAG value lets an application determine whether the content of a particular version of a document is the same as that of another version. This is used, for example, to implement optimistic concurrency. See Using Optimistic Concurrency Control With Duality Views.

• **asof** — The latest system change number (SCN) for the JSON document, as a JSON number. This records the last logical point in time at which the document was generated.

The SCN can be used to query other database objects (duality views, tables) at the exact point in time that a given JSON document was retrieved from the database. This provides consistency across database reads. See Using the System Change Number (SCN) of a JSON Document

Besides the payload column DATA, a duality view also contains two hidden columns, which you can access from SQL:

- **OBJECT_ETAG** This 16-byte RAW column holds the ETAG value for the current row of column DATA. That is, it holds the data used for the document metadata field etag.
- OBJECT RESID -
 - This variable-length RAW column holds an object identifier that uniquely identifies the document that is the content of the current row of column DATA. The column value is a concatenated binary encoding of the primary-key columns of the root table.

You can create duality views using SQL or a subset of the GraphQL language.



- Creating Car-Racing Duality Views Using SQL Team, driver, and race duality views for the car-racing application are created using SQL.
- Creating Car-Racing Duality Views Using GraphQL Team, driver, and race duality views for the car-racing application are created using GraphQL.

Related Topics

- Car-Racing Example, JSON Documents The car-racing example has three kinds of documents: a team document, a driver document, and a race document.
- Car-Racing Example, Entity Relationships

Driver, car-race, and team entities are presented, together with the relationships among them. You define entities that correspond to your application documents in order to help you determine the tables needed to define the duality views for your application.

• Car-Racing Example, Tables

Normalized entities are modeled as database tables. Entity relationships are modeled as links (constraints) between primary-key and foreign-key columns. Tables team, driver, and race are used to implement the duality views that provide and support the team, driver, and race JSON documents used by the carracing application.

Updatable JSON-Relational Duality Views

Applications can update JSON documents supported by a duality view, if you define the view as updatable. You can specify which kinds of updating operations (update, insertion, and deletion) are allowed, for which document fields, how/ when, and by whom. You can also specify which fields participate in ETAG hash values.

Using Optimistic Concurrency Control With Duality Views

You can use optimistic/lock-free concurrency control with duality views, writing JSON documents or committing their updates only when other sessions haven't modified them concurrently.

• Using the System Change Number (SCN) of a JSON Document

A **system change number** (SCN) is a logical, internal, database time stamp. Metadata field asof records the SCN for the moment a document was retrieved from the database. You can use the SCN to ensure consistency when reading other data.

• Obtaining Information About a Duality View You can obtain information about a duality view, its underlying tables, their columns, and key-column links, using static data dictionary views. You can also obtain a JSON-schema description of a duality view, which includes a description of the structure and JSON-language types of the JSON documents it supports.



See Also:

- CREATE JSON RELATIONAL DUALITY VIEW in Oracle Database SQL Language Reference
- Generation of JSON Data Using SQL in Oracle Database JSON Developer's Guide for information about SQL/JSON functions json_object, json_array, and json arrayagg, and the syntax JSON {...} and JSON [...]
- JSON Data Type Constructor in Oracle Database JSON Developer's Guide
- System Change Numbers (SCNs) in Oracle Database Concepts

2.4.1 Creating Car-Racing Duality Views Using SQL

Team, driver, and race duality views for the car-racing application are created using SQL.

The SQL statements here that define the car-racing duality views use a simplified syntax which makes use of the JSON-type constructor function, **JSON**, as shorthand for using SQL/JSON generation functions to construct (generate) JSON objects and arrays. **JSON** {...} is simple syntax for using function <code>json_object</code>, and **JSON** [...] is simple syntax for using function <code>json_array or json_arrayagg</code>.

Occurrences of JSON $\{...\}$ and JSON [...] that are embedded within other such occurrences can be abbreviated as just $\{...\}$ and [...], it being understood that they are part of an enclosing JSON generation function.

The arguments to generation function <code>json_object</code> are definitions of individual JSON-object members: a field name, such as <code>points</code>, followed by a colon (:) or keyword <code>is</code>, followed by the defining field value (for example, 110) — 'points' : 110 or 'points' IS 110. Note that the JSON field names are enclosed with single-quote characters (').

Some of the field values are defined directly as *column* values from the top-level table for the view: table driver (alias d) for view driver_dv, table race (alias r) for view race_dv, and table team (alias t) for view team_dv. For example: 'name' : d.name, for view driver_dv defines the value of field name as the value of column name of the driver table.

Other field values are defined using a *subquery* (SELECT ...) that selects data from one of the other tables. That data is implicitly joined, to form the view data.

Some of the subqueries use the syntax JSON {...}, which defines a JSON object with fields defined by the definitions enclosed by the braces ({, }). For example, JSON {'raceId' : r.race_id, 'name' : r.name} defines a JSON object with fields raceId and name, defined by the values of columns race id and name, respectively, from table r (race).

Other subqueries use the syntax JSON [...], which defines a JSON array whose elements are the values that the subquery returns, in the order they are returned. For example, [SELECT JSON {...} FROM driver WHERE ...] defines a JSON array whose elements are selected from table driver where the given WHERE condition holds.

Duality views driver_dv and race_dv each nest data from the mapping table driver_race_map. Two versions of each of these views are defined, one of which includes a nested object and the other of which, defined using keyword UNNEST, flattens that nested object to just include its fields directly. For view driver dv the nested object is the value of



field teamInfo. For view race_dv the nested object is the value of field driverInfo. (If you like, you can use keyword **NEST** to make explicit the default behavior of nesting.)

In most of this documentation, the car-racing examples use the view and document versions *without* these nested objects.

Nesting is the default behavior for fields from tables other than the root table. Unnesting is the default behavior for fields from the root table. You can use keyword **NEST** if you want to make the default behavior explicit — see Example 7-1 for an example. Note that you *cannot* nest fields that correspond to primary-key columns of the root table; an error is raised if you try.

Example 2-5 Creating Duality View TEAM_DV Using SQL

This example creates a duality view where the team objects look like this — they contain a field **driver** whose value is an array of nested objects that specify the drivers on the team:

{"teamId" : 301, "name" : "Red Bull", "points" : 0, "driver" : [...]}

(The view created is the same as that created using GraphQL in Example 2-10.)

Example 2-6 Creating Duality View DRIVER_DV, With Nested Team Information Using SQL

This example creates a duality view where the driver objects look like this — they contain a field teamInfo whose value is a nested object with fields teamId and (team) name:

```
{"driverId" : 101,
  "name" : "Max Verstappen",
  "points" : 0,
  "teamInfo" : {"teamId" : 103, "name" : "Red Bull"},
  "race" : [...]}
```



Example 2-7 Creating Duality View DRIVER_DV, With Unnested Team Information Using SQL

This example creates a duality view where the driver objects look like this — they don't contain a field teamInfo whose value is a nested object with fields teamId and name. Instead, the data from table team is incorporated at the top level, with the team name as field team.

```
{"driverId" : 101,
  "name" : "Max Verstappen",
  "points" : 0,
  "teamId" : 103,
  "team" : "Red Bull",
  "race" [...]}
```

Instead of using 'teamInfo' : to define top-level field teamInfo with an object value resulting from the subquery of table team, the view definition precedes that subquery with keyword UNNEST, and it uses the data from column name as the value of field team. In all other respects, this view definition is identical to that of Example 2-6.

(The view created is the same as that created using GraphQL in Example 2-11.)

```
CREATE JSON RELATIONAL DUALITY VIEW driver dv AS
  SELECT JSON {'driverId' : d.driver id,
               'name'
                      : d.name,
               'points' : d.points,
               UNNEST
                 (SELECT JSON {'teamId' : t.team_id,
                                       : t.name WITH NOCHECK}
                               'team'
                   FROM team t WITH NOINSERT NOUPDATE NODELETE
                   WHERE t.team id = d.team id),
               'race'
                        :
                 [ SELECT JSON {'driverRaceMapId' : drm.driver race map id,
                                UNNEST
                                  (SELECT JSON {'raceId' : r.race_id,
                                                       : r.name}
                                                'name'
                                     FROM race r WITH NOINSERT NOUPDATE NODELETE
                                     WHERE r.race id = drm.race id),
                                'finalPosition' : drm.position}
                    FROM driver race map drm WITH INSERT UPDATE NODELETE
                    WHERE drm.driver id = d.driver id ]}
    FROM driver d WITH INSERT UPDATE DELETE;
```



Note that if for some reason you wanted (non-primary-key) fields from the root table, driver, to be in a nested object, you could do that. For example, this would nest fields name and points in a driverInfo object: You could optionally use keyword NEST before field driverInfo, to make the default behavior of nesting more explicit.

```
CREATE JSON RELATIONAL DUALITY VIEW driver_dv AS

SELECT JSON {'driverId' : d.driver_id,

'driverInfo' : {'name' : d.name,

'points' : d.points},

UNNEST (SELECT JSON {...}),

'race' : ...}

FROM driver d;
```

You cannot nest primary-key fields of the root table. In this case, that means field driverId.

Example 2-8 Creating Duality View RACE_DV, With Nested Driver Information Using SQL

This example creates a duality view where the objects that are the elements of array result look like this — they contain a field driverInfo whose value is a nested object with fields driverId and name:

```
{"driverRaceMapId" : 3,
    "position" : 1,
    "driverInfo" : {"driverId" : 103, "name" : "Charles Leclerc"}}
```

```
CREATE JSON RELATIONAL DUALITY VIEW race dv AS
 SELECT JSON {'raceId' : r.race id,
              'name' : r.name,
              'laps' : r.laps WITH NOUPDATE,
              'date' : r.race_date,
              'podium' : r.podium WITH NOCHECK,
              'result' :
                 [ SELECT JSON {'driverRaceMapId' : drm.driver_race_map_id,
                               'position' : drm.position,
                               'driverInfo'
                                                •
                                 (SELECT JSON {'driverId' : d.driver id,
                                               'name' : d.name}
                                    FROM driver d WITH NOINSERT UPDATE NODELETE
                                    WHERE d.driver id = drm.driver id) }
                    FROM driver race map drm WITH INSERT UPDATE DELETE
                    WHERE drm.race id = r.race id ]}
   FROM race r WITH INSERT UPDATE DELETE;
```

Example 2-9 Creating Duality View RACE_DV, With Unnested Driver Information Using SQL

This example creates a duality view where the objects that are the elements of array result look like this — they don't contain a field driverInfo whose value is a nested object with fields driverId and name:

{"driverId" : 103, "name" : "Charles Leclerc", "position" : 1}



Instead of using 'driverInfo' : to define top-level field driverInfo with an object value resulting from the subquery of table driver, the view definition precedes that subquery with keyword UNNEST. In all other respects, this view definition is identical to that of Example 2-8.

(The view created is the same as that created using GraphQL in Example 2-12.)

```
CREATE JSON RELATIONAL DUALITY VIEW race dv AS
 SELECT JSON {'raceId' : r.race id,
               'name' : r.name,
               'laps' : r.laps WITH NOUPDATE,
              'date' : r.race_date,
               'podium' : r.podium WITH NOCHECK,
               'result' :
                 [ SELECT JSON {'driverRaceMapId' : drm.driver race map id,
                               'position' : drm.position,
                               UNNEST
                                  (SELECT JSON {'driverId' : d.driver id,
                                               'name' : d.name}
                                    FROM driver d WITH NOINSERT UPDATE NODELETE
                                    WHERE d.driver id = drm.driver id) }
                    FROM driver race map drm WITH INSERT UPDATE DELETE
                    WHERE drm.race id = r.race id ]}
   FROM race r WITH INSERT UPDATE DELETE;
```

See Also: CREATE JSON RELATIONAL DUALITY VIEW in Oracle Database SQL Language Reference

2.4.2 Creating Car-Racing Duality Views Using GraphQL

Team, driver, and race duality views for the car-racing application are created using GraphQL.

GraphQL is an open-source, general query and data-manipulation language that can be used with various databases. A subset of GraphQL syntax and operations are supported by Oracle Database for creating JSON-relational duality views. GraphQL Language Used for JSON-Relational Duality Views describes the supported subset of GraphQL. It introduces syntax and features that are not covered here.

GraphQL queries and type definitions are expressed as a GraphQL document. The GraphQL examples shown here, for creating the car-racing duality views, are similar to the SQL examples. The most obvious difference is just syntactic.

The more important differences are that with a GraphQL definition of a duality view you *don't need to explicitly specify* these things:

- Nested scalar subqueries.
- Table links between foreign-key columns and primary-key (or unique-key) columns, as long as a child table has only one foreign key to its parent table.³
- The use of SQL/JSON generation functions (or their equivalent syntax abbreviations).




This information is instead all *inferred* from the *graph/dependency relations* that are inherent in the overall duality-view definitions. The tables underlying a duality view form a directed *dependency graph* by virtue of the relations among their primary (or unique) keys and foreign keys. A foreign key from one table, *T-child*, to another table, *T-parent*, results in a graph edge (an arrow) directed from node *T-child* to node *T-parent*.

You don't need to construct the dependency graph determined by a set of tables; that's done automatically (implicitly) when you define a duality view. But it can sometimes help to visualize it.

An edge (arrow) of the graph links a table with a foreign key to the table whose primary key is the target of that foreign key. For example, an arrow from node (table) driver to node (table) team indicates that a foreign key of table driver is linked to a primary key of table team. In Figure 2-3, the arrows are labeled with the foreign and primary keys.

Figure 2-3 Car-Racing Example, Table-Dependency Graph



The GraphQL code that defines a JSON-relational duality view takes the form of a GraphQL **query** (without the surrounding query { ... } code), which specifies the graph structure, based on the dependency graph, which is used by the view. A GraphQL duality-view definition specifies, for each underlying table, the columns that are used to generate the JSON fields in the supported JSON documents.

In GraphQL, a view-defining query is represented by a GraphQL object schema, which, like the dependency graph on which it's based, is constructed automatically (implicitly). You never need to construct or see either the dependency graph or the GraphQL object schema that's used to create a duality view, but it can help to know something about each of them.

³ The only time you need to explicitly use a foreign-key link in GraphOL is when there is more than one foreign-key relation between two tables or when a table has a foreign-key that references the same table. In such a case, you use an @link directive to specify the thr. See Grache GraphQL Directives for JSON-Relational Duality Views.



The GraphQL query syntax for creating a duality view reflects the structure of the tabledependency graph, and it's based closely on the object-schema syntax. (One difference is that the names used are compatible with SQL.)

In an object schema, and thus in the query syntax, each GraphQL object type (mapped from a table) is named by a GraphQL **field** (not to be confused with a field in a JSON object). And each GraphQL field can optionally have an **alias**.

A GraphQL query describes a graph, where each node specifies a type. The syntax for a node in the graph is a (GraphQL) field name followed by its object type. If the field has an alias then that, followed by a colon (:), precedes the field name. An object type is represented by braces ({ ... }) enclosing a subgraph. A field need not be followed by an object type, in which case it is scalar.

The syntax of GraphQL is different from that of SQL. In particular, the syntax of names (identifiers) is different. In a GraphQL duality-view definition, any table and column names that are not allowed directly as GraphQL names are mapped to names that are. But simple, all-ASCII alphanumeric table and column names, such as those of the car-racing example, can be used directly in the GraphQL definition of a duality view.

For example:

```
• driverId : driver id
```

Field driver id preceded by alias driverId.

 driver : driver {driverId : driver_id, name : name, points : points}

Field driver preceded by alias driver and followed by an object type that has field driver_id, with alias driverId, and fields name and points, each with an alias named the same as the field.

```
    driver {driverId : driver_id,
name,
points}
```

Equivalent to the previous example. Aliases that don't differ from their corresponding field names can be omitted.

In the object type that corresponds to a table, each column of the table is mapped to a scalar GraphQL field with the same name as the column.

Note:

In GraphQL commas (,) are not syntactically or semantically significant; they're optional, and are ignored. For readability, in this documentation we use commas within GraphQL {...}, to better suggest the corresponding JSON objects in the supported documents.



In a GraphQL definition of a duality view there's no real distinction between a node that contributes a *single* object to a generated JSON document and a node that contributes an *array* of such objects. You can use just $\{ ... \}$ to specify that the node is a GraphQL **object type**, but that doesn't imply that only a single JSON object results from it in the supported JSON documents.

However, to have a GraphQL duality-view definition more closely reflect the JSON documents that the view is designed to support, you can optionally enclose a node that contributes an array of objects in brackets ([,]).

For example, you can write $[\{...\},...]$ instead of just $\{...\},...$, to show that this part of a definition produces an array of driver objects. This convention is followed in this documentation.

Keep in mind that this is only for the sake of human readers the code; the brackets are optional, where they make sense. But if you happen to use them where they don't make sense then a syntax error is raised, to help you see your mistake.

You use the *root table* of a duality view as the GraphQL *root field* of the view definition. For example, for the duality view that defines team documents, you start with table team as the root: you write team $\{...\}$.

Within the $\{ \dots \}$ following a type name (such as team), which for a duality view definition is a table name, you specify the *columns* from that table that are used to create the generated JSON fields.

You thus use column names as GraphQL field names. By default, these also name the JSON fields you want generated.

If the name of the JSON field you want is the not same as that of the column (GraphQL field) that provides its value, you precede the column name with the name of the JSON field you want, separating the two by a colon (:). That is, you use a GraphQL alias to specify the desired JSON field name.

For example, driverId : driver_id means generate JSON field driverId from the data in column driver_id. In GraphQL terms, driverId is an alias for (GraphQL) field driver_id.

- Using driver_id alone means generate JSON field driver_id from the column with that name.
- Using driverId : driver_id means generate JSON field driverId from the data in column driver_id. In GraphQL terms, driverId is an alias for the GraphQL field driver id.

When constructing a GraphQL query to create a duality view, you add a GraphQL field for each column in the table-dependency graph that you want to support a JSON field.

In addition, for each table T used in the duality view definition:

- For each foreign-key link from *T* to a parent table *T-parent*, you add a field named *T-parent* to the query, to allow navigation from *T* to *T-parent*. This link implements a *one-to-one* relationship: there is a single parent *T-parent*.
- For each foreign-key link from a table *T-child* to *T*, you add a field named *T-child* to the query, to allow navigation from *T* to *T-child*. This link implements a *one-to-many* relationship: there can be multiple children of type *T-child*.



Unnesting (flattening) of intermediate objects is the same as for a SQL definition of a duality view, but instead of SQL keyword UNNEST you use GraphQL **directive** @unnest. (All of the GraphQL duality-view definitions shown here use @unnest.)

In GraphQL you can introduce an end-of-line *comment* with the hash/number-sign character, #: it and the characters following it on the same line are commented out.

Example 2-10 Creating Duality View TEAM_DV Using GraphQL

This example creates a duality view supporting JSON documents where the team objects look like this — they contain a field driver whose value is an array of nested objects that specify the drivers on the team:

```
{"teamId" : 301, "name" : "Red Bull", "points" : 0, "driver" : [...]}
```

(The view created is the same as that created using SQL in Example 2-5.)

```
CREATE JSON RELATIONAL DUALITY VIEW team_dv AS
team @insert @update @delete
  {teamId : team_id,
    name : name,
    points : points,
    driver : driver @insert @update
    [ {driverId : driver_id,
        name : name,
        points : points @nocheck} ]};
```

Example 2-11 Creating Duality View DRIVER_DV Using GraphQL

This example creates a duality view supporting JSON documents where the driver objects look like this — they don't contain a field teamInfo whose value is a nested object with fields teamId and name. Instead, the data from table team is incorporated at the top level, with the team name as field team.

```
{"driverId" : 101,
  "name" : "Max Verstappen",
  "points" : 0,
  "teamId" : 103,
  "team" : "Red Bull",
  "race" : [...]}
```

Two versions of the view creation are shown here. For simplicity, a first version has no annotations declaring updatability or ETAG-calculation exclusion.

```
CREATE JSON RELATIONAL DUALITY VIEW driver_dv AS

driver

{driverId : driver_id,

name : name,

points : points,

team @unnest

{teamId : team_id,

name : name},

race : driver race_map
```



```
[ {driverRaceMapId : driver_race_map_id,
  race @unnest
      {raceId : race_id,
      name : name},
  finalPosition : position} ]};
```

The second version of the view creation has updatability and ETAG @nocheck annotations. (It creates the same view as that created using SQL in Example 2-7.)

```
CREATE JSON RELATIONAL DUALITY VIEW driver dv AS
 driver @insert @update @delete
    {driverId : driver id,
    name
             : name,
    points
            : points,
    team @noinsert @noupdate @nodelete
      @unnest
      {teamId : team id,
       name : name @nocheck},
    race
              : driver race map @insert @update @nodelete
                  [ {driverRaceMapId : driver race map id,
                     race @noinsert @noupdate @nodelete
                       @unnest
                       {raceId
                                   : race id,
                        name
                                   : name},
                     finalPosition : position} ];
```

Example 2-12 Creating Duality View RACE_DV Using GraphQL

This example creates a duality view supporting JSON documents where the objects that are the elements of array result look like this — they don't contain a field driverInfo whose value is a nested object with fields driverId and name:

{"driverId" : 103, "name" : "Charles Leclerc", "position" : 1}

Two versions of the view creation are shown here. For simplicity, a first version has no annotations declaring updatability or ETAG-calculation exclusion.

```
CREATE JSON RELATIONAL DUALITY VIEW race_dv AS

race

{raceld : race_id,

name : name,

laps : laps,

date : race_date,

podium : podium,

result : driver_race_map

[ {driverRaceMapId : driver_race_map_id,

    position : position,

    driver

    @unnest

    {driverId : driver_id,

    name : name}}];
```



The second version of the view creation has updatability and ETAG @nocheck annotations. (It creates the same view as that created using SQL in Example 2-9.)

```
CREATE JSON RELATIONAL DUALITY VIEW race_dv AS
race @insert @update @delete
{raceId : race_id,
    name : name,
    laps : laps @noupdate,
    date : race_date,
    podium : podium @nocheck,
    result : driver_race_map @insert @update @delete
    [ {driverRaceMapId : driver_race_map_id,
        position : position,
        driver @noinsert @update @nodelete
        @unnest
        {driverId : driver_id,
        name : name}}];;
```

Related Topics

- Creating Car-Racing Duality Views Using SQL Team, driver, and race duality views for the car-racing application are created using SQL.
- GraphQL Language Used for JSON-Relational Duality Views
 GraphQL is an open-source, general query and data-manipulation language that can be used with various databases. A subset of GraphQL syntax and operations are supported by Oracle Database for creating JSON-relational duality views.

See Also:

- https://graphql.org/
- GraphQL on Wikipedia
- CREATE JSON RELATIONAL DUALITY VIEW in Oracle Database SQL Language Reference



Updatable JSON-Relational Duality Views

Applications can update JSON documents supported by a duality view, if you define the view as updatable. You can specify which kinds of updating operations (update, insertion, and deletion) are allowed, for which document fields, how/when, and by whom. You can also specify which fields participate in ETAG hash values.

A duality view does not, itself, store any data; all of the data that underlies its supported JSON documents (which are generated) is stored in tables underlying the view. But it's often handy to think of that table data as being **stored** in the view. Similarly, for a duality view to be **updatable** means that you can update some or all of the data in its tables, and so you can update some or all of the fields in its supported documents.

An application can update a complete document, replacing the existing document. Or it can update only particular fields, in place.

An application can optionally cause an update to be performed on a document only if the document has not been changed from some earlier state — for example, it's unchanged since it was last retrieved from the database.

An application can optionally cause some actions to be performed automatically after an update, using database triggers.

 Annotations (NO)UPDATE, (NO)INSERT, (NO)DELETE, To Allow/Disallow Updating Operations

Keyword UPDATE means that the annotated data can be updated. Keywords INSERT and DELETE mean that the fields/columns covered by the annotation can be inserted or deleted, respectively.

Annotation (NO)CHECK, To Include/Exclude Fields for ETAG Calculation

You *declaratively* specify the document parts to use for checking the state/version of a document when performing an updating operation, by *annotating* the definition of the duality view that supports such a document.

- Database Privileges Needed for Duality-View Updating Operations The kinds of operations an application can perform on the data in a given duality view depend on the *database privileges* accorded the view owner and the database user (database schema) with which the application connects to the database.
- Rules for Updating Duality Views
 When updating documents supported by a duality view, some rules must be respected.

Related Topics

- Car-Racing Example, Duality Views
 Team, driver, and race duality views provide and support the team, driver, and race JSON
 documents used by a car-racing application.
- Using Optimistic Concurrency Control With Duality Views You can use optimistic/lock-free concurrency control with duality views, writing JSON documents or committing their updates only when other sessions haven't modified them concurrently.



Deleting Documents/Data From Duality-Views
 You can delete a JSON document from a duality view directly, or you can delete
 data from the tables that underlie a duality view. Examples illustrate these
 possibilities.

3.1 Annotations (NO)UPDATE, (NO)INSERT, (NO)DELETE, To Allow/Disallow Updating Operations

Keyword UPDATE means that the annotated data can be updated. Keywords INSERT and DELETE mean that the fields/columns covered by the annotation can be inserted or deleted, respectively.

Various updating operations (insert, delete, update) can be allowed on the data of a duality view. You specify which operations are allowed when you create the view, using table and column annotations. The operations allowed are based on annotations of its root table and other tables or their columns, as follows:

- The data of a duality view is **insertable** or **deletable** if its root table is annotated with keyword INSERT or DELETE, respectively.
- A duality view is updatable if any table or column used in its definition is annotated with keyword UPDATE.

By *default*, duality views are *read-only*: no table data used to define a duality view can be modified through the view. This means that the data of the duality view itself is, by default, not insertable, deletable, or updatable. The keywords NOUPDATE, NOINSERT, and NODELETE thus pertain by default for all FROM clauses defining a duality view.

You can specify *table*-level updatability for a given FROM clause by following the table name with keyword **WITH** followed by one or more of the keywords: (NO)**UPDATE**, (NO)**INSERT**, and (NO)**DELETE**. Table-level updatability defines that of *all* columns governed by the same FROM clause, *except* for any that have overriding column-level (NO)**UPDATE** annotations. (Column-level overrides table-level.)

You can specify that a *column*-level part of a duality view (corresponding to a JSONdocument *field*) is updatable using annotation **WITH** after the field-column (key-value) specification, followed by keyword UPDATE or NOUPDATE. For example, 'name' : r.name WITH UPDATE specifies that field name and column r.name are updatable, even if *table* r is declared with NOUPDATE.

For example, in Example 2-6 and Example 2-7:

- None of the fields/columns for table team can be inserted, deleted or updated (WITH NOINSERT NOUPDATE NODELETE) team fields teamId and name. Similarly, for the fields/columns for table race: race fields raceId and name, hence also raceInfo, can't be inserted, deleted or updated.
- All of the fields/columns for mapping table driver_race_map can be inserted and updated, but not deleted (WITH INSERT UPDATE NODELETE) fields driverRaceMapId and finalPosition.
- All of the fields/columns for table driver can be inserted, updated, and deleted (WITH INSERT UPDATE DELETE) driver fields driverId, name, and points.

In duality views driver_dv and team_dv there are only table-level updatability annotations (no column-level annotations). In view race dv, however, field laps



(column laps of table race) has annotation WITH NOUPDATE, which overrides the table-level updating allowance for columns of table race — you cannot change the number of laps defined for a given race.

Related Topics

- JSON Data Stored in JSON-Relational Duality Views
 - Columns of JSON data type stored in tables underlying a duality view can produce JSON values of any kind (scalar, object, array) in the documents supported by the view. This stored JSON data can be schemaless or JSON Schema-based (to enforce particular shapes and types of field values).

3.2 Annotation (NO)CHECK, To Include/Exclude Fields for ETAG Calculation

You *declaratively* specify the document parts to use for checking the state/version of a document when performing an updating operation, by *annotating* the definition of the duality view that supports such a document.

When an application updates a document it often needs to make sure that the version/state of the document being updated hasn't somehow changed since the document was last retrieved from the database.

One way to implement this is using **optimistic concurrency control**, which is lock-free. By default, every document supported by a duality view records a document-state signature in the form of an ETAG field, **etag**. The field value is constructed as a hash value of the document content and some other information, and it is automatically renewed each time a document is retrieved.

When your application writes a document that it has updated locally, the database automatically computes an up-to-date ETAG value for the current state of the stored document, and it checks this value against the etag value embedded in the document to be updated (sent by your application).

If the two values don't match then the update operation fails. In that case, your application can then retrieve the latest version of the document from the database, modify it as needed for the update (without changing the new value of field etag), and try again to write the (newly modified) document. See Using Optimistic Concurrency Control With Duality Views.

By default, all fields of a document contribute to the calculation of the value of field etag. To *exclude* a given field from participating in this calculation, annotate its column with keyword **NOCHECK** (following WITH, just as for the updatability annotations). In the same way as for updatability annotations, you can specify NOCHECK in a FROM clause, to have it apply to all columns affected by that clause. In that case, you can use **CHECK** to annotate a given column, to exclude it from the effect of the table-level NOCHECK.

If an update operation succeeds, then all changes it defines are made, including any changes for a field that doesn't participate in the ETAG calculation, thus overwriting any changes for that field that might have been made in the meantime. That is, the field that is not part of the ETAG calculation is *not ignored* for the update operation.

For example, field team of view driver_dv is an object with the driver's team information, and field name of this team object is annotated NOCHECK in the view definition. This means that the team *name doesn't participate in computing an ETAG* value for a driver document.



Because the team name doesn't participate in a driver-document ETAG calculation, changes to the team information in the document are not taken into account. Table team is marked NOUPDATE in the definition of view driver_dv, so ignoring its team information when updating a driver document is not a problem.

But suppose table team were instead marked <code>UPDATE</code>. In that case, updating a driver document could update the driver's team information, which means modifying data in table team.

Suppose also that a driver's team information was changed externally somehow since your application last read the document for that driver — for example, the team was renamed from "OLD Team Name" to "NEW Team Name".

Then updating that driver document would *not fail* because of the team-name conflict (it could fail for some other reason, of course). The previous change to "NEW Team Name" would simply be ignored; the team name would be *overwritten* by the name value specified in the driver-document update operation (likely "OLD Team Name").

You can avoid this problem (which can only arise if table team is updatable through a driver document) by simply *omitting* the team name from the document or document fragment that you provide in the update operation.

Similarly, field driver of a team document is an *array* of driver objects, and field points of those objects is annotated NOCHECK (see Example 2-5), so changes to that field by another session (from any application) don't prevent updating a team document. (The same caveat, about a field that's not part of the ETAG calculation not being ignored for the update operation, applies here.)

A duality view as a whole has its documents ETAG-checked if no column is, in effect, annotated NOCHECK. If *all* columns are NOCHECK, then no document field contributes to ETAG computation. This can improve performance, the improvement being more significant for larger documents. Use cases where you might want to exclude a duality view from all ETAG checking include these:

- An application has its own way of controlling concurrency, so it doesn't need a database ETAG check.
- An application is single-threaded, so no concurrent modifications are possible.

You can use PL/SQL function DBMS_JSON_SCHEMA.describe to see whether a duality view has its documents ETAG-checked. If so, top-level array field properties contains the element "check".

Related Topics

- Rules for Updating Duality Views When updating documents supported by a duality view, some rules must be respected.
- JSON Data Stored in JSON-Relational Duality Views
 Columns of Joon data time stored in tables underlying a dual

Columns of JSON data type stored in tables underlying a duality view can produce JSON values of any kind (scalar, object, array) in the documents supported by the view. This stored JSON data can be schemaless or JSON Schema-based (to enforce particular shapes and types of field values).



3.3 Database Privileges Needed for Duality-View Updating Operations

The kinds of operations an application can perform on the data in a given duality view depend on the *database privileges* accorded the view owner and the database user (database schema) with which the application connects to the database.

You can thus control which applications/users can perform which actions on which duality views, by granting users the relevant privileges.

An application invokes database operations as a given database user. But updating operations (including insertions and deletions) on duality views are carried out as the view *owner*.

To perform the different kinds of operations on duality-view data, a *user* (or an application connected as a user) needs to be granted the following privileges on the *view*:

- To query the data: privilege SELECT WITH GRANT OPTION
- To insert documents (rows): privilege INSERT WITH GRANT OPTION
- To delete documents (rows): privilege DELETE WITH GRANT OPTION
- To update documents (rows): privilege UPDATE WITH GRANT OPTION

In addition, the *owner* of the view needs the same privileges on each of the relevant *tables*, that is, all tables annotated with the corresponding keyword. For example, for insertion the view owner needs privilege INSERT WITH GRANT OPTION on all tables that are annotated in the view definition with INSERT.

When an operation is performed on a duality view, the necessary operations on the tables underlying the view are carried out *as the view owner*, regardless of which user or application is accessing the view and requesting the operation. For this reason, those accessing the view do not, themselves, need privileges on the underlying tables.

See also Updating Rule 1.

3.4 Rules for Updating Duality Views

When updating documents supported by a duality view, some rules must be respected.

- If a document-updating operation (update, insertion, or deletion) is attempted, and the required privileges are not granted to the current user or the view owner, then an error is raised at the time of the attempt. (See Database Privileges Needed for Duality-View Updating Operations for the relevant privileges.)
- 2. If an attempted document-updating operation (update, insertion, or deletion) violates any *constraints* imposed on any tables underlying the duality view, then an error is raised. This includes primary-key, unique, NOT NULL, referential-integrity, and check constraints.
- 3. If a document-updating operation (update, insertion, or deletion) is attempted, and the view *annotations don't allow* for that operation, then an error is raised at the time of the attempt.
- 4. When *inserting* a document into a duality view, the document *must contain* all fields that both (1) contribute to the document's ETAG value and (2) correspond to columns of a (non-root) table that are marked *update-only* or *read-only* in the view definition. In



addition, the corresponding column data *must already exist* in the table. If these conditions aren't satisfied then an error is raised.

The values of all fields that correspond to *read-only* columns also *must match* the corresponding column values in the table. Otherwise, an error is raised.

For example, in duality view <code>race_dv</code> the use of the <code>driver</code> table is *update-only* (annotated <code>WITH NOINSERT UPDATE NODELETE</code>). When inserting a new race document, the document must contain the fields that correspond to <code>driver</code> table columns <code>driver_id</code> and <code>name</code>, and the <code>driver</code> table must already contain data that corresponds to the driver information in that document.

Similarly, if the driver table were marked *read-only* in view race_dv (instead of update-only), then the driver information in the input document would need to be the *same* as the existing data in the table.

5. When deleting an object that's linked to its parent with a one-to-many primary-toforeign-key relationship, if the object does not have annotation DELETE then it is not cascade-deleted. Instead, the foreign key in each row of the object is set to NULL (assuming that the foreign key does not have a non-NULLable constraint).

For example, the driver array in view team_dv is NODELETE (implicitly, since it's not annotated DELETE). If you delete a team from view team_dv then the corresponding row is deleted from table team.

But the corresponding rows in the driver table are *not* deleted. Instead, each such row is unlinked from the deleted team by setting the value of its foreign key column team_id to SQL NULL.

Similarly, as a result no driver *documents* are deleted. But their team information is removed. For the version of the driver duality view that *nests* team information, the value of field teamInfo is set to the empty object ({}). For the version of the driver view that *unnests* that team information, each of the team fields, teamId and team, is set to JSON null.

What would happen if the use of table driver in the definition of duality view team_dv had the annotation DELETE, allowing deletion? In that case, when deleting a given team all of its drivers would also be deleted. This would mean both deleting those rows from the driver table and deleting all corresponding driver documents.

6. In an update operation that replaces a complete document, all fields defined by the view as contributing to the ETAG value (that is, all fields to which annotation CHECK applies) must be included in the new (replacement) document. Otherwise, an error is raised.

Note that this rule applies also to the use of Oracle SQL function json_transform when using operator KEEP or REMOVE. If any field contributing to the ETAG value is removed from the document then an error is raised.

- 7. If a duality view has an underlying table with a foreign key that references a *primary or unique key of the same view*, then a document-updating operation (update, insertion, or deletion) cannot change the value of that primary or unique key. An attempt to do so raises an error.
- 8. If a document-updating operation (update, insertion, or deletion) involves updating the same row of an underlying table then it cannot change anything in that row in two different ways. Otherwise, an error is raised.



For example, this insertion attempt fails because the same row of the driver table (the row with primary-key driver_id value 105) cannot have its driver name be both "George Russell" and "Lewis Hamilton".

- 9. If the *etag* field value embedded in a document sent for an updating operation (update, insertion, or deletion) doesn't match the current database state then an error is raised.
- If a document-updating operation (update, insertion, or deletion) affects two or more documents supported by the same duality view, then all changes to the data of a given row in an underlying table must be compatible (match). Otherwise, an error is raised. For example, *for each driver* this operation tries to set the name of the first race (\$.race[0].name) to the driver's name (\$.name).

```
UPDATE driver_dv
SET data = json_transform(data,
SET '$.race[0].name' =
json_value(data, '$.name'));
ERROR at line 1:ORA-42605:
Cannot update JSON Relational Duality View 'DRIVER_DV':
cannot modify the same row of the table 'RACE' more than once.
```

4 Using JSON-Relational Duality Views

You can insert (create), update, delete, and query documents or parts of documents supported by a duality view. You can list information about a duality view.

Document-centric applications typically manipulate JSON documents directly, using either SQL/JSON functions or a client API such as Oracle Database API for MongoDB or Oracle REST Data Services (ORDS). Database applications and features, such as analytics, reporting, and machine-learning, can manipulate the same data using SQL, PL/SQL, JavaScript, or C (Oracle Call Interface).

SQL and other database code can also act directly on data in the relational tables that underlie a duality view, just as it would act on any other relational data. This includes modification operations. Changes to data in the underlying tables are automatically reflected in the documents provided by the duality view. Example 4-3 illustrates this.

The opposite is also true, so acting on either the documents or the data underlying them affects the other automatically. This reflects the *duality* between JSON documents and relational data provided by a duality view.

Operations on *tables* that underlie a document view automatically affect documents supported by the view, as follows:

• *Insertion* of a row into the root (top-level) table of a duality view inserts a new document into the view. For example, inserting a row into the driver table inserts a driver document into view driver dv.

However, since table driver provides only part of the data in a driver document, *only the document fields supported by that table are populated*; the other fields in the document are missing or empty.

- Deletion of a row from the root table deletes the corresponding document from the view.
- Updating a row in the root table updates the corresponding document.

As with insertion of a row, only the document fields supported by that table data are updated; the other fields are not changed.

Note:

An update of documents supported by a JSON-relational duality view, or of the table data underlying them, is reported by SQL as having updated some rows of data, even if the content of that data is not changed. This is standard SQL behavior. A successful update operation is always reported as having updated the rows it targets. This also reflects the fact that there can be triggers or row-transformation operators that accompany an update operation and that, themselves, can change the data.

Operations on *duality views* themselves include creating, dropping (deleting), and listing them, as well as listing other information about them.



- See Car-Racing Example, Duality Views for examples of *creating* duality views.
- You can *drop* (delete) an existing duality view as you would drop any view, using SQL command DROP VIEW.

Duality views are independent, though they typically contain documents that have some shared data. For example, you can drop duality view team_dv without that having any effect on duality view driver_dv. Duality views do depend on their underlying tables, however.

Caution:

Do *not* drop a *table* that underlies a duality view, as that renders the view unusable.

 You can use static data dictionary views to obtain information about existing duality views. See Obtaining Information About a Duality View.

Note:

Unless called out explicitly to be otherwise:

- The examples here do not depend on each other in any way. In particular, there is no implied sequencing among them.
- Examples here that make use of duality views use the views defined in Car-Racing Example, Duality Views that are defined using UNNEST: Example 2-5, Example 2-7, and Example 2-9.
- Examples here that make use of tables use the tables defined in Car-Racing Example, Tables.
- Inserting Documents/Data Into Duality-Views
 You can insert a JSON document into a duality view directly, or you can insert data
 into the tables that underlie a duality view. Examples illustrate these possibilities.
- Deleting Documents/Data From Duality-Views You can delete a JSON document from a duality view directly, or you can delete data from the tables that underlie a duality view. Examples illustrate these possibilities.
- Updating Documents/Data in Duality-Views You can update a JSON document in a duality view directly, or you can update data in the tables that underlie a duality view. You can update a document by replacing it entirely, or you can update only some of its fields. Examples illustrate these possibilities.
- Using Optimistic Concurrency Control With Duality Views You can use optimistic/lock-free concurrency control with duality views, writing JSON documents or committing their updates only when other sessions haven't modified them concurrently.



- Using the System Change Number (SCN) of a JSON Document A system change number (SCN) is a logical, internal, database time stamp. Metadata field asof records the SCN for the moment a document was retrieved from the database. You can use the SCN to ensure consistency when reading other data.
- Optimization of Operations on Duality-View Documents
 Operations on documents supported by a duality view in particular, queries are
 automatically rewritten as operations on the underlying table data. This optimization
 includes taking advantage of indexes. Because the underlying data types are fully known,
- implicit runtime type conversion can generally be avoided.
 Obtaining Information About a Duality View
 You can obtain information about a duality view, its underlying tables, their columns, and key-column links, using static data dictionary views. You can also obtain a JSON-schema

key-column links, using static data dictionary views. You can also obtain a JSON-schema description of a duality view, which includes a description of the structure and JSON-language types of the JSON documents it supports.

See Also:

- DROP VIEW in Oracle Database SQL Language Reference
- Product page Oracle Database API for MongoDB and book Oracle Database API for MongoDB.
- Product page Oracle REST Data Services (ORDS) and book Oracle REST Data Services Developer's Guide

4.1 Inserting Documents/Data Into Duality-Views

You can insert a JSON document into a duality view directly, or you can insert data into the tables that underlie a duality view. Examples illustrate these possibilities.

Note:

Unless called out explicitly to be otherwise:

- The examples here do not depend on each other in any way. In particular, there is no implied sequencing among them.
- Examples here that make use of duality views use the views defined in Car-Racing Example, Duality Views that are defined using UNNEST: Example 2-5, Example 2-7, and Example 2-9.
- Examples here that make use of tables use the tables defined in Car-Racing Example, Tables.

Inserting data (a row) into the root table that underlies one or more duality views creates a new document that is supported by each of those views. Only the fields of the view that are provided by that table are present in the document — all other fields are missing.



For example, inserting a row into table race inserts a document into view race_dv (which has table race as its root table), and that document contains race-specific fields; field result is missing, because it's derived from tables driver and driver race map, not race.

When inserting a document into a duality view, its field values are automatically converted to the required data types for the corresponding table columns. For example, a JSON field whose value is a supported ISO 8601 date-time format is automatically converted to a value of SQL type DATE, if DATE is the type of the corresponding column. If the type of some field cannot be converted to the required column type then an error is raised.

The value of a field that corresponds to a JSON-type column in an underlying table undergoes *no* such type conversion. When inserting a textual JSON document you can use the JSON type constructor with keyword EXTENDED, together with *extended objects* to provide JSON-language scalar values of Oracle-specific types, such as date. For example, you can use a textual field value such as {"\$oracleDate" : "2022-03-27"} to produce a JSON-type date value. (You can of course use the same technique to convert textual data to a JSON-type that you insert directly into an underlying table column.)

See Also:

- JSON Data Type Constructor
- Textual JSON Objects That Represent Extended Scalar Values in Oracle Database JSON Developer's Guide

Example 4-1 Inserting JSON Documents into Duality Views, Providing Primary-Key Fields — Using SQL

This example inserts three documents into view team_dv and three documents into view race dv. The primary-key fields, teamId and raceId, are provided explicitly.

The values of field date of the race documents here are ISO 8601 date-time strings. They are automatically converted to SQL DATE values, which are inserted into the underlying race table, because the column of table race that corresponds to field date has data type DATE.

In this example, only rudimentary, placeholder values are provided for fields/columns points (value 0) and podium (value {}). These serve to *populate* the view and its tables *initially*, defining the different kinds of races, but without yet recording actual race results.

Because points field/column values for individual drivers are shared between team documents/tables and driver documents/tables, *updating them in one place automatically updates them in the other*. The fields/columns happen to have the same names for these different views, but that's irrelevant. What matters are the relations among the duality views, not the field/column names.

Like insertions (and deletions), updates can be performed directly on duality views or on their underlying tables (see Example 4-3).



The intention in the car-racing example is for points and podium field values to be updated (replaced) dynamically as the result of car races. That updating is part of the presumed application logic.

Also assumed as part of the application logic is that a driver's position in a given race contributes to the accumulated points for that driver — the better a driver's position, the more points accumulated. That too can be taken care of by application code. Alternatively it can be taken care of using, for example, a BEFORE INSERT *trigger* on either duality view race dv or mapping-table driver race map (see Example 4-15).

```
-- Insert team documents into TEAM DV, providing primary-key field teamId.
INSERT INTO team dv VALUES ('{"teamId" : 301,
                             "name" : "Red Bull",
                             "points" : 0,
                             "driver" : [ {"driverId" : 101,
                                                     : "Max Verstappen",
                                           "name"
                                           "points" : 0},
                                          {"driverId" : 102,
                                           "name"
                                                    : "Sergio Perez",
                                           "points" : 0} ];
INSERT INTO team_dv VALUES ('{"teamId" : 302,
                             "name"
                                    : "Ferrari",
                             "points" : 0,
                             "driver" : [ {"driverId" : 103,
                                           "name"
                                                    : "Charles Leclerc",
                                           "points" : 0},
                                          {"driverId" : 104,
                                           "name" : "Carlos Sainz Jr",
                                           "points" : 0} ]}');
INSERT INTO team dv VALUES ('{"teamId" : 303,
                             "name" : "Mercedes",
                             "points" : 0,
                             "driver" : [ {"driverId" : 105,
                                           "name" : "George Russell",
                                           "points" : 0},
                                          {"driverId" : 106,
                                           "name" : "Lewis Hamilton",
                                           "points" : 0} ]}');
-- Insert race documents into RACE DV, providing primary-key field raceId.
INSERT INTO race dv VALUES ('{"raceId" : 201,
                             "name" : "Bahrain Grand Prix",
                             "laps" : 57,
                             "date" : "2022-03-20T00:00:00",
                             "podium" : {}}');
INSERT INTO race dv VALUES ('{"raceId" : 202,
                             "name" : "Saudi Arabian Grand Prix",
                             "laps" : 50,
                             "date" : "2022-03-27T00:00:00",
                             "podium" : {}}');
INSERT INTO race_dv VALUES ('{"raceId" : 203,
```

```
"name" : "Australian Grand Prix",
"laps" : 58,
"date" : "2022-04-09T00:00:00",
"podium" : {}}');
```

Example 4-2 Inserting JSON Documents into Duality Views, Providing Primary-Key Fields – Using REST

This example uses Oracle REST Data Services (ORDS) to do the same thing as Example 4-1. For brevity it inserts only one document into duality view team_dv and one document into race view race_dv. The database user (schema) that owns the example duality views is shown here as user JANUS.

Insert a document into view team dv:

Response:

```
201 Created
```

```
: 302,
{"teamId"
"name"
            : "Ferrari",
 "points"
            : 0,
"driver"
            : [ {"driverId" : 103,
                 "name" : "Charles Leclerc",
                 "points" : 0},
                 {"driverId" : 104,
                 "name"
                           : "Carlos Sainz Jr",
                 "points" : 0}],
 " metadata" : {"etag" : "DD9401D853765859714A6B8176BFC564",
               "asof" : "000000000000000"},
"links"
            : [ {"rel" : "self",
                 "href" : "http://localhost:8080/ords/janus/team dv/302"},
                {"rel" : "describedby",
                 "href" :
                  "http://localhost:8080/ords/janus/metadata-catalog/team dv/item"},
                 {"rel" : "collection",
                 "href" : "http://localhost:8080/ords/janus/team dv/"} ]}
```



Insert a document into view race dv:

```
curl --request POST \
    --url http://localhost:8080/ords/janus/race_dv/ \
    --header 'Content-Type: application/json' \
    --data '{"raceId" : 201,
            "name" : "Bahrain Grand Prix",
            "laps" : 57,
            "date" : "2022-03-20T00:00:00",
            "podium" : {}}'
```

Response:

```
201 Created
{"raceId" : 201,
 "name"
           : "Bahrain Grand Prix",
 "laps"
            : 57,
"date"
           : "2022-03-20T00:00:00",
 "podium"
           : {},
 "result" : [],
 " metadata" : {"etag" : "2E8DC09543DD25DC7D588FB9734D962B",
               "asof" : "0000000000000000"},
            : [ {"rel" : "self",
 "links"
                 "href" : "http://localhost:8080/ords/janus/race dv/201"},
                {"rel" : "describedby",
                 "href" :
                  "http://localhost:8080/ords/janus/metadata-catalog/race dv/item"},
                {"rel" : "collection",
                 "href" : "http://localhost:8080/ords/janus/race dv/"} ]}
```

See Also:

Support for JSON-Relational Duality View in Oracle REST Data Services Developer's Guide

Example 4-3 Inserting JSON Data into Tables

This example shows an alternative to inserting JSON *documents* into *duality views*. It inserts JSON *data* into *tables* team and race.

The inserted data corresponds to only part of the associated documents — the part that's specific to the view type. Each table has columns only for data that's not covered by another table (the tables are normalized).

Because the table data is normalized, the table-row insertions are reflected everywhere that data is used, including the documents supported by the views.

Here too, as in Example 4-1, the points of a team and the podium of a race are given rudimentary (initial) values.

```
INSERT INTO team VALUES (301, 'Red Bull', 0);
INSERT INTO team VALUES (302, 'Ferrari', 0);
```

```
INSERT INTO race
VALUES (201, 'Bahrain Grand Prix', 57, DATE '2022-03-20', '{}');
INSERT INTO race
VALUES (202, 'Saudi Arabian Grand Prix', 50, DATE '2022-03-27', '{}');
INSERT INTO race
VALUES (203, 'Australian Grand Prix', 58, DATE '2022-04-09', '{}');
```

Example 4-4 Inserting a JSON Document into a Duality View Without Providing Primary-Key Fields — Using SQL

This example inserts a driver document into duality view driver_dv, without providing the primary-key field (driverId). The value of this field is automatically *generated* (because the underlying primary-key column is defined using INTEGER GENERATED BY DEFAULT ON NULL AS IDENTITY). The example then prints that generated field value.

```
-- Insert a driver document into DRIVER DV, without providing a primary-key
-- field (driverId). The field is provided automatically, with a
-- generated, unique numeric value.
-- SQL/JSON function json value is used to return the value into bind
-- variable DRIVERID.
VAR driverid NUMBER;
INSERT INTO driver dv dv VALUES ('{"name" : "Liam Lawson",
                                  "points" : 0,
                                  "teamId" : 301,
                                  "team" : "Red Bull",
                                  "race" : []}')
 RETURNING json value (DATA, '$.driverId') INTO :driverid;
SELECT json serialize(data PRETTY) FROM driver dv d
 WHERE d.DATA.name = 'Liam Lawson';
               {" metadata" : {"etag" : "F9D9815DFF27879F61386CFD1622B065",
                              "asof" : "000000000000000C20CE"},
               "driverId" : 7,
                         : "Liam Lawson",
               "name"
               "points"
                          : 0,
               "teamId" : 301,
                        : "Red Bull",
               "team"
               "race"
                          : []}
```

```
Example 4-5 Inserting a JSON Document into a Duality View Without Providing Primary-Key Fields — Using REST
```

This example uses Oracle REST Data Services (ORDS) to do the same thing as Example 4-4. The database user (schema) that owns the example duality views is shown here as user JANUS.

```
curl --request POST \
    --url http://localhost:8080/ords/janus/driver_dv/ \
    --header 'Content-Type: application/json' \
```

```
--data '{"name" : "Liam Lawson",
    "points" : 0,
    "teamId" : 301,
    "team" : "Red Bull",
    "race" : []}'
```

Response:

```
201 Created
{"driverId" : 7,
 "name"
            : "Liam Lawson",
 "points" : 0,
"teamId" : 301,
 "points"
           : "Red Bull",
 "team"
 "race"
            : [],
 " metadata" : {"etag" : "F9EDDA58103C3A601CA3E0F49E1949C6",
                "asof" : "0000000000000020CE"},
 "links"
            : [ {"rel" : "self",
                  "href" : "http://localhost:8080/ords/janus/driver dv/23"},
                 {"rel" : "describedby",
                  "href" :
                   "http://localhost:8080/ords/janus/metadata-catalog/driver dv/item"},
                 {"rel" : "collection",
                  "href" : "http://localhost:8080/ords/janus/driver dv/"} ]}
```

Related Topics

```
Updatable JSON-Relational Duality Views
Applications can update JSON documents supported by a duality view, if you define the
view as updatable. You can specify which kinds of updating operations (update, insertion,
and deletion) are allowed, for which document fields, how/when, and by whom. You can
also specify which fields participate in ETAG hash values.
```

See Also:

Support for JSON-Relational Duality View in Oracle REST Data Services Developer's Guide

4.2 Deleting Documents/Data From Duality-Views

You can delete a JSON document from a duality view directly, or you can delete data from the tables that underlie a duality view. Examples illustrate these possibilities.



Note:

Unless called out explicitly to be otherwise:

- The examples here do not depend on each other in any way. In particular, there is no implied sequencing among them.
- Examples here that make use of duality views use the views defined in Car-Racing Example, Duality Views that are defined using UNNEST: Example 2-5, Example 2-7, and Example 2-9.
- Examples here that make use of tables use the tables defined in Car-Racing Example, Tables.

Deleting a row from a table that is the root (top-level) table of one or more duality views deletes the documents that correspond to that row from those views.

Example 4-6 Deleting a JSON Document from Duality View RACE_DV — Using SQL

This example deletes the race document with raceId 202 from the race duality view, race dv. (This is one of the documents with race name Saudi Arabian GP.)

The corresponding rows are deleted from underlying tables race and driver race map (one row from each table).

Nothing is deleted from the driver table, however, because in the <code>race_dv</code> definition table driver is annotated with <code>NODELETE</code> (see Updating Rule 5.) Pretty-printing documents for duality views <code>race_dv</code> and <code>driver_dv</code> shows the effect of the race-document deletion.

```
SELECT json_serialize(DATA PRETTY) FROM race_dv;
SELECT json serialize(DATA PRETTY) FROM driver dv;
```

DELETE FROM race_dv dv WHERE dv.DATA.raceId.numberOnly() = 202;

```
SELECT json_serialize(DATA PRETTY) FROM race_dv;
SELECT json serialize(DATA PRETTY) FROM driver dv;
```

The queries before and after the deletion show that *only* this race document was *deleted* — no driver documents were deleted:



Example 4-7 Deleting a JSON Document from Duality View RACE_DV — Using REST

This examples uses Oracle REST Data Services (ORDS) to do the same thing as Example 4-6. The database user (schema) that owns the example duality views is shown here as user JANUS.

```
curl --request GET \
    --url http://localhost:8080/ords/janus/race_dv/
curl --request GET \
    --url http://localhost:8080/ords/janus/driver_dv/
curl --request DELETE \
    --url http://localhost:8080/ords/janus/race_dv/202
```

Response from DELETE:

200 OK {"rowsDeleted" : 1}

Using a GET request on each of the duality views, race_dv and driver_dv, both before and after the deletion shows that *only* this race document was *deleted* — no driver documents were deleted:

```
{"raceId"
             : 202,
"name"
            : "Saudi Arabian Grand Prix",
"laps"
            : 50,
"date"
            : "2022-03-27T00:00:00",
"podium"
            : {},
"result"
            : [],
" metadata" : {"etag" : "7E056A845212BFDE19E0C0D0CD549EA0",
               "asof" : "0000000000000020B1"},
"links"
             : [ {"rel" : "self",
                  "href" : "http://localhost:8080/ords/janus/race dv/202"} ]} ],
```

Related Topics

Updatable JSON-Relational Duality Views

Applications can update JSON documents supported by a duality view, if you define the view as updatable. You can specify which kinds of updating operations (update, insertion, and deletion) are allowed, for which document fields, how/when, and by whom. You can also specify which fields participate in ETAG hash values.

See Also:

Support for JSON-Relational Duality View in Oracle REST Data Services Developer's Guide



4.3 Updating Documents/Data in Duality-Views

You can update a JSON document in a duality view directly, or you can update data in the tables that underlie a duality view. You can update a document by replacing it entirely, or you can update only some of its fields. Examples illustrate these possibilities.

Note:

Unless called out explicitly to be otherwise:

- The examples here do not depend on each other in any way. In particular, there is no implied sequencing among them.
- Examples here that make use of duality views use the views defined in Car-Racing Example, Duality Views that are defined using UNNEST: Example 2-5, Example 2-7, and Example 2-9.
- Examples here that make use of tables use the tables defined in Car-Racing Example, Tables.

Note:

In a general sense, "updating" includes update, insert, and delete operations. This topic is only about update operations, which modify one or more existing documents or their underlying tables. Insert and delete operations are covered in topics Inserting Documents/Data Into Duality-Views and Deleting Documents/Data From Duality-Views, respectively.

An update operation on a duality view can update (that is, replace) *complete documents*, or it can update the values of one or more *fields* of existing objects. An update to an array-valued field can include the *insertion or deletion of array elements*.

An update operation cannot add or remove members (field–value pairs) of any object that's explicitly defined by a duality view. For the same reason, an update can't add or remove objects, other than what the view definition provides for.

Any such update would represent a *change in the view definition*, which specifies the structure and typing of the documents it supports. If you need to make this kind of change then you must *redefine the view*; you can do that using CREATE **OR REPLACE** JSON RELATIONAL DUALITY VIEW.

On the other hand, a JSON value defined by an underlying column that's of data type JSON is, by default, unconstrained — any changes to it are allowed, as long as the resulting JSON is well-formed. Values that correspond to a JSON-type column in an underlying table are constrained only by a JSON schema, if any, that applies to that column.



See Also: JSON Schema in Oracle Database JSON Developer's Guide

Updating a row of a table that underlies one or more duality views updates all documents (supported by any duality view) that have data corresponding to (that is, taken from) data in that table row. (Other data in the updated documents is unchanged.)

Note:

An update of documents supported by a JSON-relational duality view, or of the table data underlying them, is reported by SQL as having updated some rows of data, even if the content of that data is not changed. This is standard SQL behavior. A successful update operation is always reported as having updated the rows it targets. This also reflects the fact that there can be triggers or row-transformation operators that accompany an update operation and that, themselves, can change the data.

Note:

In general, if you produce SQL character data of a type other than NVARCHAR2, NCLOB, and NCHAR from a JSON string, and if the character set of that target data type is not Unicode-based, then the conversion can undergo a *lossy* character-set conversion for characters that can't be represented in the character set of that SQL type.

Example 4-8 Updating an Entire JSON Document in a Duality View — Using SQL

This example replaces the race document in duality view <code>race_dv</code> whose primary-key field <code>raceId</code> has value 201. It uses SQL operation <code>UPDATE</code> to do this, setting that row of the single JSON column (DATA) of the view to the new value.

It selects and serializes/pretty-prints the document before and after the update operation using SQL/JSON function json_value and Oracle SQL function json_serialize, to show the change. The result of serialization is shown only partially here.

The new, replacement JSON document includes the results of the race, which includes the race date, the podium values (top-three placements), and the result values for each driver.



```
"podium"
                     : {"winner"
                                        : {"name" : "Charles Leclerc",
                                            "time" : "01:37:33.584"},
                         "firstRunnerUp" : {"name" : "Carlos Sainz Jr",
                                            "time" : "01:37:39.182"},
                         "secondRunnerUp" : {"name" : "Lewis Hamilton",
                                            "time" : "01:37:43.259"}},
           "result"
                      : [ {"driverRaceMapId" : 3,
                           "position" : 1,
                           "driverInfo"
                                            :
                             {"driverId" : 103,
                              "name" : "Charles Leclerc"}},
                           {"driverRaceMapId" : 4,
                           "position" : 2,
                           "driverInfo"
                                            :
                             {"driverId" : 104,
                              "name" : "Carlos Sainz Jr"}},
                           {"driverRaceMapId" : 9,
                                         : 3,
                           "position"
                           "driverInfo"
                             {"driverId" : 106,
                             "name" : "Lewis Hamilton"}},
                          {"driverRaceMapId" : 10,
                           "position" : 4,
                           "driverInfo"
                             {"driverId" : 105,
                              "name" : "George Russell"}} ]}')
WHERE json_value(DATA, '$.raceId.numberOnly()') = 201;
```

COMMIT;

```
SELECT json_serialize(DATA PRETTY)
FROM race dv WHERE json value(DATA, '$.raceId.numberOnly()') = 201;
```

Example 4-9 Updating an Entire JSON Document in a Duality View — Using REST

This examples uses Oracle REST Data Services (ORDS) to do the same thing as Example 4-8. The database user (schema) that owns the example duality views is shown here as user JANUS.

```
curl --request PUT \
 --url http://localhost:8080/ords/janus/race dv/201 \
 --header 'Content-Type: application/json' \
 --data '{"_metadata" : {"etag":"2E8DC09543DD25DC7D588FB9734D962B"},
           "raceId" : 201,
          "name"
                    : "Bahrain Grand Prix",
           "laps"
                      : 57,
                      : "2022-03-20T00:00:00",
           "date"
          "podium"
                      : {"winner" : {"name" : "Charles Leclerc",
                         "time"
                                        : "01:37:33.584"},
                         "firstRunnerUp" : {"name" : "Carlos Sainz Jr",
                                            "time" : "01:37:39.182"},
                         "secondRunnerUp" : {"name" : "Lewis Hamilton",
                                             "time" : "01:37:43.259"}},
           "result"
                      : [ {"driverRaceMapId" : 3,
                           "position"
                                        : 1,
```

Response:

```
200 OK
{"raceId"
           : 201,
"name"
           : "Bahrain Grand Prix",
"laps"
           : 57,
 "date"
           : "2022-03-20T00:00:00",
 "podium"
           : {"winner" : {"name": "Charles Leclerc",
                                 "time": "01:37:33.584"},
               · · · },
 "result"
           : [ {"driverRaceMapId" : 3, ...} ],
 ...}
```

See Also:

Support for JSON-Relational Duality View in Oracle REST Data Services Developer's Guide

Example 4-10 Updating Part of a JSON Document in a Duality View

This example replaces the value of field name of *each* race document in duality view race_dv whose field name matches the LIKE pattern Bahr%. It uses SQL operation UPDATE and Oracle SQL function json_transform to do this. The new, replacement document is the same as the one replaced, except for the value of field name.

Operation SET of function json transform is used to perform the partial-document update.

The example selects and serializes/pretty-prints the documents before and after the update operation using SQL/JSON function <code>json_value</code> and Oracle SQL function <code>json_serialize</code>. The result of serialization is shown only partially here, and in the car-racing example as a whole there is only one document with the matching race name.

```
SELECT json serialize(DATA PRETTY)
```

FROM race dv WHERE json value(DATA, '\$.name') LIKE 'Bahr%';

UPDATE race dv dv



```
SET DATA = json_transform(DATA, SET '$.name' = 'Blue Air Bahrain Grand Prix')
WHERE dv.DATA.name LIKE 'Bahr%';
```

COMMIT;

```
SELECT json_serialize(DATA PRETTY)
FROM race dv WHERE json value(DATA, '$.name') LIKE 'Bahr%';
```

Note that replacing the value of an existing field applies also to fields, such as field podium of view race_dv, which correspond to an underlying table column of data-type JSON.

Note:

Field etag is not passed as input when doing a partial-document update, so *no ETAG-value comparison* is performed by the database in such cases. This means that you *cannot use optimistic concurrency control for partial-document updates*.

Example 4-11 Updating Interrelated JSON Documents — Using SQL

Driver Charles Leclerc belongs to team Ferrari, and driver George Russell belongs to team Mercedes. This example swaps these two drivers between the two teams, by updating the Mercedes and Ferrari team documents.

Because driver information is shared between team documents and driver documents, field teamID of the *driver* documents for those two drivers automatically gets updated appropriately when the *team* documents are updated.

Alternatively, if it were allowed then we could update the *driver* documents for the two drivers, to change the value of teamId. That would simultaneously update the two team documents. However, the definition of view driver_dv disallows making any changes to fields that are supported by table team. Trying to do that raises an error, as shown in Example 4-13.

```
-- Update (replace) entire team documents for teams Mercedes and Ferrari,
-- to swap drivers Charles Leclerc and George Russell between the teams.
-- That is, redefine each team to include the new set of drivers.
UPDATE team dv dv
 SET DATA = ('{" metadata" : {"etag" : "039A7874ACEE6B6709E06E42E4DC6355"},
               "teamId" : 303,
               "name"
                          : "Mercedes",
               "points"
                         : 40,
               "driver" : [ {"driverId" : 106,
                                "name" : "Lewis Hamilton",
                               "points" : 15},
                               {"driverId" : 103,
                                "name" : "Charles Leclerc",
                                "points" : 25} ]}')
   WHERE dv.DATA.name LIKE 'Mercedes%';
```

UPDATE team dv dv

```
SET DATA = ('{" metadata" : {"etag" : "DA69DD103E8BAE95A0C09811B7EC9628"},
               "teamId" : 302,
               "name"
                         : "Ferrari",
               "points" : 30,
                        : [ {"driverId" : 105,
               "driver"
                               "name"
                                          : "George Russell",
                               "points" : 12},
                               {"driverId" : 104,
                               "name"
                                         : "Carlos Sainz Jr",
                               "points" : 18} ]}')
   WHERE dv.DATA.name LIKE 'Ferrari%';
COMMIT;
```

-- Show that the driver documents reflect the change of team -- membership made by updating the team documents. SELECT json_serialize(DATA PRETTY) FROM **driver_dv** dv WHERE dv.DATA.name LIKE **'Charles Leclerc%'**;

```
SELECT json_serialize(DATA PRETTY) FROM driver_dv dv
WHERE dv.DATA.name LIKE 'George Russell%';
```

Example 4-12 Updating Interrelated JSON Documents — Using REST

This examples uses Oracle REST Data Services (ORDS) to do the same thing as Example 4-11. It updates teams Mercedes and Ferrari by doing PUT operations on team_dv/303 and team_dv/302, respectively. The database user (schema) that owns the example duality views is shown here as user JANUS.

```
curl --request PUT \
    --url http://localhost:8080/ords/janus/team_dv/303 \
    --header 'Content-Type: application/json' \
    --data '{"_metadata" : {"etag":"438EDE8A9BA06008C4DE9FA67FD856B4"},
        "teamId" : 303,
        "name" : "Mercedes",
        "points" : 40,
        "driver" : [ {"driverId" : 106,
            "name" : "Lewis Hamilton",
            "points" : 15},
        {"driverId" : 103,
            "name" : "Charles Leclerc",
            "points" : 25} ]}'
```

You can use GET operations to check that the driver documents reflect the change of team membership made by updating the team documents. The URLs for this are encoded versions of these:

http://localhost:8080/ords/janus/driver_dv/?q={"name":{"\$eq":"Charles
Leclerc"}}



http://localhost:8080/ords/janus/driver_dv/?q={"name":{"\$eq":"George Russell"}}

```
curl --request GET \
    --url 'http://localhost:8080/ords/janus/driver_dv/?
q=%7B%22name%22%3A%7B%22%24eq%22%3A%22Charles%20Leclerc%22%7D%7D'
```

Response:

```
curl --request GET \
    --url 'http://localhost:8080/ords/janus/driver_dv/?
q=%7B%22name%22%3A%7B%22%24eq%22%3A%22George%20Russell%22%7D%7D'
```

Response:

See Also:

Support for JSON-Relational Duality View in Oracle REST Data Services Developer's Guide

Example 4-13 Attempting a Disallowed Updating Operation Raises an Error — Using SQL

This example tries to update a field for which the duality view *disallows* updating, raising an error. (Similar behavior occurs when attempting disallowed insert and delete operations.)



The example tries to change the team of driver Charles Leclerc to team Ferrari, *using view driver_dv*. This violates the definition of this part of that view, which disallows updates to *any* fields whose underlying table is team:

```
(SELECT JSON { 'teamId' : t.team id,
                            'team' : t.name WITH NOCHECK}
                 FROM team t WITH NOINSERT NOUPDATE NODELETE
UPDATE driver dv dv
 SET DATA = ('{" metadata" : {"etag" : "E3ACA7412C1D8F95D052CD7D6A3E90C9"},
                "driverId" : 103,
                "name" : "Charles Leclerc",
                "points" : 25,
                "teamId" : 303,
                "team"
                          : "Ferrari",
                "race"
                          : [ {"driverRaceMapId" : 3,
                                "raceId" : 201,
"name" : "Bab
                                "name"
                                                 : "Bahrain Grand Prix",
                                 "finalPosition" : 1} ]}')
 WHERE dv.DATA.driverId = 103;
              UPDATE driver dv dv
              *
              ERROR at line 1:
              ORA-40940: Cannot update field 'team' corresponding to column 'NAME' of table
               'TEAM' in JSON Relational Duality View 'DRIVER DV': Missing UPDATE annotation
              or NOUPDATE annotation specified.
```

Note that the error message refers to column NAME of table TEAM.

Example 4-14 Attempting a Disallowed Updating Operation Raises an Error — Using REST

This examples uses Oracle REST Data Services (ORDS) to do the same thing as Example 4-13. The database user (schema) that owns the example duality views is shown here as user JANUS.

```
curl --request PUT \setminus
  --url http://localhost:8080/ords/janus/driver dv/103 \
 --header 'Accept: application/json' \
 --header 'Content-Type: application/json' \
  --data '{"_metadata" : {"etag":"F7D1270E63DDB44D81DA5C42B1516A00"},
          "driverId" : 103,
          "name"
                    : "Charles Leclerc",
          "points"
                     : 25,
          "teamId" : 303,
          "team"
                    : "Ferrari",
          "race"
                     : [ {"driverRaceMapId" : 3,
                           "raceId" : 201,
                           "name"
                                           : "Bahrain Grand Prix",
                           "finalPosition" : 1} ]}'
```



Response:

```
HTTP/1.1 412 Precondition Failed
{
        "code": "PredconditionFailed",
        "message": "Predcondition Failed",
        "type": "tag:oracle.com,2020:error/PredconditionFailed",
        "instance": "tag:oracle.com,2020:ecid/LVm-2D0IAFUkHzscNzznRg"
}
```

🖍 See Also:

Support for JSON-Relational Duality View in Oracle REST Data Services Developer's Guide

Example 4-15 Using a Trigger To Update Driver Points Based On Car-Race Position

Part of the car-racing application logic is to dynamically increment the accumulated points for each driver in a race by the driver's position in that race.

An alternative to implementing this logic using application code is to define it as part of the definition of the application data, using, for example, a BEFORE INSERT trigger on duality view race_dv or on mapping-table driver_race_map. This example does the latter.

Each row of table driver_race_map is processed when the trigger fires, which is just before each insert of data into the table. When a row is processed, pseudorecord **NEW** (referenced as :NEW) has the new value for the row. For example, :NEW.position is the new value of the driver's position in the given race.

```
CREATE OR REPLACE TRIGGER driver race map trigger
  BEFORE INSERT ON driver race map
  FOR EACH ROW
  DECLARE
    v points INTEGER;
    v team id INTEGER;
BEGIN
  SELECT team id INTO v team id FROM driver
    WHERE driver id = :NEW.driver id;
        :NEW.position = 1 THEN
  ΙF
    v points := 25;
  ELSIF :NEW.position = 2 THEN
    v points := 18;
  ELSIF :NEW.position = 3 THEN
    v points := 15;
  ELSIF :NEW.position = 4 THEN
    v points := 12;
  ELSIF :NEW.position = 5 THEN
    v points := 10;
  ELSIF :NEW.position = 6 THEN
    v points := 8;
```



```
ELSIF :NEW.position = 7 THEN
    v points := 6;
  ELSIF :NEW.position = 8 THEN
    v points := 4;
  ELSIF :NEW.position = 9 THEN
    v points := 2;
  ELSIF :NEW.position = 10 THEN
    v points := 1;
  ELSE
    v points := 0;
  END IF;
  UPDATE driver SET points = points + v points
    WHERE driver id = :NEW.driver id;
  UPDATE team SET points = points + v points
    WHERE team id = v team id;
END;
/
```

Trigger Considerations When Using Duality Views

Triggers that modify data in tables underlying duality views can be problematic. Guidelines are presented for avoiding problems. As a general rule, in a trigger body avoid changing values of primary-key columns and columns that contribute to the ETAG value of a duality view.

Related Topics

```
    Updatable JSON-Relational Duality Views
```

Applications can update JSON documents supported by a duality view, if you define the view as updatable. You can specify which kinds of updating operations (update, insertion, and deletion) are allowed, for which document fields, how/when, and by whom. You can also specify which fields participate in ETAG hash values.

🖍 See Also:

- DML Triggers in Oracle Database PL/SQL Language Reference
- Correlation Names and Pseudorecords in Oracle Database PL/SQL Language Reference

4.3.1 Trigger Considerations When Using Duality Views

Triggers that modify data in tables underlying duality views can be problematic. Guidelines are presented for avoiding problems. As a general rule, in a trigger body avoid changing values of primary-key columns and columns that contribute to the ETAG value of a duality view.

For any *trigger* that you create on a table underlying a duality view, Oracle recommends the following. Otherwise, although no error is raised when you create the trigger, an error can be raised when it is fired. There are two problematic cases to consider. ("*firing <DML>*" here refers to a DML statement that results in the trigger being fired.)



Case 1: The trigger body changes the value of a *primary-key* column, using correlation name (pseudorecord) :NEW. For example, a trigger body contains :NEW.zipcode = 94065.

Do not do this unless the firing <DML> sets the column value to NULL. Primary-key values must never be changed (except from a NULL value).

 Case 2 (rare): The trigger body changes the value of a column in a different table from the table being updated by the *firing <DML>*, and that column contributes to the ETAG value of a duality view — *any* duality view.

For example:

- The firing <DML> is UPDATE emp SET zipcode = '94065' WHERE emp_id = '40295';.
- The trigger body contains the DML statement UPDATE dept SET budget = 10000 WHERE dept_id = '592';.
- Table dept underlies some duality view, and column dept.budget contributes to the ETAG value of that duality view.

This is because updating such a column changes the ETAG value of any documents containing a field corresponding to the column. This interferes with concurrency control, which uses such values to guard against concurrent modification. An ETAG change from a trigger is indistinguishable from an ETAG change from another, concurrent session.

See Also:

- DML Triggers in Oracle Database PL/SQL Language Reference
- Correlation Names and Pseudorecords in Oracle Database PL/SQL Language Reference

4.4 Using Optimistic Concurrency Control With Duality Views

You can use optimistic/lock-free concurrency control with duality views, writing JSON documents or committing their updates only when other sessions haven't modified them concurrently.

Optimistic concurrency control at the document level uses embedded ETAG values in field etag, which is in the object that is the value of field metadata.



Note:

Unless called out explicitly to be otherwise:

- The examples here do not depend on each other in any way. In particular, there is no implied sequencing among them.
- Examples here that make use of duality views use the views defined in Car-Racing Example, Duality Views that are defined using UNNEST: Example 2-5, Example 2-7, and Example 2-9.
- Examples here that make use of tables use the tables defined in Car-Racing Example, Tables.

Document-centric applications sometimes use optimistic concurrency control to prevent lost updates, that is, to manage the problem of multiple database sessions interfering with each other by modifying data they use commonly.

Optimistic concurrency for documents is based on the idea that, when trying to persist (write) a modified document, the currently persisted document content is checked against the content to which the desired modification was applied (locally). That is, the current persistent state/version of the content is compared with the app's record of the persisted content as last read.

If the two differ, that means that the content last read is stale. The application then retrieves the last-persisted content, uses that as the new starting point for modification — and tries to write the newly modified document. Writing succeeds only when the content last read by the app is the same as the currently persisted content.

This approach generally provides for high levels of concurrency, with advantages for interactive applications (no human wait time), mobile disconnected apps (write attempts using stale documents are canceled), and document caching (write attempts using stale caches are canceled).

The lower the likelihood of concurrent database operations on the same data, the greater the efficacy of optimistic concurrency. If there is a great deal of contention for the same data then you might need to use a different concurrency-control technique.

In a nutshell, this is the general technique you use in application code to implement optimistic concurrency:

- 1. *Read* some data to be modified. From that read, *record a local* representation of the unmodified state of the data (its persistent, last-committed state).
- 2. *Modify* the local copy of the data.
- 3. *Write* (persist) the modified data *only if* the now-current persistent state is the same as the state that was recorded.

In other words: you ensure that the data is *still unmodified*, before persisting the modification. If the data was modified since the last read then you try again, *repeating* steps 1–3.

For a JSON document supported by a duality view, you do this by checking the document's etag field, which is in the object that is the value of top-level field metadata.

The ETAG value in field etag records the document content that you want checked for optimistic concurrency control.



By default, it includes *all* of the document content *per se*, that is, the document **payload**. Field _metadata (whose value includes field etag) is not part of the payload; it is always excluded from the ETAG calculation.

In addition to field metadata, you can exclude selected payload fields from ETAG calculation — data whose modification you decide is unimportant to concurrency control. Changes to that data since it was last read by your app then won't prevent an updating operation. (In relational terms this is like not locking specific columns within a row that is otherwise locked.)

Document content that corresponds to columns governed by a **NOCHECK** annotation in a duality-view definition does not participate in the calculation of the ETAG value of documents supported by that view. All other content participates in the calculation. The ETAG value is based only on the underlying table columns that are (implicitly or explicitly) marked CHECK. See Annotation (NO)CHECK, To Include/Exclude Fields for ETAG Calculation.

Here's an example of a race document, showing field _metadata, with its etag field, followed by the document payload. See Car-Racing Example, Duality Views for more information about document metadata.

Oracle ETAG concurrency control is thus **value-based**, or **content-based**. Conflicting updates are detected by examining, in effect, the *content of the data* itself.

- *Read/get operations automatically update* field etag, which records the current persistent state of the CHECKable *document content* as an HTTP ETAG hash value.
- Write/put operations automatically reject a document if its etag value doesn't match that of the current persistent (last-committed) data. That is, Oracle Database raises an error if the data has been modified since your last read, so your application need only check for a write error to decide whether to repeat steps 1–3.

Figure 4-1 illustrates the process.






Figure 4-1 Optimistic Concurrency Control Process

Basing concurrency control on the actual persisted data/content is more powerful and more reliable than using locks or surrogate information such as document version numbers and timestamps.

Because they are value-based, Oracle ETAGs automatically synchronize updates to data in *different documents*. And they automatically ensure *consistency between document updates and direct updates to underlying tables* — document APIs and SQL applications can update the same data concurrently.

Steps 2 (modify locally) and 3 (write) are actually combined. When you provide the modified document for an update operation you include the ETAG value returned by a read operation, as the value of modified document's etag field.

An attempted update operation fails if the current content of the document in the database is different from that etag field value, because it means that something has changed the document in the database since you last read it. If the operation fails, then you try again: read again to get the latest ETAG value, then try again to update using that ETAG value in field etag.

For example, suppose that two different database sessions, S1 and S2, update the same document, perhaps concurrently, for the race named Bahrain Grand Prix (raceId=201), as follows:



- Session S1 performs the update of Example 4-8 or Example 4-9, filling in the race results (fields laps, date, podium and results).
- Session S2 performs the update of Example 4-10, which renames the race to Blue Air Bahrain Grand Prix.

Each session can use optimistic concurrency for its update operations, to ensure that what it modifies is the latest document content, by repeating the following two steps until the update operation (step 2) succeeds, and then COMMIT the change.

1. Read (select) the document. The value of field etag of the retrieved document encodes the current (CHECKable) content of the document in the database.

Example 4-16 and Example 4-17 illustrate this.

2. Try to update the document, using the modified content but with field etag as retrieved in step 1.

For session S1, the update operation is Example 4-8 or Example 4-9. For session S2, it is Example 4-10.

Failure of an update operation because the ETAG value doesn't match the current persistent (last-committed) state of the document raises an error.

Here is an example of such an error from SQL:

```
UPDATE race_dv *

ERROR at line 1:

ORA-42699: Cannot update JSON Relational Duality View 'RACE_DV': The ETAG of

document with ID 'FB03C2030200' in the database did not match the ETAG passed

in.
```

Here is an example of such an error from REST. The ETAG value provided in the If-Match header was not the same as what is in the race document.

```
Response: 412 Precondition Failed
{"code" : "PredconditionFailed",
    "message" : "Predcondition Failed",
    "type" : "tag:oracle.com,2020:error/PredconditionFailed",
    "instance" : "tag:oracle.com,2020:ecid/y2TAT5WW9pLZDNulicwHKA"}
```

If multiple operations act concurrently on two documents that have content corresponding to the same underlying table data, and if that content participates in the ETAG calculation for its document, then at most one of the operations can succeed. Because of this an error is raised whenever an attempt to concurrently modify the same underlying data is detected. The error message tells you that a conflicting operation was detected, and if possible it tells you the document field for which the conflict was detected.

JSON-relational duality means you can also use ETAGs with *table* data, for *lock-free row updates* using SQL. To do that, use function **SYS_ROW_ETAG**, to obtain the current state of a *given set of columns* in a table row as an ETAG hash value.

Function SYS_ROW_ETAG calculates the ETAG value for a row using only the values of specified columns in the row: you pass it the names of all columns that you want to be



sure no other session tries to update concurrently. This includes the columns that the current session intends to update, but also any other columns on whose value that updating operation logically depends for your application. (The order in which you pass the columns to SYS ROW ETAG as arguments is irrelevant.)

The example here supposes that two different database sessions, S3 and S4, update the same race table data, perhaps concurrently, for the race whose raceId is 201, as follows:

- Session S3 tries to update column podium, to publish the podium values for the race.
- Session S4 tries to update column name, to rename the race to Blue Air Bahrain Grand Prix.

Each of the sessions could use optimistic concurrency control to ensure that it updates the given row without interference. For that, each would (1) obtain the current ETAG value for the row it wants to update, and then (2) attempt the update, passing that ETAG value. If the operation failed then it would repeat those steps — it would try again with a fresh ETAG value, until the update succeeded (at which point it would commit the update).

Example 4-16 Obtain the Current ETAG Value for a Race Document From Field etag — Using SQL

This example selects the document for the race with raceId 201. It serializes the native binary JSON-type data to text, and pretty-prints it. The ETAG value, in field etag of the object that is the value of top-level field metadata, encodes the current content of the document.

You use that etag field and its value in the modified document that you provide to an update operation.

```
SELECT json serialize (DATA PRETTY)
 FROM race dv WHERE json value(DATA, '$.raceId, numberOnly()') = 201;
              JSON SERIALIZE (DATAPRETTY)
               _____
                " metadata" :
                { "etag" : "E43B9872FC26C6BB74922C74F7EF73DC",
                  "asof" : "0000000000000020BA"
                },
                "raceId" : 201,
                "name" : "Bahrain Grand Prix",
                "laps" : 57,
                "date" : "2022-03-20T00:00:00",
                "podium" :
                {
                },
                "result" :
                ſ
                1
               }
```

```
1 row selected.
```



Example 4-17 Obtain the Current ETAG Value for a Race Document From Field etag — Using REST

This examples uses Oracle REST Data Services (ORDS) to do the same thing as Example 4-16. The database user (schema) that owns the example duality views is shown here as user JANUS.

```
curl --request GET \
    --url http://localhost:8080/ords/janus/race dv/201
```

Response:

```
{"raceId" : 201,
"name" : "Bahrain Grand Prix",
 "laps"
            : 57,
 "date"
           : "2022-03-20T00:00:00",
 . . .
 " metadata" : {"etag": "20F7D9F0C69AC5F959DCA819F9116848",
               "asof": "0000000000000000"},
 "links"
           : [ {"rel": "self",
                 "href": "http://localhost:8080/ords/janus/race dv/201"},
                {"rel": "describedby",
                 "href": "http://localhost:8080/ords/janus/metadata-catalog/race dv/
item"},
                {"rel": "collection",
                 "href": "http://localhost:8080/ords/janus/race dv/"} ]}
```

Example 4-18 Using Function SYS_ROW_ETAG To Optimistically Control Concurrent Table Updates

Two database sessions, S3 and S4, try to update the same row of table <code>race:</code> the row where column <code>race_id</code> has value 201.

For simplicity, we show optimistic concurrency control only for session S3 here; for session S4 we show just a successful update operation for column name.

In this scenario:

- Session S3 passes columns name, race_date, and podium to function SYS_ROW_ETAG, under the assumption that (for whatever reason) while updating column podium, S3 wants to prevent other sessions from changing any of columns name, race_date, and podium.
- 2. Session S4 updates column name, and commits that update.
- 3. S3 tries to update column podium, passing the ETAG value it obtained. Because of S4's update of the same row, this attempt fails.



4. S3 tries again to update the row, using a fresh ETAG value. This attempt succeeds, and S3 commits the change.

```
-- S3 gets ETAG based on columns name, race_date, and podium.
SELECT SYS ROW ETAG(name, race date, podium)
 FROM race WHERE race id = 201;
              SYS_ROW_ETAG (NAME, RACE_DATE, PODIUM)
              _____
              201FC3BA2EA5E94AA7D44D958873039D
              -- S4 successfully updates column name of the same row.
              UPDATE race SET name = 'Blue Air Bahrain Grand Prix'
                WHERE race id = 201;
              1 row updated.
              -- S3 unsuccessfully tries to update column podium.
              --
                   It passes the ETAG value, to ensure it's OK to update.
              UPDATE race SET podium =
                              '{"winner"
                                               : {"name" : "Charles Leclerc",
                                                   "time" : "01:37:33.584"},
                                "firstRunnerUp" : {"name" : "Carlos Sainz Jr",
                                                   "time" : "01:37:39.182"},
                                "secondRunnerUp" : {"name" : "Lewis Hamilton",
                                                   "time" : "01:37:43.259"}}'
                WHERE race_id = 201
```

```
AND SYS ROW ETAG(name, race date, podium) =
                        '201FC3BA2EA5E94AA7D44D958873039D';
              0 rows updated.
              -- S4 commits its update.
              COMMIT;
              Commit complete.
              -- S3 gets a fresh ETAG value, and then tries again to update.
              SELECT SYS ROW ETAG(name, race date, podium)
                FROM race WHERE race id = 201;
              SYS ROW ETAG (NAME, RACE DATE, PODIUM)
              _____
              E847D5225C7F7024A25A0B53A275642A
              UPDATE race SET podium =
                              '{"winner"
                                              : {"name" : "Charles Leclerc",
                                                   "time" : "01:37:33.584"},
                               "firstRunnerUp" : {"name" : "Carlos Sainz Jr",
                                                   "time" : "01:37:39.182"},
                               "secondRunnerUp" : {"name" : "Lewis Hamilton",
                                                   "time" : "01:37:43.259"}}'
                WHERE race id = 201
                  AND SYS ROW ETAG(name, race_date, podium) =
                        'E847D5225C7F7024A25A0B53A275642A';
              1 row updated.
              COMMIT;
              Commit complete.
              -- The data now reflects S4's name update and S3's podium update.
              SELECT name, race date, podium FROM race WHERE race id = 201;
NAME RACE DATE PODIUM
-----
Blue Air Bahrain Grand Prix
{"winner":{"name":"Charles Leclerc","time":"01:37:33.584"},"firstRunnerUp":{"nam
```

20-MAR-22

e":"Carlos Sainz Jr","time":"01:37:39.182"},"secondRunnerUp":{"name":"Lewis Hami lton","time":"01:37:43.259"}}

1 row selected.

Using Duality-View Transactions

You can use a special kind of transaction that's specific to duality views to achieve optimistic concurrency control over multiple successive updating (DML) operations on JSON documents. You commit the series of updates only if other sessions have not modified the same documents concurrently.

Related Topics

Updatable JSON-Relational Duality Views

Applications can update JSON documents supported by a duality view, if you define the view as updatable. You can specify which kinds of updating operations (update, insertion, and deletion) are allowed, for which document fields, how/when, and by whom. You can also specify which fields participate in ETAG hash values.

Car-Racing Example, Duality Views

Team, driver, and race duality views provide and support the team, driver, and race JSON documents used by a car-racing application.

See Also:

Support for JSON-Relational Duality View in Oracle REST Data Services Developer's Guide

4.4.1 Using Duality-View Transactions

You can use a special kind of transaction that's specific to duality views to achieve optimistic concurrency control over multiple successive updating (DML) operations on JSON documents. You commit the series of updates only if other sessions have not modified the same documents concurrently.

Using Optimistic Concurrency Control With Duality Views describes the use of document ETAG values to control concurrency optimistically for a *single* updating (DML) operation.

But what if you want to perform *multiple* updates, together as unit, somehow ensuring that another session doesn't modify the unchanged parts of the updated documents between your updates, that is, before you commit?

As one way to do that, you can *lock* one or more documents in one or more duality views, for the duration of the multiple update operations. You do that by SELECTING FOR UPDATE the corresponding rows of JSON-type column DATA from the view(s). Example 4-19 illustrates this. But doing that locks *each* of the underlying tables, which can be costly.

You can instead perform multiple update operations on duality-view documents optimistically using a special kind of transaction that's specific to duality views. The effect is as if the documents (rows of column DATA of the view) are completely locked, but they're not. Locks are taken only for *underlying table rows* that get modified; unmodified rows remain unlocked throughout the transaction. Your changes are committed only if nothing has changed the documents concurrently.



Another, concurrent session can modify the documents between your updates, but if that happens before the transaction is committed then the commit operation fails, in which case you just try again.

A duality-view transaction provides *repeatable reads*: all reads during a transaction run against a *snapshot of the data that's taken when the transaction begins*.

Within your transaction, before its update operations, you check that each of the documents you intend to update is up-to-date with respect to its currently persisted values in the database. This validation is called **registering** the document. Registration of a document verifies that an ETAG value you obtained by reading the document is up-to-date. If this verification fails then you roll back the transaction and start over.

To perform a multiple-operation transaction on duality views you use PL/SQL code with these procedures from package DBMS_JSON_DUALITY:

- begin_transaction Begin the transaction. This effectively takes a "snapshot" of the state of the database. All updating operations in the transaction are based on this snapshot.
- register Check that the ETAG value of a document as last read matches that of the document in the database at the start of the transaction; raise an error otherwise. In other words, ensure that the ETAG value that you're going to use when updating the document is *correct as of the transaction beginning*.

If you last read a document and obtained its ETAG value before the transaction began, then that value isn't necessarily valid for the transaction. The commit operation can't check for changes that might have occurred before the transaction began. If you last read a document before the transaction began then call register, to be sure that the ETAG value you use for the document is valid at the outset.

Procedure register identifies the documents to check using an object identifier (OID), which you can obtain by querying the duality view's hidden column **OBJECT_RESID**. As an alternative to reading a document to obtain its ETAG value you can query the duality view's hidden column **OBJECT_ETAG**.

 commit_transaction — Commit the multiple-update transaction. Validate the documents provided for update against their current state in the database, by comparing the ETAG values. Raise an error if the ETAG of any of the documents submitted for update has been changed by a concurrent session during the transaction.

You call the procedures in this order: begin_transaction, register, commit transaction. Call register immediately after you call begin transaction.

The overall approach is the same as that you use with a single update operation, but extended across multiple operations. You optimistically try to make changes to the documents in the database, and if some concurrent operation interferes then you start over and try again with a new transaction.

1. If *anything* fails (an error is raised) during a transaction then you *roll it back* (ROLLBACK) and begin a new transaction, calling begin transaction again.

In particular, if a document registration fails or the transaction commit fails, then you need to start over with a new transaction.



2. At the beginning of the new transaction, read the document again, to get its ETAG value as of the database state when the transaction began, and then call register again.

Repeat steps 1 and 2 until there are no errors.

Example 4-19 Locking Duality-View Documents For Update

This example locks the Mercedes and Ferrari team rows of the generated JSON-type DATA column of duality view team dv until the next COMMIT by the current session.

The FOR UPDATE clause locks the entire row of column DATA, which means it locks an entire team document. This in turn means that it locks the relevant rows of each underlying table.

```
SELECT DATA FROM team_dv dv
WHERE dv.DATA.name LIKE 'Mercedes%'
FOR UPDATE;
SELECT DATA FROM team_dv dv
WHERE dv.DATA.name LIKE 'Ferrari%'
FOR UPDATE;
```

See Also:

- FOR UPDATE in topic SELECT in Oracle Database SQL Language Reference
- Simulating Current OF Clause with ROWID in Oracle Database PL/SQL Language Reference for information about SELECT ... FOR UPDATE

Example 4-20 Using a Duality-View Transaction To Optimistically Update Two Documents Concurrently

This example uses optimistic concurrency with a duality-view transaction to update the documents in duality view team_dv for teams Mercedes and Ferrari. It swaps drivers Charles Leclerc and George Russell between the two teams. After the transaction both team documents (supported by duality-view team_dv) and driver documents (supporte

We *read* the documents, to obtain their document identifiers (hidden column <code>OBJECT_RESID</code>) and their current ETAG values. The ETAG values are obtained here as the values of metadata field <code>etag</code> in the retrieved documents, but we could alternatively have just selected hidden column <code>OBJECT_ETAG</code>

```
SELECT OBJECT_RESID, DATA FROM team_dv dv
WHERE dv.DATA.name LIKE 'Mercedes%';
OBJECT_RESID
DATA
FB03C2040400
{"_metadata":
    {"etag" : "039A7874ACEE6B6709E06E42E4DC6355",
```



```
"asof" : "0000000001BE239"},
  "teamId" : 303,
  "name" : "Mercedes",
  · · · }
SELECT OBJECT_RESID, DATA FROM team dv dv
  WHERE dv.DATA.name LIKE 'Ferrari%';
OBJECT RESID
_____
DATA
____
FB03C2040300
{ metadata":
  {"etag" : "C5DD30F04DA1A6A390BFAB12B7D4F700",
  "asof" : "0000000001BE239"},
  "teamId" : 303,
  "name" : "Ferrari",
  ...}
```

We begin the multiple-update transaction, then register each document to be updated, ensuring that it hasn't changed since we last read it. The document ID and ETAG values read above are passed to procedure register.

If an ETAG is out-of-date, because some other session updated a document between our read and the transaction beginning, then a ROLLBACK is needed, followed by starting over with begin transaction (not shown here).

hextoraw('C5DD30F04DA1A6A390BFAB12B7D4F700'));

Perform the updating (DML) operations: replace the original documents with documents that have the drivers swapped.



```
WHERE dv.DATA.name LIKE 'Mercedes%';
UPDATE team_dv dv
SET DATA = ('{"teamId" : 302,
            "name" : "Ferrari",
            "points" : 30,
            "driver" : [ {"driverId" : 105,
                "name" : "George Russell",
                     "points" : 12},
                    {"driverId" : 104,
                     "name" : "Carlos Sainz Jr",
                     "points" : 18} ]}')
WHERE dv.DATA.name LIKE 'Ferrari%';
```

Commit the transaction.

```
DBMS_JSON_DUALITY.commit_transaction();
END;
```

4.5 Using the System Change Number (SCN) of a JSON Document

A **system change number** (SCN) is a logical, internal, database time stamp. Metadata field asof records the SCN for the moment a document was retrieved from the database. You can use the SCN to ensure consistency when reading other data.

SCNs order events that occur within the database, which is necessary to satisfy the ACID (atomicity, consistency, isolation, and durability) properties of a transaction.

Example 4-21 Obtain the SCN Recorded When a Document Was Fetched

This example fetches from the race duality view, <code>race_dv</code>, a serialized representation of the race document identified by <code>raceId</code> value 201. The SCN is the value of field <code>asof</code>, which is in the object that is the value of field <code>_metadata</code>. It records the moment when the document is fetched.

```
SELECT json_serialize(DATA PRETTY) FROM race_dv rdv
WHERE rdv.DATA.raceId = 201;
```

Result:



```
"date" : "2022-03-20T00:00:00",
"podium" : {...},
"result" : [ {...} ]
}
1 row selected.
```

Example 4-22 Retrieve a Race Document As Of the Moment Another Race Document Was Retrieved

This example fetches the race document identified by raceId value 203 in the state that corresponds to the SCN of race document 201 (see Example 4-21).

```
SELECT json_serialize(DATA PRETTY) FROM race_dv
AS OF SCN to_number('000000000004175', 'XXXXXXXXXXXXXXX')
WHERE json value(DATA, '$.raceId') = 203;
```

Result:

```
JSON SERIALIZE (DATAPRETTY)
_____
{
  " metadata" :
  {
   "etag" : "EA6E1194C012970CA07116EE1EF167E8",
    "asof" : "0000000000C4175"
  },
  "raceId" : 203,
  "name" : "Australian Grand Prix",
 "laps" : 58,
  "date" : "2022-04-09T00:00:00",
 "podium" : {...},
  "result" : [ {...} ]
}
1 row selected.
```

Related Topics

```
• Car-Racing Example, Duality Views
Team, driver, and race duality views provide and support the team, driver, and race
JSON documents used by a car-racing application.
```

See Also:

- System Change Numbers in Oracle Database Concepts
- Introduction to Transactions in Oracle Database Concepts



4.6 Optimization of Operations on Duality-View Documents

Operations on documents supported by a duality view — in particular, queries — are automatically rewritten as operations on the underlying table data. This optimization includes taking advantage of indexes. Because the underlying data types are fully known, implicit runtime type conversion can generally be avoided.

Querying a duality view — that is, querying its supported JSON documents — is similar to querying a table or view that has a single column, named DATA, of JSON data type. (You can also query a duality view's hidden columns, OBJECT_ETAG and OBJECT_RESID — see Car-Racing Example, Duality Views.)

For queries that use values from JSON documents in a filter predicate (using SQL/JSON condition json_exists) or in the SELECT list (using SQL/JSON function json_value), the construction of intermediate JSON objects (for JSON-type column DATA) from underlying relational data is costly and unnecessary. When possible, such queries are optimized (automatically rewritten) to directly access the data stored in the underlying columns.

This avoidance of document construction greatly improves performance. The querying effectively takes place on table data, not JSON documents. Documents are constructed only when actually needed for the query result.

Some queries cannot be rewritten, however, for reasons including these:

- A query path expression contains a descendant path step (...), which descends recursively into the objects or arrays that match the step immediately preceding it (or into the context item if there is no preceding step).
- A filter expression in a query applies to only some array elements, not to all ([*]). For example, [3] applies to only the fourth array element; [last] applies only to the last element.
- A query path expression includes a negated filter expression. See Negation in Path Expressions in *Oracle Database JSON Developer's Guide*.

JSON_EXPRESSION_CHECK can also be useful to point out simple typographical mistakes. It detects and reports JSON field name mismatches in SQL/JSON path expressions or dotnotation syntax.

You can set parameter JSON_EXPRESSION_CHECK using (1) the database initialization file (init.ora), (2) an ALTER SESSION or ALTER SYSTEM statement, or (3) a SQL query hint (/*+ opt_param('json_expression_check', 'on') */, to turn it on). See JSON_EXPRESSION_CHECK in Oracle Database Reference.

In some cases your code might explicitly call for type conversion, and in that case rewrite optimization might not be optimal, incurring some unnecessary runtime overhead. This can be the case for SQL/JSON function json_value, for example. By default, its SQL return type is VARCHAR2. If the value is intended to be used for an underlying table column of type NUMBER, for example, then unnecessary runtime type conversion can occur.

For this reason, for best performance *Oracle recommends as a general guideline* that you use a RETURNING clause or a type-conversion SQL/JSON item method, to indicate that a document field value doesn't require runtime type conversion. Specify the same type for it as that used in the corresponding underlying column.



For example, field <code>raceId</code> in a race document corresponds to column <code>race_id</code> in the underlying <code>race</code> table, and that column has SQL type <code>NUMBER</code>. When using <code>json_value</code> to select or test field <code>raceId</code> you therefore want to ensure that it returns a <code>NUMBER</code> value.

The second of the following two queries generally outperforms the first, because the first returns VARCHAR2 values from json_value, which are then transformed at run time, to NUMBER and DATE values. The second uses type-conversion SQL/JSON item method numberOnly() and a RETURNING DATE clause, to indicate to the query compiler that the SQL types to be used are NUMBER and DATE. (Using a type-conversion item method is equivalent to using the corresponding RETURNING type.)

```
SELECT json_value(DATA, '$.laps'),
            json_value(DATA, '$.date')
FROM race_dv
WHERE json_value(DATA, '$.raceId') = 201;
SELECT json_value(DATA, '$.laps.numberOnly()'),
            json_value(DATA, '$.laps.numberOnly()'),
            FROM race_dv
WHERE json value(DATA, '$.raceId.numberOnly()') = 201;
```

The same general guideline applies to the use of the simple dot-notation syntax. Automatic optimization typically takes place when dot-notation syntax is used in a WHERE clause: the data targeted by the dot-notation expression is type-cast to the type of the value with which the targeted data is being compared. But in some cases it's not possible to infer the relevant type at query-compilation time — for example when the value to compare is taken from a SQL/JSON variable (e.g. \$a) whose type is not known until run time. Add the relevant item method to make the expected typing clear at query-compile time.

The second of the following two queries follows the guideline. It generally outperforms the first one, because the SELECT and ORDER BY clauses use item methods numberOnly() and dateOnly() to specify the appropriate data types.

```
SELECT t.DATA.laps, t.DATA."date"
FROM race_dv t
WHERE t.DATA.raceId = 201
ORDER BY t.DATA."date";
SELECT t.DATA.laps.numberOnly(), t.DATA."date".dateOnly()
FROM race_dv t
WHERE t.DATA.raceId.numberOnly() = 201
ORDER BY t.DATA."date".dateOnly();
```



See Also:

- Item Method Data-Type Conversion in Oracle Database JSON Developer's Guide
- Item Methods and JSON_VALUE RETURNING Clause in Oracle Database JSON Developer's Guide

4.7 Obtaining Information About a Duality View

You can obtain information about a duality view, its underlying tables, their columns, and keycolumn links, using static data dictionary views. You can also obtain a JSON-schema description of a duality view, which includes a description of the structure and JSONlanguage types of the JSON documents it supports.

Static Dictionary Views For JSON Duality Views

You can obtain information about existing duality views, by checking static data dictionary views DBA_JSON_DUALITY_VIEWS, USER_JSON_DUALITY_VIEWS, and ALL_JSON_DUALITY_VIEWS. Each of these views lists the view name and owner, the root table name and owner, the name of the JSON-type column, whether each of insert, delete, and update operations is allowed, whether the view is read-only, whether the view is valid, and the JSON schema that describes the JSON column. (You can also use PL/SQL function DBMS_JSON_SCHEMA.describe to obtain a duality-view description.)

You can list the *tables* that underlie duality views, using dictionary views DBA_JSON_DUALITY_VIEW_TABS, USER_JSON_DUALITY_VIEW_TABS, and ALL_JSON_DUALITY_VIEW_TABS. Each of these views lists the view name and owner, the table name and owner, whether each of insert, delete, and update operations is allowed on the table, whether the table is read-only, whether the table has a flex column, whether the table is the root table of the view, a number that identifies the table in the duality view, a number that identifies the parent table in the view, and the relationship of the table to its parent table: whether it is nested within its parent, or it is the target of an outer or an inner join.

You can list the *columns* of the tables that underlie duality views, using dictionary views DBA_JSON_DUALITY_VIEW_TAB_COLS, USER_JSON_DUALITY_VIEW_TAB_COLS, and ALL_JSON_DUALITY_VIEW_TAB_COLS. These views include the view and table names and owners, whether the table is the root table, a number that identifies the table in the view, and information about the column: name, data type, maximum number of characters (for a character data type), the JSON key name, whether each of insert, delete, and update operations is allowed on the column, whether the column is read-only, whether the column is a flex column, the position of the column in a primary-key specification (if relevant), and its position in an ETAG specification (if relevant).

You can list the *links* associated with duality views, using dictionary views DBA_JSON_DUALITY_VIEW_LINKS, USER_JSON_DUALITY_VIEW_LINKS, and ALL_JSON_DUALITY_VIEW_LINKS. Links are from primary or unique keys to foreign keys, or conversely. These views include the names and owners of (1) the view, (2) the parent table of the link, and (3) the child table of the link; the names of the columns on the from and to ends of the link; the join type of the link; and the name of the JSON key associated with the link.



See Also:

Static Data Dictionary Views in Oracle Database Reference

JSON Description of a JSON-Relational Duality View

A **JSON schema** specifies the structure and JSON-language types of JSON data. It can serve as a summary description of an existing set of JSON documents, or it can serve as a specification of what is expected or allowed for a set of JSON documents. The former use case is that of a schema obtained from a **JSON data guide**. The latter use case includes the case of a JSON schema that describes the documents supported by a duality view.

This JSON schema includes three kinds of information:

1. Information about the *duality view* that supports the documents.

This includes the database schema (user) that owns the view (field dbObject) and the allowed operations on the view (field dbObjectProperties).

2. Information about the *columns* of the *tables* that underlie the duality view.

This includes domain names (field dbDomain), primary keys (field dbPrimaryKey), foreign keys (field dbForeignKey), whether flex columns exist (field additionalProperties), and column data-type restrictions (for example, field maxLength for strings and field sqlPrecision for numbers).

3. Information about the *allowed structure* and JSON-language *typing* of the documents.

This information can be used to *validate* data to be added to, or changed in, the view. It's available as the value of top-level schema-field properties, and it can be used as a JSON schema in its own right.

Example 4-23 uses DBMS_JSON_SCHEMA.describe to describe each of the duality views of the car-racing example: driver dv, race dv, and team dv.

Example 4-23 Using DBMS_JSON_SCHEMA.DESCRIBE To Show JSON Schemas Describing Duality Views

This example shows, for each car-racing duality view, a JSON schema that describes the JSON documents supported by the view.

The value of top-level JSON-schema field **properties** is itself a JSON schema that can be used to validate data to be added to, or changed in, the view. The other top-level properties describe the duality view that supports the documents.

The database schema/user that created, and thus *owns*, each view is indicated with a placeholder value here (shown in *italics*). This is reflected in the value of field **dbObject**, which for a duality view is the view name qualified by the database-schema name of the view owner. For example, assuming that database user/schema



team_dv_owner created duality view team_dv, the value of field dbObject for that view is
team_dv_owner.team dv.

(Of course, these duality views could be created, and thus owned, by the same database user/schema. But they need not be.)

Array field dbObjectProperties specifies the allowed operations on the duality view itself:

- insertable means you can insert documents into the view.
- updatable means you can update existing documents in the view.
- deletable means you can delete existing documents from the view.
- check means that at least one field in each document is marked CHECK, and thus contributes to ETAG computation.

Field type specifies a standard JSON-language nonscalar type: object or array. Both fields type and extendedType are used to specify scalar JSON-language types.

Native binary JSON data (OSON format) extends the JSON language by adding scalar types, such as date, that correspond to SQL data types and are not part of the JSON standard. These Oracle-specific scalar types are always specified with extendedType.

Field items specifies the element type for an array value. The fields of each JSON object in a supported document are listed under schema field **properties** for that object. All document fields are <u>underlined</u> here.

(All you need to create the JSON schema is function DBMS_JSON_SCHEMA.describe. It's use here is wrapped with SQL/JSON function json_serialize just to pass keyword PRETTY, which causes the output to be pretty-printed.)

```
-- Duality View TEAM_DV
SELECT json_serialize(DBMS_JSON_SCHEMA.describe('TEAM_DV') PRETTY)
AS team dv json schema;
```

TEAM DV JSON SCHEMA

{"title"	: "TEAM DV",	
"dbObject"	: "TEAM DV OWNER.TEAM DV",	
"dbObjectType"		
"dbObjectProperties"	: ["insertable", "updatable", "deletable", "check"],	
"type"	: "object",	
"properties"	: {" <u>metadata</u> " : {" <u>etag</u> " : {"extendedType" : "string", "maxLength" : 200},	
	" <u>asof</u> " : {"extendedType" : "string", "maxLength" : 20}},	
	" <u>name</u> " : {"extendedType" : "string", "maxLength" : 255, "dbAnnotations" : ["update", "check"]	}.
	" <u>points</u> " : {"extendedType" : "number",	
	"dbAnnotations" : ["update", "check"] " <u>teamId</u> " : {"extendedType" : "number", "dbAnnotations" : ["check"]},	},
	" <u>driver</u> " : {"type" : "array", "items" :	
	{"type" : "object",	

```
"properties" :
                                        {"<u>name</u>"
                                                   :
                                         {"extendedType" : "string",
                                          "maxLength" : 255,
                                          "dbAnnotations" : [ "update",
                                                              "check" ]},
                                         "<u>points</u>" :
                                         {"extendedType" : "number",
                                          "dbAnnotations" : [ "update" ]},
                                         "driverId" :
                                         {"extendedType" : "number",
                                          "dbAnnotations" : [ "check" ]}},
                                        "required" : [ "name",
                                                         "points",
                                                         "driverId" ]}}},
"required"
                 : [ "name", "points", "teamId" ]}
```

1 row selected.

-- Duality View DRIVER_DV SELECT json_serialize(DBMS_JSON_SCHEMA.describe('DRIVER_DV') PRETTY) AS driver dv json schema;

DRIVER DV JSON SCHEMA

{"title"	:	"DRIVER_DV",				
"dbObject"	:	"DRIVER DV OWNER.DRIVER DV",				
"dbObjectType"	:					
"dbObjectProperties"	:	["insertabl	e",	, "updatable", "deletable", "check"],		
"type"	:	"object",				
"properties"	:	{" <u>metadata</u> "	:	{" <u>etag</u> " : {"extendedType" : "string",		
				<pre>"maxLength" : 200},</pre>		
				" <u>asof</u> " : {"extendedType" : "string",		
				<pre>"maxLength" : 20}},</pre>		
		" <u>name</u> "	:	{"extendedType" : "string",		
				"maxLength" : 255,		
				"dbAnnotations" : ["update", "check"]},		
		" <u>points</u> "	:	{"extendedType" : "number",		
				"dbAnnotations" : ["update", "check"]},		
		" <u>driverId</u> "	:	{"extendedType" : "number",		
				"dbAnnotations" : ["check"]},		
		" <u>team</u> "	:	{"extendedType" : "string",		
				"maxLength" : 255},		
		" <u>teamId</u> "	:	{"extendedType" : "number",		
				"dbAnnotations" : ["check"]},		
		" <u>race</u> "	:	{"type" : "array",		
				"items" :		
				{"type" : "object",		
				"properties" :		
				{" <u>finalPosition</u> " :		
				{"extendedType" : "number",		
				"dbAnnotations" : ["update", "check"]},		
				CHECK]},		

```
{"extendedType" : "number",
                                          "dbAnnotations" : [ "check" ]},
                                         "name"
                                                         :
                                         {"extendedType" : "string",
                                          "maxLength" : 255,
                                          "dbAnnotations" : [ "check" ]},
                                         "raceId" :
                                         {"extendedType" : "number",
                                          "dbAnnotations" : [ "check" ] }},
                                        "required" : [ "driverRaceMapId",
                                                        "name",
                                                         "raceId" ]}}},
 "required" : [ "name", "points", "driverId", "team", "teamId" ]}
1 row selected.
              -- Duality View RACE DV
              SELECT json serialize (DBMS JSON SCHEMA.describe ('RACE DV') PRETTY)
                AS race dv json schema;
RACE DV JSON SCHEMA
_____
{"title"
                   : "RACE DV",
"dbObject"
                   : "RACE DV OWNER.RACE DV",
"dbObjectType" : "dualityView",
 "dbObjectProperties" : [ "insertable", "updatable", "deletable", "check" ],
"type"
                    : "object",
 "properties"
                    : {"_metadata" : {"etag" : {"extendedType" : "string",
                                                "maxLength" : 200},
                                       "asof" : {"extendedType" : "string",
                                                 "maxLength" : 20}},
                                    : {"extendedType" : "number",
                        "<u>laps</u>"
                                       "dbAnnotations" : [ "check" ]},
                                    : {"extendedType" : "string",
                        "name"
                                       "maxLength" : 255,
                                       "dbAnnotations" : [ "update", "check" ]},
                                   : {"dbAnnotations" : [ "update" ]},
                        "podium"
                                    : {"extendedType" : "number",
                        "raceId"
                                       "dbAnnotations" : [ "check" ]},
                                    : {"extendedType" : "date",
                        "date"
                                       "dbAnnotations" : [ "update", "check" ]},
                        "result"
                                    : {"type" : "array",
                                       "items" :
                                       {"type"
                                                  : "object",
                                        "properties" :
                                          {"position"
                                             {"extendedType" : "number",
                                              "dbAnnotations" : [ "update",
                                                                 "check" ]},
                                           "<u>driverRaceMapId</u>" :
                                             {"extendedType" : "number",
```

"driverRaceMapId" :

"dbAnnotations" : ["check"]},

1 row selected.

Related Topics

Car-Racing Example, Duality Views
Team, driver, and race duality views provide and support the team, driver, and race
JSON documents used by a car-racing application.

See Also:

- JSON Schemas Generated with DBMS_JSON_SCHEMA.DESCRIBE in Oracle Database JSON Developer's Guide
- JSON Schema
- JSON Data Guide in Oracle Database JSON Developer's Guide
- ALL_JSON_DUALITY_VIEWS in Oracle Database Reference
- ALL_JSON_DUALITY_TABS in Oracle Database Reference
- ALL_JSON_DUALITY_TAB_COLS in Oracle Database Reference
- ALL_JSON_DUALITY_LINKS in Oracle Database Reference



5

Document-Identifier Fields for Duality Views

A document supported by a duality view always includes, at its top (root) level, one or more **document-identifier** fields that correspond to the primary-key columns of the tables that underlie the view. This field can take different forms.

Often there is only one such primary-key column, and thus only one document-identifer field per document. But there can be more than one such column and thus more than one document-identifier field — in that case we sometimes speak of the primary key being **composite**.

Field names are arbitrary; there is no built-in correspondence between field names and primary-key columns. However, in documents supported by duality views the same field names are used to refer to document-identifier fields of other document types. That is, they represent *foreign-key* references to primary-key columns in tables underlying *other* duality views — columns that contain data that's shared. For example, in a team document, which has document-identifier field teamId, (non-top-level) field driverId represents a foreign key that refers to the document-identifier field of a driver document.

For a document to be compatible with *Oracle Database API for MongoDB*, it must have only one document-identifier field, and that field *must* be named <u>id</u>. If you don't need this compatibility then any name can be used to name a document-identifier field.

A given primary-key column cannot be mapped to more than one document field.

If the root table has more than one primary-key column then you must specify multiple document-identifier fields, one per column. In order to access/select a unique document you must specify the values of each of its document identifier fields.

When you use id as the (single) document-identifier field:

- If there is only *one primary-key column* then you use that as the value of field _id when you define the duality view.
- Alternatively, you can use an object as the value of field _id. The members of the object specify fields whose values are the primary-key columns.

If there is only one primary-key column, you can nevertheless use an object value for id; doing so lets you provide a meaningful field name.

Example 5-1 Document Identifier Not Compatible with MongoDB API

The name of the document identifier field for this duality view is *not*_id, so its documents are *not compatible* with Oracle Database API for MongoDB. A single primary-key column, race id, is used as the value of the single document-identifier field, raceId.



```
'result' : ...}
FROM race r;
```

A document supported by this view would look like this: {"raceId" : 1,...}

An alternative design might instead use a race table that has multiple primary key columns, say, race_id and race_date, in which case the two fields raceId and date would each be a document identifier.

Example 5-2 Document Identifier for MongoDB API Compatibility: Primary-Key Column Value

The name of the document identifier field for this duality view is _id, so its documents are compatible with *Oracle Database API for MongoDB*. A single primary-key column, race id, is used as the field value.

A document supported by the view would look like this: {" id" : 1, ...}.

Example 5-3 Document Identifier for MongoDB API Compatibility: Object Value

The name of the document identifier field for this duality view is _id, so its documents are compatible with *Oracle Database API for MongoDB*. The field value is an object with a single member, which maps the single primary-key column, race_id, to a meaningful field name, raceId.

A document supported by the view would look like this: {"_id" : {"raceId" : 1},...}.

An *alternative* design might instead use a race table that has *multiple primary key* columns, say race id and date:



```
'result' : ...}
FROM race;
```

document, and a race document.

In that case, a document supported by the view would look like this: {"_id" : {"raceId" :
1, "date" : "2022-03-20T00:00:00"},...}.

Related Topics

Car-Racing Example, JSON Documents The car-racing example has three kinds of documents: a team document, a driver

See Also:

Mongo DB API Collections Supported by JSON-Relational Duality Views

in Oracle Database API for MongoDB



6

JSON Data Stored in JSON-Relational Duality Views

Columns of JSON data type stored in tables underlying a duality view can produce JSON values of any kind (scalar, object, array) in the documents supported by the view. This stored JSON data can be schemaless or JSON Schema-based (to enforce particular shapes and types of field values).

Whether to store JSON data in a duality view (more precisely, in its underlying tables) and, if so, whether to enforce its structure and typing, are design choices to consider when defining the view.

By *storing* some JSON data that contributes to the JSON documents supported by (generated by) a duality view, you can choose the granularity and complexity of the building blocks that define the view. Put differently, you can choose the *degree of normalization* you want for the underlying data. Different choices involve different tradeoffs.

A JSON-relational duality view supports a set of JSON documents based on underlying table data. The JSON documents are automatically generated from this table data as needed.

A document-centric application accesses, updates, and otherwise uses the JSON documents supported by a duality view as *if they were stored* in its single, JSON-type column — applications see only a column of JSON documents.

At the same time, a relational/table-centric application can access, update, and otherwise use the same underlying table data directly. Duality: changes to either documents or table data are automatically reflected in the other (and this is the case across multiple documents and tables that share data).

Typically, the table data underlying a duality view is *completely normalized*, and thus the table columns contain only values of *scalar* SQL data types.

Complete normalization gives you *the most flexibility in terms of combining data* from multiple tables to support different kinds of duality view (more generally, in terms of combining some table data with other table data, outside of any use for duality views).

And in an important particular use case, it lets you access the data in *existing relational tables* from a document-centric application, as JSON documents.

On the other hand, the greater the degree of normalization, the more tables you have, which means *more decomposition* when inserting data and *more joining* when querying it. If an application typically accesses complex objects as a whole then greater normalization can thus negatively impact performance.

You can also think of the building-block columns in the tables that underlie a duality view as providing the *ingredients*, and think of the duality-view definition as providing the *recipe*, for producing JSON documents of a particular kind (structure and typing).

A cooking recipe need not be "from scratch", using only simple, basic ingredients. On the other hand, nor does a recipe need to be as simple as just adding water to a preassembled/ prepackaged "mix". There's a range of possibilities for each ingredient, from basic (an egg) to complex (a cake mix).



For example, if a recipe calls for "salad dressing" as one of its ingredients, that can come ready-made from a bottle or you can create it by combining more-basic ingredients such as olive oil and vinegar. And an ingredient for composing the saladdressing ingredient might itself be complex (prepackaged), such as mayonnaise, mustard, or a prepared mix of spices.

The same is true for the ingredients used to define/implement a duality view and the JSON documents that it supports.

When a table underlying a duality view is completely normalized as SQL scalar values, the recipe ingredients are as simple and basic as possible (scalar values are atomic: indivisible).

But some (or even all) of the table columns can instead store JSON-type data, which can be scalar or complex. Sometimes it makes sense to include whole (small) JSON documents, stored in the duality view, as part of the larger, generated documents. This amounts to using some *complex ingredients* in your duality-view recipe.

With Oracle Database you can store JSON data (documents) in a column of JSON data type, and you can selectively update any parts of those documents (any fields), or replace whole documents at a time.

This is also true of the data in a stored JSON-type column that's used to define part of a duality view. And like any other column in an underlying table, a JSON-type column *can be shared* among different duality views, and thus shared in their different resulting (generated) JSON documents.

By default, a JSON document is **free-form**: its structure and typing are not defined by, or forced to conform to, any given pattern/schema. In this case, applications can easily change the shape and types of the documents as needed.

On the other hand, you can impose typing and structure on the data in a JSON-type column, using *JSON Schema*. JSON Schema gives you a full spectrum of control:

- **1.** From fields whose values are completely undefined to fields whose values are strictly defined.
- 2. From scalar JSON values to large, complex JSON objects and arrays.
- 3. From simple type definitions to *combinations* of JSON-language types. For example:
 - A value that satisfies anyOf, allOf, or oneOf a set of JSON schemas
 - A value that does not satisfy a given JSON schema

As an example at one end of the type spectrum, a tiny JSON schema could be applied to a JSON-type column to require its data to be of a particular JSON-language *scalar* type.

A corresponding Oracle JSON-language scalar type exists for each SQL scalar type that can be used in a duality-view definition. Consequently, JSON-schema typing can be just as *fine-grained as SQL* typing.

For example, if applied to a JSON-type column as a check constraint, this JSON schema allows only values that are JSON strings: {"type": "string"}. The effect is similar to that of using a column of SQL type VARCHAR2. And this schema allows only values that are JSON dates (an Oracle JSON-language scalar type):

{"extendedType": "date"}. The effect is similar to that of using a column of SQL type DATE.



Note:

Using, in a duality-view definition, a JSON-type column that's constrained by a JSON schema to hold only data of a particular JSON scalar type (date, string, etc.) has the same effect on the JSON documents supported by the view as using a column of the corresponding SQL scalar type (DATE, VARCHAR2, etc.).

However, code that acts directly on such JSON-type data won't necessarily recognize and take into account this correspondence. The SQL type of the data is, after all, JSON, not DATE, VARCHAR2, etc. To extract a JSON scalar value as a value of a SQL scalar data type, code needs to use SQL/JSON function json_value. See SQL/JSON Function JSON_VALUE in Oracle Database JSON Developer's Guide.

Let's summarize some of the *tradeoffs* between using basic ingredients (SQL scalar columns) and possibly complex ingredients (JSON-type columns) in a table underlying a duality view:

- **1.** *Flexibility of combination.* For the finest-grain combination, use completely normalized tables, whose columns are all SQL scalars.
- 2. Flexibility of document type and structure. For maximum flexibility of JSON field values at any given time, and thus also for changes over time (evolution), use JSON-type columns with no JSON-schema constraints.
- 3. *Granularity of field definition.* The finest granularity requires a column for each JSON field, regardless of where the field is located in documents supported by the duality view. (The field value could nevertheless be a JSON object or array, if the column is JSON-type.)

If it makes sense for your application to *share some complex JSON data* among different kinds of documents, and if you expect to have *no need for combining only parts* of that complex data with other documents or, as SQL scalars, with relational data, then consider using JSON data type for the columns underlying that complex data.

In other words, in such a use case consider sharing JSON *documents*, instead of sharing the scalar values that constitute them. In still other words, consider using more *complex ingredients* in your duality-view recipe.

Note that the granularity of column data — how complex the data in it can be — also determines the *granularity of updating operations and ETAG-checking* (for optimistic concurrency control). The smallest unit for such operations is an individual *column* underlying a duality view; it's impossible to *annotate* individual fields inside a JSON-type column.

Update operations can *selectively apply* to particular fields contained in the data of a given JSON-type column, but *control of which update operations* can be used with a given view is defined at the level of an underlying column or whole table — nothing smaller. So if you need finer grain updating or ETAG-checking then you need to break out the relevant parts of the JSON data into their own columns.

• Flex Columns: Duality-View Schema Flexibility and Evolution

A *flex column* in a table underlying a JSON-relational duality view lets you *add and redefine fields* of the document object produced by that table. This provides a certain kind of schema flexibility to a duality view, and to the documents it supports.



Related Topics

- The Use Case for JSON-Relational Duality Views
 The motivation behind JSON-relational duality views is presented.
- Annotations (NO)UPDATE, (NO)INSERT, (NO)DELETE, To Allow/Disallow Updating Operations
 Keyword UPDATE means that the annotated data can be updated. Keywords
 INSERT and DELETE mean that the fields/columns covered by the annotation can be inserted or deleted, respectively.
- Annotation (NO)CHECK, To Include/Exclude Fields for ETAG Calculation You *declaratively* specify the document parts to use for checking the state/version of a document when performing an updating operation, by *annotating* the definition of the duality view that supports such a document.

💉 See Also:

- Validating JSON Documents with a JSON Schema for information about using JSON schemas to constrain or validate JSON data
- json-schema.org for information about JSON Schema

6.1 Flex Columns: Duality-View Schema Flexibility and Evolution

A *flex column* in a table underlying a JSON-relational duality view lets you *add and redefine fields* of the document object produced by that table. This provides a certain kind of schema flexibility to a duality view, and to the documents it supports.

Any tables underlying a duality view can have any number of JSON-type columns. At most *one such column per table* can be designated as a flex column.

In any table, a JSON column generally provides for flexible data: by default, its typing and structure are not constrained/specified in any way (for example, by a JSON schema).

The particularity of a JSON column that's designated as a **flex column** for a duality view is this:

• The column value must be a JSON object or SQL NULL.

(This restriction doesn't apply to a nonflex JSON-type column; its value can be any JSON value: scalar, array, or object.)

 On read, the object stored in a flex column is unnested: its fields are unpacked into the resulting document object.

That is, the stored object is not included as such, as the value of some field in the object produced by the flex column's table. Instead, each of the stored object's fields is included in that document object.

(Any value — object, array, or scalar — in a nonflex JSON-type column is just included as is; an object is *not* unnested.)



For example, if the object in a given row of the flex column for table tabl has fields foo and bar then, in the duality-view document that corresponds to that row, the object produced from tabl also contains those fields, foo and bar.

• On *write*, the fields from the document object are packed back into the stored object, and any fields not supported by other columns are *automatically added* to the flex column. That is, an unrecognized field "overflows" into the object in the JSON flex column.

For example, if a new field toto is added to a document object corresponding to a table that has a flex column, then on insertion of the document if field toto isn't already supported by the table then field toto is added to the flex-column's object.

A column designated as flex for a duality view is such (is flex) only *for the view*. For the *table* that it belongs to, it's just an ordinary JSON-type column (except that the value in each row must be a single JSON object or SQL NULL).

Because a flex column's object is unnested on read, adding its fields to those produced by the other columns in the table, and because a JSON column is by default schemaless, changes to flex-column data can change the structure of the resulting document object, as well as the types of some of its fields.

In effect, the typing and structure of a duality view's supported documents can change/evolve at any level, by providing a flex column for the table supporting the JSON object at that level.

You can change the typing and structure of a duality view's documents by modifying flexcolumn data directly, through the column's table. But more importantly, you can do so simply by inserting or updating documents with fields that don't correspond to underlying relational columns. Any such fields are automatically added to the corresponding flex columns. Applications are thus free to create documents with any fields they like, in any objects whose underlying tables have a flex column.

However, be aware that unnesting the object from a flex column can lead to *name conflicts* between its fields and those derived from the other columns of the same table. Such conflicts cannot arise for JSON columns that don't serve as flex columns.

For this reason, if you *don't need* to unnest a stored JSON object — if it's sufficient to just include the whole object as the value of a field — then don't designate its column as flex. Use a flex column where you need to be able to add fields to a document object that's otherwise supported by relational columns.

The value of any row of a flex column must be a JSON object or the SQL value NULL.

SQL NULL and an empty object ({}) behave the same, except that they typically represent different contributions to the document ETAG value. (You can annotate an flex column with NOCHECK to remove its data from ETAG calculation. You can also use column annotation [NO]UPDATE, [NO]CHECK on a flex column.)

In a duality-view definition you designate a JSON-type column as being a flex column for the view by following the column name in the view definition with keywords AS FLEX in SQL or with annotation <code>@flex</code> in GraphQL.

For example, in this GraphQL definition of duality view dv1, column $t1_json_col$ of table table1 is designated as a flex column. The fields of its object value are included in the resulting document as siblings of field1 and field2. (JSON objects have undefined field



order, so the order in which a table's columns are specified in a duality-view definition doesn't matter.)

```
CREATE JSON RELATIONAL DUALITY VIEW dv1 AS
table1 @insert @update @delete
  {t1_Id : id_col,
    t1_field1 : col_1,
    t1_json_col @flex,
    t1 field2 : col 2};
```

When a table underlies multiple duality views, those views can of course use some or all of the same columns from the table. A given column from such a shared table can be designated as flex, or not, for any number of those views.

The fact that a column is used in a duality view as a flex column means that if any change is made directly to the column value by updating its table then the column value must still be a JSON object (or SQL NULL).

It also means that if the same column is used in a table that underlies *another duality view*, and it's *not* designated as a flex column for that view, then for that view the JSON fields produced by the column are *not unpacked* in the resulting documents; in that view the JSON object with those fields is included as such. In other words, designation as a flex column is view-specific.

You can tell whether a given table underlying a duality view has a flex column by checking BOOLEAN column HAS_FLEX_COL in static dictionary views *_JSON_DUALITY_VIEW_TABS. You can tell whether a given column in an underlying table is a flex column by checking BOOLEAN column IS_FLEX_COL in static dictionary views *_JSON_DUALITY_VIEW_TAB_COLS. See ALL_JSON_DUALITY_VIEW_TABS and ALL_JSON_DUALITY_VIEW_TAB_COLS in Oracle Database Reference.

The data in both flex and nonflex JSON columns in a table underlying a duality view can be schemaless, and it is so by default.

But you can apply JSON schemas to any JSON-type columns used anywhere in a duality-view definition, to remove their flexibility ("lock" them). You can also impose a JSON schema on the documents generated/supported by a duality view.

Because the fields of an object in a flex column are unpacked into the resulting document, if you apply a JSON schema to a flex column the effect is similar to having added a separate column for each of that object's fields to the flex column's table using DML.

In effect, by applying a JSON schema you change the logical structure of the data, and thus the structure of the documents supported by the view. You remove schema flexibility, but you don't change the storage structure (tables).

Field Naming Conflicts Produced By Flex Columns

Because fields in a flex column are unpacked into an object that also has fields provided otherwise, field name conflicts can arise. There are multiple ways this can happen, including these:

• A table underlying a duality view gets redefined, adding a new column. The duality view gets redefined, giving the JSON field that corresponds to the new column the same name as a field already present in the flex column for the same table.



Problem: The field name associated with a nonflex column would be the same as a field in the flex-column data.

• A flex column is updated directly (that is, not by updating documents supported by the view), adding a field that has the same name as a field that corresponds in the view definition to another column of the same underlying table.

Problem: The field name associated with a nonflex column is also used in the flex-column data.

• Two duality views, dv1 and dv2, share an underlying table, using the same column, jcol, as flex. Only dv1 uses nonflex column, foocol from the table, naming its associated field foo.

Data is inserted into dv1, populating column foocol. This can happen by inserting a row into the table or by inserting a document with field foo into dv1.

A JSON row with field foo is added to the flex column, by inserting a document into dv2.

Problem: View dv2 has no problem. But for view dv1 field-name foo is associated with a nonflex column and is also used in the flex-column data.

It's not feasible for the database to detect and *prevent* such conflicts, but you can specify the behavior you prefer for handling them when they arise during a read (select, get, JSON generation) operation.

You do this using the following keywords at the end of a flex-column declaration.

GraphQL	SQL	Behavior
(conflict: KEEP_NES	TED) KEEP [NESTED] ON [NAME] CONFLIC (Keywords NESTED and NAME are option)	road from popflox columna
(conflict: IGNORE)	IGNORE ON [NAME] CONFLICT	Any field names in conflict are
	(Keyword NAME is optional.)	read from nonflex columns. The same names are ignored from the flex column.
(conflict: ERROR)	ERROR ON [NAME] CONFLICT	An error is raised.
	(Keyword NAME is optional.)	

For example, this GraphQL flex declaration defines column extras as a flex column, and it specifies that any conflicts that might arise from its field names are handled by simply ignoring the problematic fields from the flex column data:

extras: JSON @flex (conflict: IGNORE)

Related Topics

• The Use Case for JSON-Relational Duality Views The motivation behind JSON-relational duality views is presented.



7 GraphQL Language Used for JSON-Relational Duality Views

GraphQL is an open-source, general query and data-manipulation language that can be used with various databases. A subset of GraphQL syntax and operations are supported by Oracle Database for creating JSON-relational duality views.

This chapter describes this supported subset of GraphQL. It introduces syntax and features that are not covered in Creating Car-Racing Duality Views Using GraphQL, which presents some simple examples of creating duality views using GraphQL.

The Oracle syntax supported for creating duality views with GraphQL is a proper subset of GraphQL as specified in Sections B.1, B.2, and B.3 of the GraphQL specification (October 2021), except that user-supplied names must follow satisfy some Oracle-specific rules specified here.

The Oracle GraphQL syntax also provides some additional, optional features that facilitate use with JSON-relational duality views. If you need to use GraphQL *programmatically*, and you want to stick with the *standard* GraphQL syntax, you can do that. If you don't have that need then you might find the optional syntax features convenient.

For readers familiar with GraphQL, the supported subset of the language *does not include* these standard GraphQL constructs:

- Mutations and subscriptions. Queries are the only supported operations.
- Inline fragments. Only a predefined FragmentSpread syntax is supported.
- Type definitions (types interface, union, enum, and input object, as well as type extensions). Only GraphQL Object and Scalar type definitions are supported.
- Variable definitions.

Using GraphQL to define a duality view has some advantages over using SQL to do so. These are covered in Creating Car-Racing Duality Views Using GraphQL. In sum, the GraphQL syntax is simpler and less verbose. Having to describe the form of supported documents and their parts using explicit joins between results of JSON-generation subqueries can be a bother and error prone.

Oracle GraphQL support for duality views includes these syntax extensions and simplifications:

1. Scalar Types

Oracle Database supports additional GraphQL scalar types, which correspond to Oracle JSON-language scalar types and to SQL scalar types. See Oracle GraphQL Scalar Types.

2. Implicit GraphQL Field Aliasing

Unaliased GraphQL field names used in a duality-view definition are automatically taken as aliases to the actual GraphQL field names. In effect, this is a shorthand convenience for providing case-sensitive matching that corresponds to field names in the documents supported by the duality view. See Implicit GraphQL Field Aliasing.



3. Wildcard (*) for All Scalar Fields

In a duality view definition, instead of explicitly listing each scalar field of a given GraphQL type you can use the asterisk wildcard, *.

If you use * together with directive @upper then the field names, if not quoted, are implicitly provided with uppercase aliases.

You can use * together with directive <code>@exclude</code>, to include all fields *except* those specified by its argument <code>fields</code>.

See Wildcard (*) for Scalar GraphQL Fields.

4. GraphQL Directives For Duality Views

In addition to @upper and @exclude, described in Wildcard (*) for Scalar GraphQL Fields, Oracle GraphQL provides other directives (@link, @[un]nest, and @flex) that specify particular handling when defining duality views. See Oracle GraphQL Directives for JSON-Relational Duality Views.

5. GraphQL Names in Duality-View Definitions

If the table and column names you use in a duality-view definition are directly usable as standard GraphQL field names then they are used as is. This is the case, for instance in the car-racing duality views.

More generally, a duality-view definition specifies a mapping between (1) JSON field names, (2) GraphQL type and field names, and (3) SQL table and column names. The first two are case-sensitive, whereas unquoted SQL names are case-insensitive. Additionally, the characters allowed in names differ between GraphQL and SQL.

For these reasons, Oracle relaxes and extends the unquoted GraphQL names allowed in duality-view definitions.

See Names Used in GraphQL Duality-View Definitions.

Oracle GraphQL Scalar Types

Table 7-1 lists the Oracle-supported GraphQL scalar types that correspond to Oracle JSON scalar types and to Oracle SQL scalar types. It lists both standard GraphQL types and custom, Oracle-specific GraphQL types.

Oracle JSON-Language Scalar Type	GraphQL Scalar Type	SQL Scalar Type
binary	Binary (Oracle-specific)	RAW or BINARY
date	Date (Oracle-specific)	DATE
timestamp	Timestamp (Oracle-specific)	TIMESTAMP
timestamp with time zone	TimestampWithTimezone (Oracle-specific)	TIMESTAMP WITH TIME ZONE
year-month interval	YearmonthInterval (Oracle- specific)	INTERVAL YEAR TO MONTH
day-second interval	DaysecondInterval (Oracle- specific)	INTERVAL DAY TO SECOND
double	Float (standard GraphQL)	BINARY_DOUBLE

Table 7-1 Scalar Types: Oracle JSON, GraphQL, and SQL



Oracle JSON-Language Scalar Type	GraphQL Scalar Type	SQL Scalar Type
float	Float (standard GraphQL)	BINARY_FLOAT

Table 7-1 (Cont.) Scalar Types: Oracle JSON, GraphQL, and SQL

Implicit GraphQL Field Aliasing

The body of a duality view definition is a GraphQL query. If a field name is used in that query with no alias then it is matched case-*in*sensitively to pick up the corresponding GraphQL field name. In a *standard* GraphQL query such matching is case-sensitive.

This convenience feature essentially provides the unaliased field with an alias that has the lettercase used in the view definition. The alias corresponds directly with the JSON field name used in supported documents. The actual GraphQL field name is derived from a SQL table or column name:

For example, if a GraphQL field name is defined as myfield (lowercase), and a duality viewcreation query uses myField then the queried field is implicitly treated as if it were written myField : myfield, and a JSON document supported by the view would have a JSON field named myField.

Wildcard (*) for Scalar GraphQL Fields

Using the asterisk wildcard, *, is a shortcut alternative to explicitly listing each scalar field for a given GraphQL type. If you use it with directive @upper, then unquoted field names are implicitly provided with uppercase aliases.

If you use * then you cannot specify any aliases for the scalar fields it covers.

In Example 2-10 all of the columns of table team are used in the creation of duality view team dv:

```
CREATE JSON RELATIONAL DUALITY VIEW team_dv AS
  team
   {teamId : team_id,
    name : name,
    points : points,
    driver : ...}
```

If no aliases were used for those scalar fields (columns), team_id, name, and points, then you could use wildcard * instead of individually listing them.

The following definitions are all equivalent. (In the third example the aliases are redundant, being the same as the GraphQL type names, which are the same as the column names of table team.)

```
CREATE JSON RELATIONAL DUALITY VIEW team_dv AS team
```



```
{*,
    driver : ...}
CREATE JSON RELATIONAL DUALITY VIEW team_dv AS
    team
    {team_id,
    name,
    points,
    driver : ...}
CREATE JSON RELATIONAL DUALITY VIEW team_dv AS
    team
    {team_id : team_id,
    name : name,
    points : points,
    driver : ...}
```

You can use directive @upper together with wildcard *, to stand for the set of all uppercase field names. These definitions are equivalent:

```
CREATE JSON RELATIONAL DUALITY VIEW team_dv AS
team
  {* @upper,
    driver : ...}
CREATE JSON RELATIONAL DUALITY VIEW team_dv AS
team
    {TEAM_ID : team_id,
    NAME : name,
    POINTS : points,
    driver : ...}
```

And you can use directive <code>@exclude</code> together with wildcard * , to get all fields *except* those specified by its argument <code>fields</code>. (You cannot include primary-key fields in the list of fields to exclude; an error is raised if you do that.)

For example, these are equivalent (these use race_id, not raceId as the field name, unlike the definition in Example 2-12, and they eliminate fields date and podium):

```
CREATE JSON RELATIONAL DUALITY VIEW race_dv AS
race
{raceId : race_id,
   name : name,
   laps : laps,
   date : race_date,
   podium : podium,
   result : ...}
CREATE JSON RELATIONAL DUALITY VIEW race_dv AS
race
```



```
{raceId : race_id,
date : race_date,
 *@exclude (fields : ["laps" "podium"],
result : ...}
```

Names Used in GraphQL Duality-View Definitions

Oracle relaxes and extends the unquoted GraphQL names allowed in duality-view definitions. This is done to facilitate (1) specifying the field names of the JSON documents supported by a duality view and (2) use of SQL identifier syntax (used for tables and columns) in GraphQL names.

If *none* of the names you use in a GraphQL duality-view definition contain the period (dot) character, (.) or need to be quoted, then the corresponding GraphQL schema is *fully compliant* with the GraphQL standard. In this case, it should work with all existing GraphQL tools.

Otherwise (the more typical case), it is not fully compliant. It can be used to create a JSON-relational duality view, but it might not work correctly with some GraphQL tools.

Standard GraphQL names are restricted in these ways:

- They can only contain alphanumerical ASCII characters and underscore () characters.
- They cannot start with two underscore characters: ____.

SQL names, if quoted, can contain any characters except double-quote (") (also called quotation mark, code point 34) and null (code point 0). Unquoted SQL names can contain alphanumeric characters (ASCII or not), underscores (_), number signs (#), and dollar signs (\$). A fully qualified table name contains a period (dot) character (.), separating the database schema (user) name from the table name.

The following rules apply to GraphQL names allowed in duality-view definitions. The last of these rules applies to *fully qualified SQL table names*, that is, to names of the form *<schema name>.*, which is composed of three parts: a database schema (user) name, a period (dot) character (.), and a database table name. The other rules apply to SQL names that don't contain a dot.

• The GraphQL name that corresponds to a *quoted* SQL name (identifier) is the *same* quoted name.

For example, "this name" is the same for SQL and GraphQL.

- The GraphQL name that corresponds to an unquoted SQL name that is composed of only ASCII alphanumeric or underscore (_) characters is the same as the SQL name, except that:
 - A GraphQL *field* name is *lowercase*.

For example, GraphQL field name MY_NAME corresponds to SQL name my_name.

- A GraphQL *type* name is *capitalized*.

For example, GraphQL type name My name corresponds to SQL name MY NAME.

• The GraphQL name that corresponds to an *unquoted* SQL name that has one or more *non-ASCII alphanumeric* characters, *number sign* (#) characters, or *dollar sign* (\$) characters is the same name, but *uppercased and quoted*. (In Oracle SQL, such a name is treated case-insensitively, whether quoted or not.)

For example, GraphQL name "MY#NAME\$4" corresponds to SQL name my#name\$4



The GraphQL name that corresponds to a *fully qualified SQL table name*, which
has the form <schema name>., is the concatenation of (1) the
GraphQL name corresponding to <schema name>, (2) the period (dot) character
(.), and (3) the GraphQL name corresponding to . Note that the dot
is *not* quoted in the GraphQL name.

Examples for fully qualified SQL names:

- GraphQL name My_schema.Mytable corresponds to SQL name MY SCHEMA.MYTABLE.
- GraphQL name "mySchema".Mytable corresponds to SQL name "mySchema".mytable.
- GraphQL name "mySchema"."my table" corresponds to SQL name "mySchema"."my table".
- GraphQL name "Schema#3.Table\$4" corresponds to SQL name SCHEMA#3.TABLE\$4.
- Oracle GraphQL Directives for JSON-Relational Duality Views

GraphQL directives are annotations that specify additional information or particular behavior for a GraphQL schema. All of the Oracle GraphQL directives for defining duality views apply to GraphQL fields.

Related Topics

 Creating Car-Racing Duality Views Using GraphQL Team, driver, and race duality views for the car-racing application are created using GraphQL.

See Also:

Graph QL

7.1 Oracle GraphQL Directives for JSON-Relational Duality Views

GraphQL directives are annotations that specify additional information or particular behavior for a GraphQL schema. All of the Oracle GraphQL directives for defining duality views apply to GraphQL fields.

A directive is a name with prefix @, followed in some cases by arguments.

Oracle GraphQL for defining duality views provides the following directives:

- Directive @flex designates a JSON-type column as being a flex column for the duality view. Use of this directive is covered in Flex Columns: Duality-View Schema Flexibility and Evolution.
- Directives @nest and @unnest specify nesting and unnesting (flattening) of intermediate objects in a duality-view definition. They correspond to SQL keywords NEST and UNNEST, respectively.



By default, fields corresponding to root-table columns are unnested and those corresponding to non-root-table columns are nested. Note that you *cannot* nest fields that correspond to primary-key columns of the root table; an error is raised if you try.

Example 7-1 illustrates the use of @nest. See Creating Car-Racing Duality Views Using GraphQL for examples that use @unnest.

- Directives @upper and @exclude qualify the behavior of an asterisk (*) wildcard when specifying scalar fields for a GraphQL type. They are described in Wildcard (*) for Scalar GraphQL Fields.
- Directive @link disambiguates multiple foreign-key links between columns. See Oracle GraphQL Directive @link.
- Directives @[no]update, @[no]insert, and @[no]delete serve as duality-view updating annotations. They correspond to SQL annotation keywords [NO]UPDATE, [NO]INSERT, and [NO]DELETE, which are described in Annotations (NO)UPDATE, (NO)INSERT, (NO)DELETE, To Allow/Disallow Updating Operations.
- Directives @[no]check determine which duality-view parts contribute to optimistic concurrency control. They correspond to SQL annotation keywords [NO]CHECK, which are described in described in Creating Car-Racing Duality Views Using GraphQL.

Example 7-1 Creating Duality View DRIVER_DV1, With Nested Driver Information

This example creates duality view driver_dv1, which is the same as view driver_dv defined with GraphQL in Example 2-11 and defined with SQL in Example 2-7, except that fields name and points from columns of table driver are nested in a subobject that's the value of field driverInfo.¹ The specification of field driverInfo is the only difference between the definition of view driver dv1 and that of the original view, driver dv.

The corresponding GraphQL and SQL definitions of driver dv1 are shown.

```
CREATE JSON RELATIONAL DUALITY VIEW driver_dv1 AS
driver
{driverId : driver_id,
driverInfo : driver @nest {name : name,
points : points},
name : name,
points : points,
team @unnest {teamId : team_id,
name : name},
race : driver_race_map
[ {driverRaceMapId : driver_race_map_id,
race @unnest {raceId : race_id,
name : name},
finalPosition : position} ]};
```

Here is the corresponding SQL definition:

```
CREATE JSON RELATIONAL DUALITY VIEW driver_dv1 AS
SELECT JSON {'driverId' : d.driver_id,
NEST
{'name' : d.name,
'points' : d.points},
```

¹ Updating and ETAG-checking annotations are not shown here.

```
UNNEST
            (SELECT JSON {'teamId' : t.team id,
                 'team' : t.name}
               FROM team t
               WHERE t.team id = d.team id),
          'race' :
            [ SELECT JSON { 'driverRaceMapId' : drm.driver race map id,
                          UNNEST
                            (SELECT JSON { 'raceId' : r.race id,
                                  'name' : r.name}
                               FROM race r
                               WHERE r.race id = drm.race id),
                           'finalPosition' : drm.position}
               FROM driver race map drm
               WHERE drm.driver id = d.driver id ]}
FROM driver d;
```

Table driver is the root table of the view, so its fields are all unnested in the view by default, requiring the use of @nest (keyword NEST in SQL) to nest them.

(Fields from non-root tables are nested by default, requiring the explicit use of <code>@unnest</code> (keyword <code>UNNEST</code> in SQL) to unnest them. This is the case for team fields <code>teamId</code> and <code>name</code> as well as race fields <code>raceId</code> and <code>name</code>.)

 Oracle GraphQL Directive @link GraphQL directive @link disambiguates multiple foreign-key links between columns.

7.1.1 Oracle GraphQL Directive @link

GraphQL directive @link disambiguates multiple foreign-key links between columns.

Directive @link specifies a link between a foreign-key column and a primary-key or unique-key column, in tables underlying a duality view. Usually the columns are for different tables, but columns of the same table can also be linked, in which case the foreign key is said to be **self-referencing**.

The fact that in general you need not explicitly specify foreign-key links is an advantage that GraphQL presents over SQL for duality-view definition — it's less verbose, as such links are generally inferred by the underlying table-dependency graph.

The only time you need to explicitly use a foreign-key link in GraphQL is when there is more than one foreign-key relation between two tables or when a table has a foreign key that references the same table. In such a case, you use an <code>@link</code> directive to specify a particular link: the foreign key and direction.

The team_w_lead table definition in Example 7-2 has a foreign-key link from column lead_driver to driver table column driver_id. And the driver table has a foreign-key link from its column team_id to the team_w_lead table's primary-key column, team_id.

The table-dependency graph in Figure 7-1 shows these two dependencies. It's the same as the graph in Figure 2-3, except that it includes the added link from table



team_w_lead's foreign-key column lead_driver to primary-key column driver_id of table
driver.

The corresponding team duality-view definitions are in Example 7-3 and Example 7-4.




Figure 7-1 Car-Racing Example With Team Leader, Table-Dependency Graph

An @link directive requires a single argument, named to or from, which specifies, for a duality-view field whose value is a nested object, whether to use (1) a foreign key of the table whose columns define the *nested* object's fields — the to direction or (2) a foreign key of the table whose columns define the *nesting lenclosing* object's fields — the from direction.

The value of a to or from argument is a GraphQL list of strings, where each string names a single foreign-key column (for example, to : ["fkcol"]). A GraphQL list of more than one string represents a *compound* foreign key, for example, to : ["fkcol1", "fkcol2"]). (A GraphQL list corresponds to a JSON array. Commas are optional in GraphQL.)

Example 7-2 Creating Table TEAM_W_LEAD With LEAD_DRIVER Column

This example creates table team_w_lead, which is the same as table team in Example 2-4, except that it has the additional column lead_driver, which is a foreign key to column driver id of table driver.

CREATE TABLE team_w_lead

(team_id INTEGER GENERATED BY DEFAULT ON NULL AS IDENTITY, name VARCHAR2(255) NOT NULL UNIQUE, lead_driver INTEGER, points INTEGER NOT NULL, CONSTRAINT team_pk PRIMARY KEY(team_id) CONSTRAINT lead_fk FOREIGN KEY lead_driver REFERENCES driver(driver_id));

Table driver, in turn, has foreign-key column team_id, which references column team_id of table team w lead. Because there are two foreign-key links between tables team w lead and

driver, the team and driver duality views that make use of these tables need to use directive @link, as shown in Example 7-3 and Example 7-4.

Example 7-3 Creating Duality Views TEAM_DV2 With LEAD_DRIVER, Showing GraphQL Directive @link

This example is similar to Example 2-10, but it uses table team_w_lead, defined in Example 7-2, which has foreign-key column lead_driver. Because there are two foreign-key relations between tables team_w_lead and driver it's necessary to use directive @link to specify which foreign key is used where.

The value of top-level JSON field leadDriver is a driver object provided by foreign-key column lead_driver of table team_w_lead. The value of top-level field driver is a JSON array of driver objects provided by foreign-key column team id of table driver.

The @link argument for field leadDriver uses **from** because its value, lead_driver, is the foreign-key column in table team_w_lead, which underlies the *outer/nesting* object.

The @link argument for field driver uses to because its value, team_id, is the foreign-key column in table driver, which underlies the *inner/nested* object.

```
CREATE JSON RELATIONAL DUALITY VIEW team_dv2 AS
team_w_lead
{teamId : team_id,
    name : name,
    points : points,
    leadDriver : driver @link (from : ["lead_driver"])
    {driverId : driver_id,
    name : name,
    points : points},
    driver : driver @link (to : ["team_id"])
    [ {driverId : driver_id,
    name : name,
    points : points} ]};
```

Example 7-4 Creating Duality View DRIVER_DV2, Showing GraphQL Directive @link

This example is similar to Example 2-11, but it uses table team_w_lead, defined in Example 7-2, which has foreign-key column lead_driver. Because there are two foreign-key relations between tables team_w_lead and driver it's necessary to use directive @link to specify which foreign key is used where.

The @link argument for field team uses **from** because its value, team_id, is the foreign-key column in table driver, which underlies the *outer/nesting* object.

```
CREATE JSON RELATIONAL DUALITY VIEW driver_dv2 AS
driver
{driverId : driver_id
    name : name
    points : points
    team : team_w_lead
    @link (from: ["team_id"])
    @unnest
    {teamId : team id,
```



Index

Symbols

_fieldConflicts field, for flex-column conflicts, 6-4 _id field, document-identifier for MongoDB API compatibility, 5-1 _metadata field, for document handling, 2-12, 4-22 @delete annotation (GraphQL), 2-19 @exclude GraphQL directive, 7-6 @flex annotation, 6-4 @flex GraphQL directive, 7-6 @insert annotation (GraphQL), 2-19 @link GraphQL directive, 2-19, 7-6, 7-8 @nest GraphQL directive, 2-19, 7-6 @unnest GraphQL directive, 2-19, 7-6 @update annotation (GraphQL), 2-19 @upper GraphQL directive, 7-6

Numerics

1:1 entity relationships, 2-6 1:N entity relationships, 2-6

A

ALL JSON DUALITY VIEW TAB COLS view, 4-39 ALL JSON DUALITY VIEW TABS view, 4-39 ALL JSON DUALITY VIEWS view, 4-39 annotations @delete (GraphQL), 2-19 @flex (GraphOL), 6-4 @insert (GraphQL), 2-19 @update (GraphQL), 2-19 AS FLEX (SQL), 6-4 ETAG, 3-2, 6-1 updatability, 3-2, 6-1 AS FLEX annotation, 6-4 asof field, system change number (SCN) ensuring read consistency, 4-35 asof field, vsystem change number (SCN), 2-12 associative table See mapping table

В

bracket, optional GraphQL syntax for duality view definition, 2-19 bridge table See mapping table

С

car-racing example, 2-1 creating duality views with GraphQL, 2-19 creating duality views with SQL, 2-15 creating tables, 2-8 duality views. 2-12 entity relationships, 2-6 case-sensitivity JSON and SQL, xi CHECK annotation (ETAG calculation), 3-3 columns (hidden) for duality-view, ETAG and object ID, 2-12 comment, GraphQL, 2-19 complex or simple underlying data, 6-1 composite primary and foreign keys, definition, 2-8 concurrency, controlling, 4-22, 4-31 content-based ETAG concurrency control, definition. 4-22 converged database, definition, 1-10

D

d-r-map entity, 2-8
DATA JSON-type column for duality-view documents, 2-12
DATA payload JSON-type column supported/ generated by a duality view, 2-12, 4-37
DBA_JSON_DUALITY_VIEW_TAB_COLS view, 4-39
DBA_JSON_DUALITY_VIEW_TABS view, 4-39
DBA_JSON_DUALITY_VIEWS view, 4-39
DBMS_JSON_SCHEMA.describe PL/SQL function, 1-3, 4-39
DELETE annotation, 3-2
deleting documents, 4-9



describe PL/SQL function, package DBMS JSON SCHEMA, 1-3, 4-39 directives. GraphOL See GraphQL directives document deleting, 4-9 inserting, 4-3 optimizing operations, 4-37 querying, 4-37 updating, 4-12 document key definition, 2-12 document-handling field, _metadata, 2-12, 4-22 document-identifer field, _id, 5-1 document-identifier fields. car-racing example. 2-2 document-relational mapping (DRM), definition, 1-7 document-version identifier (ETAG value), 2-12 document-version identifier (ETAG) controlling concurrency, 4-22 document/table duality, definition, 1-1, 1-7 documents supported by a duality view, definition, 1-1 documents, car-racing example, 2-2 driver and race mapping table, 2-8 driver document. 2-2 driver duality view, 2-12 creating with GraphQL, 2-19 creating with SQL, 2-15 JSON schema, 4-39 driver entity, 2-6 driver table. 2-8 driver_race_map table, 2-8 duality view, 1-1 definition, 1-1, 1-3 JSON schema. 4-39 motivation. 1-3 overview, 1-1 privileges needed for updating, 3-5 rules for updating, 3-5 duality views for car-racing example, 2-12 creating with GraphQL, 2-19 creating with SQL, 2-15 duality, document/table, 1-7 definition, 1-1

Е

entity relationships, 2-6 ETAG document-version identifier controlling concurrency, 4-22 not used for partial updates, 4-12 etag field, version identifier, 2-12 controlling concurrency, 4-22 ETAG hash-value participation, defining, 3-3 ETAG table-row value, 4-22 ETAG value, document-version identifier, 2-12 evolution, schema, 6-4

F

fields, <i>2-12</i>
_fieldConflicts for flex-column conflicts, 6-4
id, document-identifier for MongoDB API
compatibility, 5-1
_metadata, for document handling, 2-12,
4-22
asof, system change number (SCN), 2-12
ensuring read consistency, 4-35
etag, version identifier, 2-12
controlling concurrency, 4-22
flex column, definition, 6-4
flex-column, field-naming conflicts, 6-4
flexfield, definition, 2-8
flexibility, schema, <mark>6-4</mark>
foreign key, definition, 2-8
Formula 1 example, 2-1
function SYS_ROW_ETAG, optimistic
concurrency control, 4-22

G

generation functions, SQL/JSON, 2-12 GraphQL comment, 2-19 creating car-racing duality views, 2-19 creating duality views, 7-1 optional bracket syntax for duality view definition, 2-19 GraphQL directives, 7-6 @exclude, 7-6 @link, 2-19, 7-6, 7-8 @nest, 7-6 @unnest, 2-19, 7-6 @upper, 7-6

Н

hidden duality-view columns for ETAG and object ID, 2-12

I

INSERT annotation, 3-2 inserting documents, 4-3 item methods, used to optimize operations, 4-37

J

JSON data type columns in duality-view tables, 1-1, 1-3, 6-1, 6-4 JSON documents, car-racing example, 2-2 JSON schema use to validate JSON-column data, 1-3, 2-8, 6-1.6-4 JSON Schema, 1-3, 4-12, 6-1, 6-4 description of duality view, 4-39 JSON schema, use to validate JSON-column data, 4-12 JSON SCHEMA column, dictionary views for duality views, 1-3, 4-39 json transform SQL function, 4-12 json value RETURNING clause, used to optimize operations, 4-37 JSON-relational duality view definition, 1-1, 1-3 JSON schema, 4-39 motivation, 1-3 overview, 1-1 JSON-relational duality views for car-racing example, 2-12 creating with GraphOL, 2-19 creating with SQL, 2-15 JSON-relational mapping (JRM), definition, 1-7 JSON-type column DATA, for duality-view documents, 2-12 JSON-type payload column DATA, supported/ generated by a duality view, 2-12, 4-37

L

lock-free (optimistic) concurrency control, 4-22 definition and overview, 3-3 duality-view transactions, 4-31

Μ

many-to-many entity relationships, 2-6 using mapping tables, 2-8 many-to-one entity relationships, 2-6 mapping objects/documents to relational, 1-7 mapping table for tables driver and race, 2-8 mapping table, definition, 2-8 MongoDB API, compatible document-identifier field _id, 5-1 multitenant database, definition, 1-10

Ν

N:N entity relationships, 2-6 using mapping tables, 2-8 naming conflicts, flex column, 6-4 NEST SQL keyword, 2-15 NOCHECK annotation (ETAG calculation), 3-3 NODELETE annotation, 3-2 NOINSERT annotation, 3-2 normalization, degree/granularity, 6-1 normalized data, definition, 1-3 normalized entity, definition, 2-6 NOUPDATE annotation, 3-2

0

OBJECT ETAG hidden duality-view column for ETAG value, 2-12, 4-31 OBJECT RESID hidden duality-view column for document identifier, 2-12, 4-31 object-document mapping (ODM), 1-7 object-relational mapping (ORM), 1-7 ODM (object-document mapping), 1-7 one-to-one entity relationships, 2-6 optimistic (lock-free) concurrency control, 4-22 definition and overview, 3-3 duality-view transactions, 4-31 optimization of document operations, 4-37 Oracle Database API for MongoDB, compatible document-identifier field id, 5-1 Oracle REST Data Services (ORDS) deleting documents using REST, 4-9 inserting documents using REST, 4-3 updating documents using REST, 4-12 Oracle SQL function json transform, 4-12 ORM (object-relational mapping), 1-7

Ρ

payload JSON-type column DATA, supported/ generated by a duality view, 2-12, 4-37 payload of a JSON document, definition, 2-2, 2-12, 4-22 polyglot database, definition, 1-10 predefined fields for duality views See fields pretty-printing in book examples, *xi* primary key, definition, 2-8 privileges needed for operations on duality-view data, 3-5

Q

querying a duality view, 4-37

R

race and driver mapping table, 2-8 race document, 2-2

race duality view, 2-12 creating with GraphQL, 2-19 creating with SQL, 2-15 JSON schema, 4-39 race entity, 2-6 race table, 2-8 read consistency, ensuring, 4-35 relational mapping from objects/documents, 1-7 REST deleting documents using, 4-9 inserting documents using, 4-9 updating documents using, 4-12 rules for updating duality views, 3-5

S

schema evolution, 6-4 schema flexibility, 6-4 schema, JSON description of duality view, 4-39 use to validate JSON-column data, 1-3, 2-8, 4-12, 6-1, 6-4

SCN

See system change number secondary key, 2-8 security. 1-9 sharing JSON data among documents, 1-3, 6-1 foreign keys, 2-8 SQL function ison transform, 4-12 SQL/JSON function ison value, RETURNING clause, used to optimize operations, 4-37 SQL/JSON generation functions, 2-12 SQL/JSON item methods, used to optimize operations, 4-37 static dictionary views for duality views. 4-39 storing JSON data in underlying tables, 6-1 support of documents by a duality view, definition, 1-1 SYS_ROW_ETAG function, optimistic concurrency control, 4-22 system change number (SCN) field, asof, 2-12 ensuring read consistency, 4-35

Т

tables car-racing example, 2-8 deleting data, 4-9 inserting data, 4-3 updating data, 4-12 team document, 2-2 team duality view, 2-12 creating with GraphOL, 2-19 creating with SQL, 2-15 JSON schema, 4-39 team entity, 2-6 team table, 2-8 transactions for duality views, 4-31 triggers, guidelines, 4-21 type-conversion item methods, used to optimize operations, 4-37

U

unique key, definition, 2-8 UNNEST SQL keyword, 2-15 updatability, defining, 3-2, 6-1 UPDATE annotation, 3-2 updating documents, 4-12 updating duality views privileges needed, 3-5 rules, 3-5 USER_JSON_DUALITY_VIEW_TAB_COLS view, 4-39 USER_JSON_DUALITY_VIEW_TABS view, 4-39 USER_JSON_DUALITY_VIEWS view, 4-39

V

value-based ETAG concurrency control, definition, 4-22 version-identifier field, etag, 2-12 controlling concurrency, 4-22 view, duality See duality view views, static dictionary, 4-39